



**University of
Sunderland**

Cayir, A, Barrow, TM, Wang, H, Liu, H, Li, C, Ding, N, Li, Y, Kang, CM, Guo, L, Li, PH and Byun, HM (2018) Occupational noise exposure is associated with hypertension in China: Results from project ELEFANT. PLoS One, 13 (12). e0209041.

Downloaded from: <http://sure.sunderland.ac.uk/id/eprint/10485/>

Usage guidelines

Please refer to the usage guidelines at <http://sure.sunderland.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact sure@sunderland.ac.uk.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Occupational noise exposure is associated with hypertension in China: Results from project ELEFANT

Akin Cayir^{1,2}, Timothy M. Barrow³, Hao Wang⁴, Hongbin Liu⁴, Changping Li⁵, Ning Ding⁶, Yan Li⁶, Choong-Min Kang⁷, Liqiong Guo⁸, Peng-hui Li^{9*}, Hyang-Min Byun²

1 Vocational Health College, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Canakkale, Turkey, **2** Human Nutrition Research Centre, Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, **3** Faculty of Health Sciences and Wellbeing, University of Sunderland, Sunderland, United Kingdom, **4** Tianjin Research Institute for Family Planning, Tianjin, China, **5** Department of Epidemiology and Statistics, School of Public Health, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, **6** School of Public Health, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, **7** Department of Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, United States of America, **8** Department of Occupational & Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, **9** School of Environmental Science and Safety Engineering, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin, China

* lipenghui406@163.com



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Cayir A, Barrow TM, Wang H, Liu H, Li C, Ding N, et al. (2018) Occupational noise exposure is associated with hypertension in China: Results from project ELEFANT. PLoS ONE 13(12): e0209041. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209041>

Editor: Cheng Hu, Shanghai Diabetes Institute, CHINA

Received: August 4, 2018

Accepted: November 27, 2018

Published: December 31, 2018

Copyright: This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the [Creative Commons CC0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: The data contains sensitive information (e.g. demographic data and clinical measures related to occupational exposures and cardiovascular disease) and is subject to strict ethical and legal regulations by the Institutional Review Board of Tianjin Medical University. Therefore, this data cannot be made publicly available by the authors in conjunction with this publication. Data requests should be sent to Dr Liqiong Guo (Tianjin Medical School, guoliqiong@tmu.edu.cn), who is the primary member of the Project ELEFANT steering

Abstract

Objectives

We investigated the association between occupational noise exposure and the risk of elevated blood pressure and hypertension by stage in young adults.

Methods

We utilized 124,286 young adults (18–40 years) from the Project ELEFANT study. We categorized occupational noise exposure as *high* (75 dBA noise exposure for more than 4 hours per day) or *low*, and measured blood pressure (mmHg) and categorized participants by hypertension stage (normal, elevated, Stage 1, Stage 2). We applied adjusted logistic regression models to identify associations with hypertension risk, and we further examined the noise-BMI, noise-gender, and noise-residence interactions on hypertension risk in separate models.

Results

High occupational noise exposure was associated with increases in blood pressure among participants with elevated blood pressure (Estimate = 0.23, 95% CI: 1.09, 1.46, $p = 0.0009$), in Stage 1 hypertension (Estimate = 0.15, 95% CI: 1.06, 1.25, $p = 0.0008$), and in Stage 2 hypertension (Estimate = 0.41, 95% CI: 1.31, 1.73, $p < 0.0001$). Likewise, noise exposure-BMI interaction was consistently positively associated with increases in blood pressure in participants with elevated blood pressure (Estimate = 0.71, 95% CI: 1.55, 2.69, $p < 0.0001$), in Stage 1 hypertension (Estimate = 0.78, 95% CI: 1.82, 2.61, $p < 0.0001$), and in Stage 2 hypertension (Estimate = 2.06, 95% CI: 5.64, 10.81, $p < 0.0001$). The noise exposure-male

committee that serves as a data access committee. An additional non-author contact address for this committee is as follows: contact@project-elefant.com. Where appropriate, the request will then be transferred to the Institutional Review Board of Tianjin Medical University for consideration.

Funding: This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant numbers 81602827 to LG and National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant numbers 41601548 to Z-P-hL. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

interaction showed higher risk for hypertension compared to the noise exposure-female interaction in participants with elevated blood pressure (Estimate = 1.24, 95% CI: 2.56, 4.71, $p < 0.0001$), Stage 1 (Estimate = 1.67, 95% CI: 4.34, 6.42, $p < 0.0001$) and Stage 2 hypertension (Estimate = 1.70, 95% CI: 3.86, 7.77, $p < 0.0001$). Finally, we found that noise exposure-urban interaction was consistently associated with an increase in blood pressure in elevated blood pressure (Estimate = 0.32, 95% CI: 1.19, 1.62, $p < 0.0001$) and in Stage 2 hypertension (Estimate = 0.44, 95% CI: 1.31, 1.80, $p < 0.0001$).

Introduction

Noise is a highly prevalent environmental exposure in the United States [1], in European countries [2, 3] and in Asian countries [4, 5]. The World Health Organization (WHO) has classified noise pollution as the second leading environmental cause of health problems, after particulate matter air pollution [6]. Living and working conditions affect noise exposure by its intensity, the complexity of sounds, and frequency [7], with transport and industrial activities the leading sources of exposure. There is increasing evidence for a negative impact of noise exposure upon human health [8], including both auditory as well as non-auditory health endpoints such as annoyance, sleep disturbance, reading impairment in children, hypertension and cardiovascular diseases (CVD), including arteriosclerosis, ischemic heart disease, and stroke [9]. The WHO has estimated that the number of healthy life years lost annually due to noise exposure is 61,000 for CVD, 45,000 for cognitive impairment of children, 903,000 for sleep disturbance, 22,000 for tinnitus, and 654,000 for annoyance in western European countries [10].

According to the WHO, ischemic heart disease, stroke and hypertensive heart diseases were the leading causes of death in 2015 [11]. In this context, the role of noise exposure as contributor to CVD becomes a crucial concern of public health. Indeed, environmental noise exposure has been implicated in increased diagnosis of hypertension, hospital admission and premature mortality [2]. However, while a number of studies have reported hypertension and/or blood pressure as being positively associated with noise exposure [12–15], others have reported negative associations [16, 17]. These contrasting findings may be due to the inherent complexity in studying the effect of noise exposure and underlines the need for further research into its effects upon human health. Furthermore, there is a need to better understand how the effect of noise pollution exposure may be mediated by other factors, such as lifestyle and genetics.

In this study, we investigated the effect of chronic occupational noise exposure upon the development of hypertension in young adults. We utilized 124,286 individuals (18–40 years) from within Project Environmental and LifeStyle Factors in metabolic health throughout life-course Trajectories (ELEFANT) to estimate risk of hypertension by stage (elevated blood pressure, Stage 1, and Stage 2) with noise exposure, and examined the interaction of noise-BMI, noise-gender, and noise-residence on hypertension risk in separate models. We report that noise exposure is associated with increased blood pressure in elevated blood pressure, Stage 1 and Stage 2, and displayed interaction with BMI, gender and residence.

Methods

Study design and participants

Project ELEFANT is a newly developed population study based in Tianjin, China that comprises three population studies: Baby ELEFANT (age 0, $n = 48,762$); Young ELEFANT (mean

age 30, $n = 366,474$); and Elderly ELEFANT (mean age 65, $n = 6,503$) [18]. Complete blood counts, blood pressure, fasting blood glucose level, serum alanine amino transferase enzyme level, high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) serum level, thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) level, body mass index (BMI) and other clinical characteristics were measured during routine check-ups. The participants also completed questionnaires between 2011 and 2017 which included sections on demographics, familial history of disease, medication uses and dietary intakes. We utilized the Young ELEFANT participants who were enrolled in 2014 and 2015, aged between 18 and 40 and with an equal distribution between the two genders ($n = 124,286$). The protocol of this study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Tianjin Medical University, and participants gave written informed consent prior to participation in the study.

Blood pressure measurement and classification of hypertension

Systolic blood pressure (SBP, mmHg) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP, mmHg) were measured according to criterion of the JNC 7 (Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure) [19]. Blood pressure (BP) was measured twice in the upper left arm after 5–10 min of rest in a seated position using an automated device (HBP-9021J, Omron, Japan). The mean of these two measurements was used for further analysis. Participants were classified as normal, elevated blood pressure, Stage 1, and Stage 2 hypertension using SBP and DBP values according to the ACC/AHA Clinical Practice Guidelines published in 2017 [20].

Assessment of variables

To assess the occupational noise exposure of the participants, we constructed questionnaires to independently capture the occupation of the individual and their level of noise exposure (high/low). High noise exposure was defined as exposure to levels higher than 75 dBA for more than four hours per day. The participants reported more than 100 different types of occupation, which were categorized into three groups based upon The International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO) [21]. In our population, there was no correlation between occupation and occupational noise exposure, demonstrating a high level of variance even within similar occupations. We therefore categorized to three occupational groups for our analysis: 1) skilled agricultural workers; 2) elementary occupations, technicians and associate professionals, service and sales workers; and 3) professionals and clerical support workers.

We followed Chinese guidelines to define BMI categories, with BMI below 24 defined as normal weight, between 24 and 28 as overweight, and higher than 28 as obese [22].

Statistical analysis

The relationship between noise exposure and blood pressure as continuous variables was investigated using multivariate regression analysis. The relationships between each blood pressure classification (elevated, Stage 1, and Stage 2 hypertension) with noise exposure were evaluated using logistic regression analysis. In both the logistic and regression models, we adjusted the model with the following covariates: age (continuous); BMI (continuous); gender (male/female); occupation (3 categories); residence (urban/rural); education (basic/higher); smoking (yes/no); number of cigarettes per day; drinking (yes/no); and noise exposure (high/low). We fitted a logistic regression model to investigate all interaction and all the models were adjusted with the same variables.

$$\text{Log}(p/1 - p) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Noise} + \beta_2 x_1 + \dots + \beta_n + 1 x_n \quad (1)$$

Where $x_1 \dots x_n$ are the covariates, Noise is the noise exposure, and p is the probability of having one of the stages of the hypertension. All analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

We analyzed 118,143 participants who were aged between 18 and 40, and who had complete data for all covariates of the adjusted model. The basic characteristics of participants are shown in Table 1. The mean age was 29.64, and there were a similar number of men and women. In the population, 3,016 individuals were exposed to high levels of occupational noise (>75 dBA for more than four hours per day), while 115,127 individuals did not. Blood pressure levels were normal for 43.8% of the cohort, 7.3% had elevated blood pressure, 42.9% had Stage 1 hypertension, and 6.1% had Stage 2 hypertension.

Blood pressure levels were higher among participants with high occupational noise exposure, and this was consistent within each of classification of hypertension/elevated blood

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the cohort.

Characteristics	Occupational noise exposure Low <i>n</i> = 115,127	Occupational noise exposure High <i>n</i> = 3,016	Total <i>n</i> = 118,143
Age (y)	29.63±4.14	30.03±3.67	29.64±4.13
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.70±4.49	24.62±5.07	23.72±4.51
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)			
Men	56,464 (96.32)	2,158 (3.68)	58,662
Women	58,663 (98.56)	858 (1.44)	59,521
Occupations*, <i>n</i> (%)			
1	66,960 (99.23)	520 (0.77)	67,480
2	18,780 (92.56)	1,510 (7.44)	20,290
3	29,387 (96.75)	986 (3.25)	30,377
Residence, <i>n</i> (%)			
Urban	39,819 (94.93)	2,127 (5.07)	41,946
Rural	75,308 (98.83)	889 (1.17)	76,197
Education, <i>n</i> (%)			
Basic	67,067 (98.27)	1,180 (1.73)	68,247
Higher	48,060 (96.32)	1,836 (3.68)	49,896
Smoking Habit, <i>n</i> (%)			
No	98,349 (98.13)	1,873 (1.87)	100,222
Yes	16,778 (93.62)	1,143 (6.38)	17,921
Number of cigarettes per day	1.54±4.49	4.27±10.96	1.61±4.78
Drinking, <i>n</i> (%)			
No	94,113 (98.66)	1,279 (1.34)	95,392
Yes	21,014 (92.37)	1,737 (7.63)	22,751
Blood Pressure Classifications, <i>n</i> (%)			
Normal	50,718 (98.09)	985 (1.91)	51,703
Elevated	8,277 (96.68)	284 (3.32)	8,561
Stage 1	49,346 (97.26)	1,389 (2.74)	50,735
Stage 2	6,786 (94.99)	358 (5.01)	7,144

*1) skilled agricultural workers, 2) elementary occupations, technicians and associate professionals, service and sales workers, 3) professionals and clerical support workers.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209041.t001>

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of SBP and DBP by noise exposure.

	SBP			DBP		
	Occupational noise exposure Low	Occupational noise exposure High	<i>p</i> -Value*	Occupational noise exposure Low	Occupational noise exposure High	<i>p</i> -Value*
Total	115.66±10.84	119.39±12.22	<0.0001	74.91±8.54	77.07±9.03	<0.0001
Normal	106.82±6.04	107.42±6.58	<0.0001	67.82±5.20	68.33±5.15	<0.0001
Elevated	121.27±2.38	122.56±2.97	<0.0001	71.65±4.06	71.43±4.66	<0.0001
Stage 1	120.68±5.24	122.19±6.84	<0.0001	80.34±2.04	80.61±3.19	<0.0001
Stage 2	138.48±13.78	139.02±11.52	<0.0001	92.41±8.70	91.84±7.51	<0.0001

SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure

* *t*-test

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209041.t002>

pressure (Table 2). The mean SBP among all participants with high occupational noise exposure was 119.39 mmHg in comparison to 115.67 mmHg in those without ($p < 0.0001$, *t*-test). The mean DBP of participants with high noise exposure levels (77.07 mmHg) was significantly higher than those with low levels of exposure (74.91 mmHg) ($p < 0.0001$, *t*-test). SBP and DBP were both significantly associated with noise exposure in the adjusted model ($p < 0.0001$ and $p = 0.004$, respectively). In the multivariate linear regression model, SBP ($\beta = 0.02$, 95% CI: 0.008, 0.02) and DBP ($\beta = 0.008$, 95% CI: 0.002, 0.01) were increased with high exposure (Table 3).

The odds ratio (OR) of elevated blood pressure with high occupational noise exposure was 1.77 (95% CI: 1.55, 2.02), 1.45 (95% CI: 1.33, 1.57) for Stage 1 hypertension, and 2.72 (95% CI: 2.40, 3.07) for Stage 2 hypertension (Table 4). In this logistic regression analysis, occupational noise exposure was associated with increases in blood pressure among participants with elevated blood pressure (Estimate = 0.23, 95% CI: 1.09, 1.46, $p = 0.0009$), in Stage 1 hypertension (Estimate = 0.15, 95% CI: 1.06, 1.25, $p = 0.0008$), and in Stage 2 hypertension (Estimate = 0.41, 95% CI: 1.31, 1.73) (Table 5). The ORs for the risk of elevated blood pressure, Stage 1 and

Table 3. Association between blood pressure and occupational noise exposure.

Dependent Variable	Model	B	β	<i>p</i> -Value	Adjusted R ² Model	95% CI
SBP	Noise High	0.01	0.02	0.000	0.19	0.008, 0.02
DBP	Noise High	0.006	0.008	0.004	0.18	0.002, 0.01

SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure B: Unstandardized Coefficient, β : Standardized Coefficient Predictors: (Constant), noise exposure, BMI, age, gender, occupation, residence, education, smoking habit, number of cigarettes per day, and drinking habit.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209041.t003>

Table 4. Odds ratios for hypertension and noise exposure.

	Noise (n)	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value*
Elevated	Low (8277)	Reference	-	-
	High (284)	1.77	1.55, 2.02	<0.0001*
Stage 1	Low (49346)	Reference	-	-
	High (1389)	1.45	1.33, 1.57	<0.0001*
Stage 2	Low (6786)	Reference	-	-
	High (358)	2.72	2.40, 3.07	<0.0001*

* Fisher's Exact Test, OR: Odds Ratio

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209041.t004>

Table 5. Logistic model for associations between noise exposure and hypertension.

	Noise Exposure (n)	Estimate	ORs†	95% CI	p-Value
Elevated	Low (8277)	Reference		-	-
	High (284)	0.23	1.26	1.09, 1.46	0.0009*
Stage 1	Low (49346)	Reference		-	-
	High (1389)	0.15	1.16	1.06, 1.25	0.0008**
Stage 2	Low (6786)	Reference		-	-
	High (358)	0.41	1.51	1.31, 1.73	1.04E-08*

*Logistic model adjusted for BMI, age, gender, occupation, residence, education, smoking habit, number of cigarettes per day, and drinking habit.

** Logistic model adjusted for BMI, age, occupation, residence, education, smoking habit, and number of cigarettes per day.

† The odds ratio associated with noise exposure.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209041.t005>

Stage 2 hypertension with high occupational noise exposure were 1.26, 1.16, and 1.51, respectively (Table 5).

We investigated the interaction effect of noise exposure on blood pressure in logistic models. We categorized individuals as under/healthy weight (BMI <24) or overweight/obese (BMI ≥24). The noise exposure-BMI interaction was consistently positively associated with an increase in blood pressure in participants with elevated blood pressure (Estimate = 0.71, 95% CI: 1.55, 2.69, $p < 0.0001$), Stage 1 hypertension (Estimate = 0.78, 95% CI: 1.82, 2.61, $p < 0.0001$), and Stage 2 hypertension (Estimate = 2.06, 95% CI: 5.64, 10.81, $p < 0.0001$) (Table 6). Thus, the interaction was associated with ORs of 2.03, 2.18 and 7.84 for elevated blood pressure, Stage 1 and Stage 2 hypertension, respectively (Table 6). Analysis of the interaction of noise exposure with gender on blood pressure revealed that noise exposure-male interaction showed higher risk for hypertension compared with the noise exposure-female interaction for elevated blood pressure (Estimate = 1.24, 95% CI: 2.56, 4.71, $p < 0.0001$), Stage 1 (Estimate = 1.67, 95% CI: 4.34, 6.42) and Stage 2 hypertension (Estimate = 1.70, 95% CI: 3.86, 7.77) (Table 6). The noise exposure-gender interaction was associated with ORs of 5.31 and 5.47 for the risk of Stage 1 and Stage 2 hypertension respectively (Table 6). Finally, analysis by

Table 6. Logistic model for association between noise exposure-BMI, -gender, and -residence interaction and blood pressure.

		Estimate	ORs†	95% CI	p-Value	
Noise Exposure* BMI**	Noise Low* BMI	Reference		-	-	
	Elevated	Noise High* BMI	0.71	2.03	1.55, 2.69	<0.0001*
	Stage 1	Noise High* BMI	0.78	2.18	1.82, 2.61	<0.0001*
	Stage 2	Noise High* BMI	2.06	7.84	5.64, 10.81	<0.0001*
Noise Exposure* Gender	Noise High* Female	Reference		-	-	
	Elevated	Noise High* Male	1.24	3.54	2.56, 4.71	<0.0001*
	Stage 1	Noise High* Male	1.67	5.31	4.34, 6.42	<0.0001*
	Stage 2	Noise High* Male	1.70	5.47	3.86, 7.77	<0.0001*
Noise Exposure* Residence	Noise High* Rural	Reference		-	-	
	Elevated	Noise High* Urban	0.32	1.38	1.19, 1.62	<0.0001*
	Stage 1	Noise High* Urban	-0.03	-	0.86–1.07	0.57
	Stage 2	Noise High* Urban	0.44	1.55	1.31, 1.80	<0.0001*

*Logistic model adjusted for age, gender, occupation, residence, education, smoking habit, number of cigarettes per day, and drinking habit.

**We created a dichotomized variable for BMI as 24 kg/m² was chosen as the threshold.

† The odds ratio associated with noise exposure.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209041.t006>

residence revealed that the noise exposure-urban interaction was significantly associated with increases in blood pressure in elevated blood pressure (Estimate = 0.32, 95% CI: 1.19, 1.62, $p < 0.0001$) and Stage 2 hypertension (Estimate = 0.44, 95% CI: 1.31, 1.80, $p < 0.0001$), with odds ratios of 1.38 and 1.55 respectively (Table 6).

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the association between occupational noise exposure and hypertension by stage in young adults from the Project ELEFANT study. Additionally, we analyzed the interaction of BMI, gender and residence with noise exposure on blood pressure. Our results revealed a consistent pattern of increased risk of hypertension by stage (elevated blood pressure, Stage 1 and Stage 2) and higher blood pressure among individuals with high levels of occupational noise exposure, with greater effect in overweight/obese individuals and men.

While the relationship between noise exposure and hypertension and/or blood pressure has been extensively investigated, the results to date have been inconsistent. A meta-analysis of 43 studies reported that 5 dB(A) of occupational and traffic noise exposure were associated with a relative risk of hypertension of 1.14 and 1.26, respectively [23]. Recent studies have provided evidence in support of these findings, with higher SBP and DBP levels in individuals exposed to occupational noise and significantly higher risk of hypertension [4, 12]. This is further supported by studies reporting aircraft and traffic noise exposure as associated with increased SBP and DBP, and relative risks of hypertension of 1.14 and 1.59 respectively [3]. However, other studies have reported no increased risk of the hypertension with occupational noise exposure [16] or even a negative association [24], and no effect upon DBP [13]. These inconsistencies may in part be the product of the difficulty in defining noise exposure. Health outcomes depend greatly on the context and characteristics of acoustic insult; not only the intensity, but also stimulus duration, frequency content, and predictability [8]. However, some of the inconsistency may also be the product of small cohort sizes, inappropriate measures of exposure time and level, and an inability to adjust for potential confounding factors. In our study, we were able to adjust for a range of confounding factors such as age, gender, BMI, smoking, and alcohol consumption. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to demonstrate that noise exposure is associated with greater physiological effects and risk of hypertension amongst males, individuals who are overweight or obese, and those in urban locations. Our findings may aid in identifying at-risk individuals who would most benefit from therapeutic intervention.

While occupational noise has been reported to be associated with hypertension, and other forms of heart disease, the mechanisms underpinning these associations have not yet been elucidated. However, evidence from the study of noise exposure upon blood pressure may provide insight into these processes. Acute noise exposure has effects on the endocrine and sympathetic nervous systems that result in physiological changes such as increased heart rate, blood pressure, vasoconstriction, production of stress hormones [25]. Noise may induce the production of stress factors via both direct and indirect pathways leading to changes in blood glucose, pressure, and lipid concentration levels [26], and chronic noise exposure is associated with alterations in a number of biochemical molecules implicated in CVD [27].

Epigenetic mechanisms frequently mediate the effects of environmental exposures in relation to health and disease. Alterations in DNA methylation have been implicated with many diseases including cancer and CVD. Importantly, several studies have demonstrated that epigenetic changes in response to different stresses including disruption of circadian system, chronic social stress, and famine stress from the Dutch Hunger [28]. In particular, changes in the epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor gene *NR3C1* has been reported in

response to different forms of stress [29, 30]. In our previous work, we have demonstrated global and gene-specific (*Bdnf*, *Comt*, *Mc2r*) DNA methylation changes in the brain response to both short-term and long-term noise exposure [31]. These epigenetic changes were significantly associated with changes in body weight and blood pressure, and we therefore speculate that epigenetic factors may mediate the risk of elevated blood pressure and hypertension in individuals with high levels of occupational noise exposure. This is supported by the wealth of evidence for the role of epigenetic alterations in biological process linked with hypertension, atherosclerosis, and inflammation [32].

There are several limitations in our study. Firstly, more precise measurement of noise exposure would potentially increase the power and accuracy of analysis, but this would have been prohibitively expensive in a large cross-sectional study such as our own. It is also known that noise exposures are correlated with air pollution exposure, such as via traffic. In this study, we were unable to account for ambient and traffic air pollution, although we were able to adjust for residential area and occupation. Finally, due to the lack of standard and insightful measures of noise exposure (e.g. intensity, stimulus duration, frequency content, predictability), our study has suffered from the same inherent difficulty as others in describing exposure levels. However, it should also be noted that our study has several key strengths. Most notably, we have been able to leverage a very large cohort of young adults who are otherwise healthy and provide sufficient statistical power to accurately analyse the impact of exposure upon blood pressure and risk of hypertension. Furthermore, due to the well-characterized nature of the cohort, we were able to adjust for a large number of potential confounders to more precisely study the effect of occupational noise exposure.

In summary, our study has revealed that occupational noise exposure is associated with higher risk of elevated blood pressure and hypertension in young adults, and we have demonstrated an interaction of noise with gender and BMI. Further work is required to investigate the biological mechanisms that may explain these associations.

Acknowledgments

We are extremely grateful to the Tianjin Research Institute for Family Planning which provided the data for the study.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Liqiong Guo, Peng-hui Li, Hyang-Min Byun.

Data curation: Hao Wang, Hongbin Liu, Changping Li, Ning Ding, Yan Li, Liqiong Guo, Peng-hui Li, Hyang-Min Byun.

Formal analysis: Akin Cayir.

Funding acquisition: Liqiong Guo, Peng-hui Li.

Project administration: Liqiong Guo, Hyang-Min Byun.

Supervision: Liqiong Guo, Peng-hui Li, Hyang-Min Byun.

Writing – original draft: Akin Cayir.

Writing – review & editing: Akin Cayir, Timothy M. Barrow, Choong-Min Kang, Hyang-Min Byun.

References

1. Garcia A. Environmental urban noise: WIT press; 2001.

2. Houthuijs D, van Beek A, Swart W, van Kempen E. Health implication of road, railway and aircraft noise in the European Union: Provisional results based on the 2nd round of noise mapping. RIVM report 2014–0130. 2014.
3. Jarup L, Babisch W, Houthuijs D, Pershagen G, Katsouyanni K, Cadum E, et al. Hypertension and exposure to noise near airports: the HYENA study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008; 116(3):329. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.10775> PMID: 18335099
4. Chen S, Ni Y, Zhang L, Kong L, Lu L, Yang Z, et al. Noise exposure in occupational setting associated with elevated blood pressure in China. *BMC Public Health*. 2017; 17(1):107. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4050-0> PMID: 28114916
5. Jabbari K, Nassiri P, Monazzam Esmaeelpour MR, Azam K, Faridan M, Heidari L. The Relationship between Occupational Noise Exposure and Noise Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL) in Small-Scale Industries: A Case Study in the City of Damavand, Iran. *Biotechnology and Health Sciences*. 2016; 3(4):49–56.
6. Commission E. Health Effects—Noise—Environment 2016 [cited 2017 19/11/2017]. http://ec.europa.eu/environment/noise/health_effects_en.htm.
7. Stansfeld SA, Matheson MP. Noise pollution: non-auditory effects on health. *Br Med Bull*. 2003; 68(1):243–57.
8. Hammer MS, Swinburn TK, Neitzel RL. Environmental noise pollution in the United States: developing an effective public health response. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2014; 122(2):115. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307272> PMID: 24311120
9. Hurlley C. Night noise guidelines for Europe: WHO Regional Office Europe; 2009.
10. WHO. Burden of disease from environmental noise: Quantification of healthy life years lost in Europe. 2011..
11. WHO. Projections of mortality and causes of death, 2015 and 2030. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2014.
12. de Souza TCF, Périssé ARS, Moura M. Noise exposure and hypertension: investigation of a silent relationship. *BMC Public Health*. 2015; 15(1):328.
13. Lee JH, Kang W, Yaang SR, Choy N, Lee CR. Cohort study for the effect of chronic noise exposure on blood pressure among male workers in Busan, Korea. *Am J Ind Med*. 2009; 52(6):509–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.20692> PMID: 19267371
14. Chang T-Y, Hwang B-F, Liu C-S, Chen R-Y, Wang V-S, Bao B-Y, et al. Occupational noise exposure and incident hypertension in men: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2013; 177(8):818–25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kws300> PMID: 23470795
15. Sbihi H, Davies HW, Demers PA. Hypertension in noise-exposed sawmill workers: a cohort study. *Occup Environ Med*. 2008; 65(9):643–6. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2007.035709> PMID: 18178588
16. Stokholm ZA, Bonde JP, Christensen KL, Hansen ÅM, Kolstad HA. Occupational noise exposure and the risk of hypertension. *Epidemiology*. 2013; 24(1):135–42. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e31826b7f76> PMID: 23191997
17. Inoue M, Laskar MS, Harada N. Cross-sectional study on occupational noise and hypertension in the workplace. *Arch Environ Occup Health*. 2005; 60(2):106–10. <https://doi.org/10.3200/AEOH.60.2.106-110> PMID: 16983863
18. Barrow TM, Peng C, Wilson A, Wang H, Liu H, Shen L, et al. Psychosocial stress is associated with benign breast disease in young Chinese women: results from Project ELEFANT. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-018-4979-4> PMID: 30255453
19. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr., et al. The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. *Jama*. 2003; 289(19):2560–72. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.289.19.2560> PMID: 12748199
20. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Collins KJ, Himmelfarb CD, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017: 24430.
21. Ganzeboom HBG, editor A new International Socio-Economic Index (ISEI) of occupational status for the International Standard Classification of Occupation 2008 (ISCO-08) constructed with data from the ISSP 2002–2007. Annual Conference of International Social Survey Programme, Lisbon; 2010.
22. Chen C, Lu FC, Department of Disease Control Ministry of Health PRC. The guidelines for prevention and control of overweight and obesity in Chinese adults. *Biomedical and environmental sciences: BES*. 2004; 17 Suppl:1–36.

23. Van Kempen EEMM, Kruize H, Boshuizen HC, Ameling CB, Staatsen BAM, de Hollander AEM. The association between noise exposure and blood pressure and ischemic heart disease: a meta-analysis. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2002; 110(3):307.
24. Inoue M, Laskar M, Harada N. Cross-sectional study on occupational noise and hypertension in the workplace. *Arch Environ Occup Health*. 2005; 60(2):106–10. <https://doi.org/10.3200/AEOH.60.2.106-110> PMID: 16983863
25. Babisch W. Cardiovascular effects of noise. *Noise and Health*. 2011; 13(52):201. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1463-1741.80148> PMID: 21537102
26. Babisch W. Updated exposure-response relationship between road traffic noise and coronary heart diseases: a meta-analysis. *Noise and Health*. 2014; 16(68):1. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1463-1741.127847> PMID: 24583674
27. Basner M, Babisch W, Davis A, Brink M, Clark C, Janssen S, et al. Auditory and non-auditory effects of noise on health. *The Lancet*. 2014; 383(9925):1325–32.
28. Tobi EW, Goeman JJ, Monajemi R, Gu H, Putter H, Zhang Y, et al. DNA methylation signatures link pre-natal famine exposure to growth and metabolism. *Nature communications*. 2014; 5.
29. Lee RS, Tamashiro KLK, Yang X, Purcell RH, Huo Y, Rongione M, et al. A measure of glucocorticoid load provided by DNA methylation of Fkbp5 in mice. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2011; 218(1):303–12.
30. McGowan PO, Sasaki A, D'Alessio AC, Dymov S, Labonté B, Szyf M, et al. Epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human brain associates with childhood abuse. *Nat Neurosci*. 2009; 12(3):342–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2270> PMID: 19234457
31. Guo L, Li PH, Li H, Colicino E, Colicino S, Wen Y, et al. Effects of environmental noise exposure on DNA methylation in the brain and metabolic health. *Environ Res*. 2017; 153:73–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.11.017> PMID: 27914298
32. Zhong J, Agha G, Baccarelli AA. The role of DNA methylation in cardiovascular risk and disease. *Circ Res*. 2016; 118(1):119–31. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.305206> PMID: 26837743