

Pappas, Nikolaos (2021) COVID19: Holiday Intentions during a Pandemic. Tourism Management, 84. ISSN 0261-5177

Downloaded from: http://sure.sunderland.ac.uk/id/eprint/13980/

Usage gu	idelines					
Please	refer	to	the	usage	guidelines	at
http://sure	e.sunderland	.ac.uk/po	licies.html	or	alternatively	contact
sure@sun	derland.ac.u	k.			-	

COVID19: Holiday Intentions during a Pandemic

Nikolaos Pappas

PII: S0261-5177(21)00006-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2021.104287

Reference: JTMA 104287

To appear in: Tourism Management

Received Date: 8 June 2020

Revised Date: 11 November 2020

Accepted Date: 7 January 2021

Please cite this article as: Pappas, N., COVID19: Holiday Intentions during a Pandemic, *Tourism Management*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2021.104287.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



Credit author statement

I am declaring the sole authorship of the paper entitled "COVID19: Holiday

Intentions during a Pandemic".

Johnal

Title Page

Manuscript Title:

COVID19: Holiday Intentions during a Pandemic

Nikolaos Pappas

Associate Professor in Tourism, Hospitality & Events

Department of Hospitality, Events, Aviation and Tourism, University of Sunderland,

U.K.

Sir Tom Cowie Campus, St. Peter's Way, SR6 0DD, Sunderland, U.K.

Telephone: +44 (0)191 515 2651

E-mail: nikolaos.pappas@sunderland.ac.uk

Declarations of interest: none

		10.1		

1	COVID19: Holiday Intentions during a Pandemic
2	
3	Abstract
4	The travel, tourism and hospitality industries have been the worst affected of the
5	world's major economic sectors during the COVID19 pandemic, which has had a
6	devastating effect on both destinations and organisations. Drawing from a sample
7	(N=385) of adult permanent residents of Athens, Greece, the study examines the
8	impact of COVID19 upon holiday intention. The chaordic systems are evaluated
9	through the use of fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis, whilst the study also
10	used Necessary Condition Analysis for the calculation of the size effects of the
11	examined conditions. The findings reveal two sufficient complex configurations
12	leading to holiday intention: (i) holiday risks, and (ii) impact of COVID19. Based on
13	the results, the article also offers a set of managerial implications. The contribution of
14	the study is to both theoretical and methodological tourism domains.
15	
16	Keywords: fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis; Necessary Condition
17	Analysis; Coronavirus; holiday intention; Greece
18	
19	
20	
21	

22 **1. Introduction**

23 And then, a pandemic came. A pneumonia of unknown cause was first detected in Wuhan, China, and it was reported to the World Health Organisation (WHO) Country 24 Office in China on 31st December 2019 (WHO, 2020). Actually, the first case of a 55-25 year-old man from Hubei province was traced back to 17th November 2019, and the 26 Chinese authorities identified at least 266 cases of Coronavirus (COVID19) before the 27 end of the year (Ma, 2020). In Europe, COVID19 was first detected on 27th December 28 2019 in France (Roberts, 2020), four days earlier than the first case was reported by 29 30 WHO. Since then, many more European countries have begun to report confirmed cases of COVID19, whilst in the United States the first confirmed case was reported 31 on 19th January (Holshue et al., 2020). The outbreak was declared a Public Health 32 Emergency of International Concern on 30th January, whilst the name 'COVID19' for 33 the new Coronavirus disease was announced by WHO on 11th February (WHO, 34 2020). The scientific community has given the strain an interim name of 2019-nCoV, 35 taking into account the year of discovery, its status as a 'novel' virus, and its family 36 name (CoV) (Doyle, 2020). On 11th March, WHO declared the novel COVID19 37 outbreak a global pandemic (Cucinotta and Vanelli, 2020). Globally, by the end of the 38 spring (31st May), more than 6.2 million people had been infected with COVID19, 39 40 resulting in more than 370,000 fatalities, whilst approximately 2.8 million had 41 recovered (John Hopkins, 2020). 42

The unprecedented COVID19 health crisis has brought the world to a standstill, and
tourism has been the worst affected of all major economic sectors (UNWTO, 2020a).
Concerning the aviation industry up until May 2020, estimates compared with figures
for 2019 show an overall reduction in seats offered by airlines ranging from 32 to 59

47	percent, an overall reduction in passengers ranging from 1.8 million to 3.2 million,
48	and an overall loss of gross operating revenues for airlines ranging from 240 to 420
49	billion USD (ICAO, 2020). This has led several airlines, including South African
50	Airways, Avianca Holdings, Air Mauritius, Virgin Australia, Miami Air International,
51	BRA, Flybe, RavnAir, Air Deccan, and Trans States Airlines, to declare themselves
52	bankrupt (Madureira, 2020). In tourism for 2020 the estimated fall in international
53	arrivals compared with 2019 figures is expected to reach 30 percent, with financial
54	losses of 450 billion USD in international tourism receipts, almost a third of its global
55	contribution (UNWTO, 2020b). Accordingly, 75 million jobs are expected to be lost
56	in 2020 from the tourism sector (WTTC, 2020). All these aspects illustrate a deep
57	crisis, placing tourism in its darkest hour.
58	
59	Nevertheless, even if COVID19 has brought tourism to uncharted waters, our
60	knowledge from previous crises (indicatively please read Cirstea [2014], Gurtner
61	[2016], and Khazai, Mahdavian and Platt [2018]) shows that the industry can quickly
62	recover and return to normality. A precondition of this is to regain the holiday
63	intention of visitors. Tourism-related literature has examined a series of effects
64	generated by disasters and crises. More specifically, several previous studies focused
65	on crises and evaluated numerous issues such as business efficiency (Pearce II and
66	Michael, 2006; Olthetena, Sougiannis, Travlos and Zarkos, 2013), productivity
67	aspects (Mar-Molinero, Menéndez-Plans and Orgaz-Guerrero, 2017; Yépez, 2017),
68	operational ability (Akrivos, Reklitis and Theodoroyiani, 2014; Epstein, Shapiro and
69	Gómez, 2017) competitiveness (Cirstea, 2014; Pappas, 2015), innovation output
70	(García-Pozo, Sanchez-Ollero, and Ons-Cappa, 2016; Naidoo, Ramseook-Munhurrun,

and Seetaram, 2011), and enterprising cooperation (Okumus and Karamustafa, 2005;

Voltes-Dorta, Rodríguez-Deniz and Suau-Sanchez, 2017). However, the literature is
predominantly silent upon the examination of holiday intentions during crises, let
alone an unprecedented crisis like COVID19.

75

Holiday decision-making is characterised by high complexity levels, especially during 76 periods of rapid change and uncertainty (Pappas, 2019). This study aims to examine 77 78 the impact of COVID19 upon the holiday intention of the residents of Athens, people living in a country that has successfully managed to minimize the impact of the 79 80 pandemic, but has been battling with an economic crisis for more than a decade. To do so, the research investigates the psychological impact of COVID19, the economic 81 82 impact of the pandemic, the recession and COVID19, and the travel, destination and 83 hospitality risks. The theoretical contribution of the study is a better understanding of 84 the formulation of holiday intention during a COVID19 nationwide lockdown. Methodologically, its contribution is twofold. First, it examines the complexity of 85 86 holiday intentions by using fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis, a method that has only recently been employed in the travel and tourism domain. Second, it 87 progresses to a complementary analysis of the size effects of the examined conditions 88 by using Necessary Condition Analysis, a new method in the service sector. 89

90

91 **2.** The Greek case

On 26th February 2020 the first confirmed case of COVID19 appeared in Greece
(APE-MPE, 2020). The Greek government had taken widespread measures (i.e. the
closure of educational institutions and non-essential services) in order to prevent the
spread of the virus in the very early stages (11th March), and it progressed to a
complete lockdown and prohibition of movement on 23rd March (Menshouse, 2020).

97	These decisions were taken because of: the lessons leant from countries (e.g. Italy)
98	that already had a substantial number of COVID19 fatalities; the shortage of intensive
99	care units (less than 550 beds throughout the country) (Sarris, 2020); the extensive
100	lack of medical and paramedical personnel; and the overall bad shape of the national
101	health system (in the last decade 70,000 beds were lost and 359 hospital departments
102	were closed) due to extensive budget cuts made over more than a decade to tackle the
103	Greek economic crisis (Pigadas, 2020). These early stage measures led to a very low
104	number of fatalities (less than 200) during the first wave (spring 2020) of COVID19.
105	
106	Conversely, in terms of handling the socio-economic crisis generated by the
107	pandemic, Greece showed one of the worst performances among EU member states.
108	Indicatively, when most EU countries were subsidising 70 to 100 percent of lost
109	salaries, Greece was only covering 50 percent, the level of financial support for the
110	unemployed (800 \in) was one of the lowest in the EU, and there was no protection of
111	collective employment agreements or mechanism for avoidance of redundancies
112	(Kopsini, 2020). It should also be noted that COVID19 had already devastated the
113	Greek tourism and hospitality industry, a sector that contributes approximately 20
114	percent of the country's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (Reuters, 2020). According
115	to the IMF (2020), COVID19 will cause Greece to face a 10 percent loss in GDP
116	during 2020, and a 5.1 percent loss in 2021.
117	

To summarise, during the first wave of the pandemic Greece did not face a health crisis. Due to the effective risk management employed through widespread measures taken at a very early stage, Greece has avoided a crisis pandemic. On the other hand, the national economy, already weakened by a prolonged recession, was severely hit

by COVID19, whilst the measures taken to avoid the socio-economic effects of thepandemic were at best inadequate.

- 124
- 125

3. Chaos, complexity and chaordic systems

In recent decades, research has paid considerable attention to chaos control in 126 business systems (Du, Huang and Sheng, 2009). The term 'chaos' refers to "a class of 127 128 dynamic behaviour of deterministic systems characterized by sensitive dependence on initial conditions, diverging but constrained trajectories that imply unpredictability, 129 130 and complex organisation or structure" (Schuldberg, 2011, p.183). Chaos theory was initially devised in 1963 (Lawrence, Feng and Huang, 2003), and has proved to be 131 particularly useful when analysing complex systems (Mahmoudabadi, 2015). The 132 133 theory of complexity has developed from the theory of chaos, and is mainly employed 134 for studies researching aspects that include complex characteristics. Complexity theory concerns the systems that include several interacting agents, and even if it is 135 136 difficult to make predictions, these are structured systems and allow improvement (Zahra and Ryan, 2007). 137

138

The concept of the 'chaordic-system' has emerged from the relationship which is 139 140 strong between complexity and chaos (Fitzgerald and Van-Eijnatten, 2002). Hock 141 (1995) suggested the term 'chaordic' term in order to emphasise the character of chaotically-ordered entities and complex systems. It is derived from the amalgamation 142 of the words chaos and order, and creates the technical term 'chaord' (Van-Eijnatten, 143 144 Putnik and Sluga, 2007). The main characteristic of a chaordic system is the dynamic and complex set of specific elemental connections that formulate a unified whole, 145 146 whilst behaviourally it is at the same time unpredictable (chaos) even if it follows

147 specific patterns (order) (Olmedo, 2011). The main features of these systems are (Olmedo and Mateos, 2015): (i) the impossibility of long-term planning; (ii) their 148 constant change, and their potential to form new complex structures in a spontaneous 149 150 and endogenous manner; and (iii) their substantial influence based upon unexpectedly 151 dramatic changes. As a result, a chaordic system has long memory (long-range correlational involvement and chaotic oscillations included in time series and in a 152 153 non-stationery nature [Lahmiri, 2017]), self-organisation (systemically exhibiting emergent properties by internally organising behaviours/operations [Kauffman, 154 155 Peterson, Samuelsson and Troein, 2003]), asymmetry (no statistical distribution, equivalence or equality with regard to operation, functions and behaviours [Waz and 156 Waz, 2009]), resilience (the system is able to handle the conditions occurred, recover, 157 158 and react accordingly [Mycek et al., 2017]), and it is sensitive when dealing with the 159 initial conditions (the system has the ability to quickly diverge when the conditions slightly differ [Olmedo and Mateos, 2015]). 160

161

Within a business framework, chaos and complexity theories suggest that when 162 organisations are at the edge of chaos, having to confront the opposing forces of 163 stability and instability, they can disconnect from their previous operations and 164 165 processes and, based on their ability to organise, accept the emergence of a new order. 166 In this way, they can abruptly move from one state to another in a qualitative manner (Smith and Humphries, 2004). During crises and disasters, there is a dramatic increase 167 in the complexity aspects of a business environment (Coskun and Ozceylan, 2011), 168 169 hence, complexity theory is also linked to emergency management (Morakabati, 2016), creating a need for the examination of the formulated chaordic system (Pappas, 170 171 2018). At present, forecasting for a long term period of time is unlikely for chaotic

systems, and substantial change may occur when it is not expected; hence,

173 "adaptiveness and flexibility are vital for the survival of organisations (Levy, 1994).

174

175	In travel and tourism, destinations and organisations need to gain the highest possible
176	resilience when facing inevitable crises and disasters (Paraskevas, 2006). As Farrell
177	and Twining-Ward (2004) suggest, tourism is a complex, uncertain, and unpredictable
178	system, and the dynamics of tourism anarchy and its non-linear systems of complexity
179	are essential in transitional periods. An analysis of current crisis management in the
180	travel and tourism domain shows the need for a different approach to managing
181	tourism crises due to the likely complex and chaotic nature of these events (Reddy,
182	Boyd and Nica, 2020). Concerning tourists, they are characterised by complex
183	psychology, and their perspectives are difficult to quantify, calibrate, and sometimes
184	justify (Zhai, Zhong and Luo, 2019). Therefore, a complexity-based perspective when
185	evaluating crises in the travel and tourism industries can provide a better
186	understanding of tourism crisis management and planning (Reddy et al., 2020).
187	

188 **4.** Study tenets

189 The services research literature uses the word 'tenet' to describe testable precepts able to identify some kind of order within chaordic systems (Pappas, 2018) and is 190 connected with complexity theory (Papatheodorou and Pappas, 2017). The metrics of 191 192 consistency and statistical hypotheses are not likely to be included when we employ outcome scores in order to evaluate the extent to which complex configurations are 193 adequate (Wu, Yeh, Huan and Woodside, 2014). According to configurational theory, 194 195 when considering factor arrangement, different outcomes may be generated from the same set of causal factors (Ordanini, Parasuraman and Rubera, 2014). This research 196

197	examines the impact of COVID19 upon the holiday intention of Athenian adult
198	permanent residents. Therefore, the presence or absence of a given condition (binary
199	sets) affecting the holiday intention of the respondents was examined. Along with the
200	socio-demographics of age and monthly income, the six examined attributes were: (i)
201	the psychological impact of COVID19; (ii) the economic impact of the pandemic; (iii)
202	the recession and COVID19; (iv) the travel risks; (v) the destination risks; and (vi) the
203	hospitality risks. Taking into consideration previous research by Olya and Altinay
204	(2016) and Pappas (2018) for the formulation of tenets, the study includes the
205	following six:
206	
207	T1: A given attribute is able to determine different effects of COVID19 upon holiday
208	intention in accordance with its configuration/interaction with other attributes.
209	T2: Recipe principle: For the moment that two or more simple conditions formulate a
210	complex configuration, a condition of outcome is able to have a high consistent score.
211	T3: The interactions/configurations that are complex are able to influence the effect of
212	COVID19 upon holiday intention.
213	T4: Within different combinations the simple conditions of interactions/configurations
214	are able to affect in a positive or negative manner the effect of COVID19 upon
215	holiday intention.
216	T5: Equifinality principle: A sufficient effect of COVID19 upon holiday intention
217	cannot always be the result of a high score of outcome.
218	T6: When there are high Y scores, a recipe that is considered given for the effect of
219	COVID19 upon holiday intention is not relevant for all cases.
220	
221	As Pappas (2018) suggests, the criteria confirming the above tenets are:

222

223 C1: All eight (two socio-demographics and six attributes) simple conditions must

appear in at least one generated solution.

- 225 C2: A minimum of two out of eight simple conditions must be included in each
- complex configuration generated by the analysis.
- 227 C3: Each solution must provide a different pathway for holiday intention.
- 228 C4: Not even one of the examined simple conditions must be present in all generated
- sufficient complex configurations.
- 230 C5: fsQCA must provide at least two sufficient complex configurations for the effect
- 231 of COVID19 upon holiday intention.
- 232 C6: No sufficient complex configuration must appear to have a coverage that can be
- applied in all cases.
- 234
- **5. Methods**
- 236
- 237 *5.1. Participants*

238	The study area was Athens, Greece. The research sample consisted of permanent adult
239	residents of Athens recruited during April 2020. From 23 rd March until 4 th May the
240	whole country (including Athens) was in strict lockdown due to COVID19, therefore
241	the research was based on telephone interviews and used structured questionnaires.
242	More specifically, the participants were randomly contacted using the starting
243	landline telephone code of 210 followed by seven more digits. Most Athenian
244	landline telephone numbers follow this pattern. In order to reduce research bias, list-
245	wise deletion was used (the entire record was excluded from the analysis) for partially

completed interviews. When handling missing data, list-wise deletion is considered tobe the least problematic method (Allison, 2001).

248

249 *5.2. Sample*

250 The perspectives of the examined population were unknown, since the conditions under which this research took place were unprecedented. For this reason, the most 251 252 conservative response format of 50/50 (50 percent of respondents have a positive attitude and 50 percent a negative one) had to be assumed (Akis et al., 1996). The 253 254 cumulative probability (Z) for a sample larger than 20 people is 1.96 (Sekaran and Bougie, 2013). Moreover, following Akis, Peristianis and Warner (1996), a minimum 255 95 percent level of confidence and a maximum five percent statistical error were 256 257 taken into consideration. Hence, the sample size was:

258

$$N = \frac{Z^{2}(\text{hypothesis})}{S^{2}} \Rightarrow N = \frac{1.96^{2}(0.5)(0.5)}{0.05^{2}} \Rightarrow N = 384.16$$

259

According to Aaker and Day (1990) the sample size calculation is independent of the overall size of the population. This is because the sample size determines the error, as also shown in the formula above. Data gathering was complete when 385 useful questionnaires had been collected.

264

265 *5.3. Measures*

266 The questionnaire consisted of 37 Likert scale statements (1: Strongly disagree; 5:

267 Strongly agree) and two socio-demographic (age; income) questions. None of the

- 268 statements was adopted from previous studies. The research also included two
- 269 exclusion questions, since the respondents had to be adult Athenian resident

permanently residing in the city for at least the last three years. Concerning the
examined socio-demographics, the study by Pappas (2019) was followed for the age
groupings 18-35, 36-50, and over 50. According to Trading Economics (2020), during
2019 the average monthly income in Greece was 1060 €. The research rounded the
examination threshold to 1000 €.

275

276 The descriptive statistics and factor analysis were made through 'SPSS' software. The complex statements were evaluated using fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis 277 278 (fsQCA), by using 'fsQCA' software. The effect size of the examined antecedents was measured using Necessary Condition Analysis (NCA), by using 'R Studio' 279 280 software. According to Longest and Vaisey (2008), fsQCA is a mixed method, since it 281 combines the empirical testing of quantitative data and the analysis of specific cases through qualitative inductive reasoning. The research also takes into consideration the 282 study by Woodside and Zhang (2013), and estimates the inclusion or not of a given 283 condition (negated sets), indicating the absence of a condition with the symbol " \sim ". 284 Moreover, NCA was used in order to identify the necessary dataset conditions. 285 According to Dul (2020), this method can be employed in a complementary manner in 286 both parametric (i.e. regression) and non-parametric analysis (i.e. QCA). It is 287 important to employ NCA because a necessary condition is considered a vital 288 289 outcome factor, and without this condition the outcome will not occur (ERiM, 2020). 290 According to Skarmeas, Leonidou and Saridakis (2014), fsQCA can be employed 291 292 only when a general asymmetry is present toward the relationships under evaluation, and the absolute correlated values are less than .6. Table 1 presents the correlation 293 matrix of the examined coefficients, showing the existence of general asymmetry in 294

295	acceptable values (<.6). As Woodside (2013) suggests, these findings indicate that the
296	examined causal conditions can lead to the same outcome. The study aims to
297	investigate the effect of COVID19 on the holiday intentions of adult Athenian
298	permanent residents, by estimating the complex antecedent conditions (causal recipes)
299	of the following antecedents: (i) COVID19 psychological impact; (ii) COVID19
300	economic impact; (iii) recession and COVID19; (iv) travel risks; (v) destination risks;
301	and (vi) hospitality risks. It also examines the effect of the socio-demographics of age
302	and monthly income. Further, it employs NCA in a complementary analysis in order
303	to estimate the size effect of the examined conditions and determine whether they can
304	lead to the desired outcome.
305	
306	Please insert Table 1
307	
308	5.4. Algorithms
309	The research calibration was achieved using 38 randomly selected individual cases.
309 310	The research calibration was achieved using 38 randomly selected individual cases. To examine the holiday intention of the respondents due to COVID19, 'f_hi', the
309 310 311	The research calibration was achieved using 38 randomly selected individual cases. To examine the holiday intention of the respondents due to COVID19, 'f_hi', the fuzzy-sets used were: for age 'f_a'; for monthly income 'f_i'; for COVID19
309 310 311 312	The research calibration was achieved using 38 randomly selected individual cases. To examine the holiday intention of the respondents due to COVID19, 'f_hi', the fuzzy-sets used were: for age 'f_a'; for monthly income 'f_i'; for COVID19 psychological impact 'f_pci'; for COVID19 economic impact 'f_cei'; for recession
 309 310 311 312 313 	The research calibration was achieved using 38 randomly selected individual cases. To examine the holiday intention of the respondents due to COVID19, 'f_hi', the fuzzy-sets used were: for age 'f_a'; for monthly income 'f_i'; for COVID19 psychological impact 'f_pci'; for COVID19 economic impact 'f_cei'; for recession and COVID19 'f_rc'; for travel risks 'f_tr'; for destination risks 'f_dr'; and for
 309 310 311 312 313 314 	The research calibration was achieved using 38 randomly selected individual cases. To examine the holiday intention of the respondents due to COVID19, 'f_hi', the fuzzy-sets used were: for age 'f_a'; for monthly income 'f_i'; for COVID19 psychological impact 'f_pci'; for COVID19 economic impact 'f_cei'; for recession and COVID19 'f_rc'; for travel risks 'f_tr'; for destination risks 'f_dr'; and for hospitality risks 'f_hr'.
 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 	The research calibration was achieved using 38 randomly selected individual cases. To examine the holiday intention of the respondents due to COVID19, 'f_hi', the fuzzy-sets used were: for age 'f_a'; for monthly income 'f_i'; for COVID19 psychological impact 'f_pci'; for COVID19 economic impact 'f_cei'; for recession and COVID19 'f_rc'; for travel risks 'f_tr'; for destination risks 'f_dr'; and for hospitality risks 'f_hr'.
 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 	The research calibration was achieved using 38 randomly selected individual cases. To examine the holiday intention of the respondents due to COVID19, 'f_hi', the fuzzy-sets used were: for age 'f_a'; for monthly income 'f_i'; for COVID19 psychological impact 'f_pci'; for COVID19 economic impact 'f_cei'; for recession and COVID19 'f_rc'; for travel risks 'f_tr'; for destination risks 'f_dr'; and for hospitality risks 'f_hr'. 6. Results
 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 	The research calibration was achieved using 38 randomly selected individual cases. To examine the holiday intention of the respondents due to COVID19, 'f_hi', the fuzzy-sets used were: for age 'f_a'; for monthly income 'f_i'; for COVID19 psychological impact 'f_pci'; for COVID19 economic impact 'f_cei'; for recession and COVID19 'f_rc'; for travel risks 'f_tr'; for destination risks 'f_dr'; and for hospitality risks 'f_hr'. 6. Results The socio-demographic characteristics of the sample are presented in Table 2. The
 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 	The research calibration was achieved using 38 randomly selected individual cases. To examine the holiday intention of the respondents due to COVID19, 'f_hi', the fuzzy-sets used were: for age 'f_a'; for monthly income 'f_i'; for COVID19 psychological impact 'f_pci'; for COVID19 economic impact 'f_cei'; for recession and COVID19 'f_rc'; for travel risks 'f_tr'; for destination risks 'f_dr'; and for hospitality risks 'f_hr'. 6. Results The socio-demographic characteristics of the sample are presented in Table 2. The largest age group was people between 36 and 50 years of age (48.3 percent). There

	urn		D			
υ	սո	al			10	

- slim majority of 51.7 percent of people had monthly incomes higher than $1000 \in$).
- 321 Table 3 illustrates the descriptive statistics for the study, including the Likert scale
- 322 statements for each examined condition.
- 323

324 Please insert Table 2

- 325
- 326 Please insert **Table 3**
- 327
- 328 As previously mentioned, all statements were formulated for the current research.
- 329 Therefore, Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) was employed for the examination of
- the loadings (Table 4). The KMO test score was .772, higher than the minimum
- acceptable (>.6). Following Norman and Streiner (2008), all the rotated component
- matrix loadings that scored less than .4 were excluded from further analysis due to
- low commonality. Reliability analysis was conducted using Cronbach's alpha (A).
- The overall A was .739, whilst in all cases A was higher than .8 (the minimum

acceptable value is .7 [Nunnally, 1978]).

336

- 337 Please insert Table 4
- 338

339 *6.1. Sufficient complex configurations*

340 The results generated three complex solutions able to lead to holiday intention (Table

- 341 5). The first sufficient configuration $(f_a, f_i, f_cei, f_cei, f_rc, f_tr, f_dr, f_hr)$
- includes the socio-demographic of age and has high membership scores concerning
- travel, destination, and hospitality risks. This complex statement appears to have the
- highest consistency (.84921) of all three solutions. The second complex solution

345	$(f_a, f_i, f_pci, f_cei, f_rc, f_r, f_dr, f_hr)$ includes both of the examined socio-
346	demographics (age; monthly income), and has high scores in COVID19 psychological
347	and economic impacts. The third solution (~f_a,f_i,f_pci,f_cei,f_rc,~f_tr,~f_dr,~f_hr)
348	embeds the monthly income socio-demographic, and includes high membership
349	scores for COVID19 psychological and economic impacts, and recession and
350	COVID19. This sufficient complex configuration has the highest coverage (.46924)
351	and lowest consistency (.80827).
352 353 354	Please insert Table 5
355	6.2. Size effects
356	The effect size (d) of the examined conditions was evaluated using NCA. As
357	illustrated in Table 6, ce_fdh and cr_fdh are the ceiling zone in the middle parametric
358	group where the ceiling zone is first displayed, and specify the minimum and
359	maximum values of X and Y (Dul, 2020). As Dul (2020) indicates, most of the time
360	ce_fdh produces a higher ceiling zone than cr_fdh. The results suggest that almost all
361	the examined conditions (COVID19 psychological and economic impact; travel,
362	destination and hospitality risks) show a small effect (0 <d<.1). however,="" recession<="" td=""></d<.1).>
363	and COVID19 appears to have no effect (d=0), meaning that its inclusion in a
364	generated solution cannot lead to the desired outcome. Therefore, the third solution
365	generated by the fsQCA analysis (~f_a,f_i,f_ci,f_cei,f_rc,~f_tr,~f_dr,~f_hr) should be
366	disregarded. Figure 1 visually presents the NCA results.
367	
368	Please insert Table 6

370 Please insert Figure 1 371 7. Discussion 372 373 7.1. Confirmation of tenets 374 Although NCA has excluded the third sufficient complex configuration generated by 375 376 fsQCA, the evaluation of whether the tenets are confirmed should include all three solutions. This is because NCA was a complementary method used to evaluate the 377 378 size effects of the examined conditions, and did not affect the generation, combination, and efficiency of complex configurations as they were generated by 379 fsQCA. 380 381 Table 5 presents the coverage of the three sufficient complex configurations, which is 382 high (.43556). Moreover, all eight of the simple conditions are present in at least one 383 384 of the generated complex sufficient configurations, regardless of the fact that all solutions end up having the same outcome. This shows that each attribute has a 385 contribution in a different way to the formulation of respondents' holiday intention 386 related with the combination with the rest of the simple conditions. Therefore, the first 387 388 tenet (T1) is confirmed. All three of the solutions include four attributes (more than 389 two simple conditions are needed in order to create a complex configuration), and lead to the same outcome. Previous studies, such as Woodside (2014) and Pappas 390 (2018), highlight this finding, and subsequently confirm the second tenet (T2). As 391 392 previously mentioned, fsQCA in not based on variables but cases, and their solutions deal with (Ordanini et al., 2014): (i) an outcome concerning the combination of the 393 394 examined antecedents; and (ii) the way these conditions are related within the specific

395 combination. Therefore, each sufficient complex configuration is generated through 396 the complexity that specific simple antecedents interact, affecting the final outcome (Olya and Altinay, 2016). Thus the third tenet (T3) is confirmed. The inclusion or 397 398 exclusion of specific attributes (contrarian case analysis) has shown that whether a simple condition is present or absent influences the effect upon the desired outcome, 399 and in our case of COVID19 upon holiday intention. This actually confirms the fourth 400 401 tenet (T4). As Woodside (2014, p.2499) suggests, "the occurrences of different paths usually do not occur with the same frequency among the set of paths". The principle 402 403 of equifinality shows that multiple paths (in our case three) are able to lead to the same outcome. Hence, the findings confirm the fifth tenet (T5). Finally, Table 5 404 highlights that the coverage of the generated solutions varies from .41382 to .46924. 405 406 According to Olya and Altinay (2016) and Pappas (2018), this finding indicates that no sufficient complex configuration applies in all cases. Each solution only partially 407 covers the examined sample. On the other hand, the sum of solutions significantly 408 409 covers the examined population of Athenians. This case relevance leads to confirmation of the last formulated tenet (T6). 410

411

412 *7.2. Complex solutions*

Of the three solutions generated using fsQCA, only two should be taken into
consideration (the third was disregarded following the evaluation of size effects by
NCA). These two sufficient configurations meet the aim of the study by showcasing
the effect of COVID19 upon the Athenian residents with regards to their holidays.
The first sufficient complex configuration reveals that holiday risks (travel;
destination; hospitality) affect the related COVID19 holiday intention of respondents.
More specifically, high scores appear for age (f_a), travel risks (f_tr), destination risks

420 (f_dr), and hospitality risks (f_hr). In this solution the socio-demographic of age 421 seems to play an important role in the formulation of holiday intention. This can be explained by the fact that the older people are, the higher the proportion of fatalities 422 423 from COVID19. More specifically, taking into consideration the USA, the country with most fatalities worldwide, amongst younger adults (aged 18 to 44) the share of 424 deaths was lower than four percent, whilst for people over 75 years of age that share 425 426 rocketed to almost 50 percent (Worldometer, 2020). As a result, older people are likely to be much more worried about the risks of taking a holiday. With regards to 427 428 COVID19, these aspects highlight the importance of age when destinations and tourism-related enterprises target specific market segments, and employ their crisis 429 management communications. One more aspect that needs to be taken into 430 431 consideration is the high susceptibility of tourism to risks and crises. Several past studies (indicatively please read Hajibaba, Gretzel, Leisch and Dolnicar [2015] and 432 Pappas and Papatheodorou [2017]) highlight the vulnerability of the industry to crises 433 434 and disasters. This is because the sector is characterized by numerous interacting entities and activities critically vulnerable to crises (Cole, 2009) leading to an inherent 435 non-linearity of the respective relationships, which prevents the effective coupling of 436 causes and consequences (Olmedo and Mateos, 2015). As a result, the current 437 438 sufficient complex configuration confirms findings from previous studies concerning 439 the effect of risks upon holiday intention, provides evidence of the importance of holiday risks related to COVID19, and highlights the crucial age factor with respect to 440 tourism during the current pandemic. 441

442

443 The second acceptable complex configuration concerns the impact of COVID19 upon
444 holiday intention. More specifically, this solution scores highly for age (f_a), income

(f_i), psychological impact of COVID19 (f_pci), and the economic impact of 445 COVID19 (f cei). As a result, the study contributes by providing a connection of 446 those aspects in terms of COVID19 impact to travel intention, providing the grounds 447 448 to destinations and tourism-related enterprises to more effectively assess the business environment, and create sufficient pathways that can lead to the unforementioned 449 travel intention. Once more, the socio-demographic of age in present, as in the first 450 451 solution, this time alongside monthly income. The latter can be explained, since recent studies reveal that almost three quarters of Greeks (73 percent) perceive that 452 the arrival of COVID19, the lockdown that followed, the devastation of the Greek 453 454 tourist season that has already heavily affected tourism operations in the country, and a potential second outbreak from the autumn onwards have significantly affected their 455 456 income (Financial Press, 2020). Monthly income is not something that affects only 457 Greece, considering that a third of the population of the G7 (the seven wealthiest economies in the world) share the same income perspectives (Enikonomia.gr, 2020), 458 whilst it is estimated that worldwide COVID19 will lead between 420 and 580 million 459 people into poverty (UNU, 2020). However, the connection between monthly income 460 and the simple condition of COVID19 economic impact (f_cei), and subsequently 461 with the psychological impact of the pandemic (f_pci) is justified, since the 462 463 statements of the latter evaluate a holistic perspective by discussing everyday life, 464 people's way of life, hygiene, and fear and anxiety issues. Therefore, the current sufficient complex configuration provides evidence for the extent of the impact of 465 COVID19 and the respondents' holiday intention, and reveals a reluctance to take 466 467 holidays at least for the foreseeable future. Hence, it can be presumed that the return 468 from COVID19 to tourism normality is not likely to be as fast as that following crises and disasters the sector has faced in the past. 469

470 471 The findings actually confirm the complex character of tourism decision making, especially during crisis periods, as also highlighted by previous studies (indicatively, 472 473 please read Farrell and Twining-Ward, [2004], and Pappas [2019]). They also highlight the need for adopting a complexity-based perspective when evaluating crises 474 in the travel and tourism industries (Reddy et al., 2020). 475 476 7.3. Managerial implications 477 The study uses fsQCA to examine the complexity of the effect of COVID19 upon the 478 holiday intention of adults living permanently in Athens. It further progresses to a 479 480 complementary analysis of the size effect of the examined conditions using NCA.

After disregarding one solution based upon the NCA results, the findings reveal two
sufficient complex configurations focusing on: (i) holiday risks, and (ii) the impact of

483 COVID19.

484

The chaordic systems affecting holiday intention as a result of COVID19 and 485 identified by the research findings create a necessity for collaboration within the 486 tourism industry that is more vital than ever. Safety comes first. Transportation 487 488 companies (with special reference to the aviation industry) should create grounds for 489 people to feel safe to travel again. These can include several initiatives such as the adhoc communication with customers concerning health and safety measures and 490 advancements from travel companies, and relevant press releases focusing on the 491 492 safety of the transport means (air; land; sea). This is always the case for travel, whether for business or leisure, but is even more relevant to holiday trips, since they 493 494 are considered to be discretionary activities and are characterized by high elasticity

495 (Papatheodorou and Pappas, 2017). Safety also concerns destinations and hospitality 496 firms. Social distancing is likely to last for a long time, since it is more than likely that there will not be a vaccine in 2021 (Lanese, 2020; Spinney, 2020). Therefore, it is 497 498 crucial that destinations adopt all the necessary precautionary measures to ensure the safety of, as well as a feeling of safety amongst, their visitors. Destination initiatives 499 can include crisis management communications addressed to both, visitors and tour 500 501 operators in order to promote the undertaken actions for making a safer environment, the fast destination adaptability to the new reality, the strengthening of the health 502 503 system and infrastructure in the destination and overall in the country in reference, the 504 progress of confirmed COVID19 cases and related fatalities, the undertaken measures 505 to protect the locals and the visitors, and the reshaped quality levels (with special 506 focus on hygiene aspects) of the provided tourist products and services. The same 507 applies to hospitality firms, whilst pressure for much lower occupancy rates (hence lower profitability) is substantial for both accommodation and service providers. 508 509 Maybe this is one of the most appropriate times to also start talking about international collaborations and international uniformity of safety measures 510 throughout the components of tourism in order to minimize potential confusion and 511 the subsequent fear and anxiety levels of holidaymakers. 512

513

Another aspect is the extent to which people will be able to go on holiday. It is apparent from national and global forecasts, and supported by the findings of the current research, that a considerable number of people who were used to travelling for their holidays now consider it unlikely that they will be able to do so due to the widespread economic devastation COVID19 has created. This means that the valuefor-money aspect is more crucial than at any other time. Travel, tourism and

520 hospitality firms, along with destinations, need to offer much higher quality to their products and services with a parallel reduction in prices. The subsequent reduction in 521 profits can be handled with various ways involving the financial flexibility of 522 523 enterprises, the restructuring of operations, and collaborative activities with other destinations and firms, even with those that might have been perceived as competitors 524 in the past. COVID19 has violently reshaped the global tourism scenery, rapidly 525 526 passing from 'overtourism' to 'undertourism', and especially affecting tourismdependent economies (Johnston, 2020; Tarlow, 2020). Destinations and tourism-527 528 related enterprises do not have the 'luxury' they had in the past of depending for profitability on high volumes of tourists. Combined with the austerity in several 529 countries (in our case Greece), it is more than certain that tourism has to face a 530 531 substantial challenge to recover. Hence, international collaboration and support focusing on further economic development can strengthen tourism potential in 532 national and international level. So as with COVID19 any collaboration cannot be 533 534 fragmented in national borders.

535

Finally, the complex dynamics of the chaordic systems concerning tourism decision-536 making suggest that the intentions of people can be better examined using methods of 537 538 non-parametric analysis (such as fsQCA) rather than linear assumptions. Several 539 studies in the service sector (indicatively please read Ordanini et al. [2014], Pappas [2019], and Skarmeas et al. [2014]) have already highlighted that linear analysis is not 540 able to encapsulate the full spectrum of this complexity. However, travel, tourism and 541 542 hospitality research is still heavily dependent on the reductionist linear (Newtonian) approach. As it is showcased by the findings (also supported by previous studies 543 mentioned above), in an academic context the use of non-parametric analysis in 544

545 travel, tourism and hospitality is able to provide a more holistic approach of the aspects under examination. Therefore, shifting the research focus on the examination 546 of more complex aspects can further enhance our understanding of tourism-related 547 phenomena and conditions. Especially during crisis periods where complexity 548 substantially increases and several other crises may be triggered by the first (Pappas, 549 2018) (in our case the socio-economic crises initiated by the COVID19 health crisis), 550 551 the identification of multiple pathways that can lead to the same outcome is of the utmost importance. 552

553

554 **8.** Conclusions

This study has focused on the chaordic effect of COVID19 on the holiday intention of 555 556 adult permanent residents of Athens, Greece. Theoretically, the research provides a better comprehension of the complexity of holiday intention formulation during a 557 COVID19 pandemic. In the methodological domain, its contribution is based on the 558 examination of complexity through the use of fsQCA, a non-linear mixed method that 559 has only recently been employed in the field of tourism It also contributes by adopting 560 NCA as a complementary method for measuring the size effects of the examined 561 conditions, which is new (to the best of the author's knowledge) not only in tourism, 562 563 but generally in the service sector. Based on complex configurations, the findings 564 suggested two different pathways (holiday risks; impact of COVID19) that can lead to 565 the same outcome (holiday intention). The article also identifies several managerial implications related to the research results. 566

567

568 Despite the theoretical and methodological contribution of the study, several

569 limitations need to be considered. First, this is the first time in the modern era that the

570 travel, tourism and hospitality industries have faced such an extensive and devastating crisis. Therefore, much more research is necessary for a full understanding of the 571 unprecedented conditions the world has to face, and tourism has to confront. This is 572 573 strengthened by the fact that COVID19 first appeared in mid-November 2019, and within a very short time has violently managed to change the way we think, act, and 574 react. This aspect is also strengthened by the perspective that the travel intentions of 575 576 tourists may differ due to various reasons such as the preference of domestic or international travel, due to state/government restrictions, the knowledge of language 577 578 and culture, the perception of feeling more safe near home etc. The second limitation derives from the environment of the current research. The examined population was 579 interviewed during a period of strict lockdown (April 2020), in the capital of a 580 581 country (Athens, Greece) that has successfully managed to avoid (at least during the 582 first wave of the pandemic) a health crisis, but is heavily dependent on tourism, and has battled for more than a decade with an economic crisis (the most severe on 583 584 European soil [Pappas, 2018]) whilst COVID19 has further deepened its already devastating socio-economic effects. Therefore, any replication and generalization of 585 the findings should be made with caution. Third, the research only evaluates the 586 holiday intention of permanent adult residents of Athens. A comparison of the 587 588 perspectives of these people, the destination authorities, and the travel and tourism 589 stakeholders, alongside those of people who select Greece as their holiday destination, would provide a better understanding of the chaordic perspectives generated by the 590 effect of COVID19. Finally, it might be useful to examine several other 591 592 characteristics of the respondents such as their work environment and status, and job vulnerability. Such analysis could provide further information concerning their 593 594 decision-making upon holiday intention.

595	
596	Extreme times call for extreme measures. COVID19 can be considered not only as a
597	major threat to the travel and tourism industry, but also as a great opportunity to
598	change our way of thinking, and to quickly adapt to the new reality. Unfortunately,
599	regardless of the globally devastating effect of the current pandemic, there are other
600	imminent crises (i.e. climate change) that are likely to be much more destructive than
601	COVID19. The lessons we learn could become pathways to our future, and the way
602	we face the treats might determine our foreseeable survival and prosperity.
603	
604	References
605	Aaker, D., & Day, G. (1990). Marketing research, New York: Wiley.
606	Akis, S., Peristianis. N., & Warner, J. (1996). Residents' attitudes to tourism
607	development: the case of Cyprus. Tourism Management, 17(7), 481-494.
608	Akrivos, C., Reklitis, P., & Theodoroyiani, M. (2014). Tourism entrepreneurship and
609	the adoption of sustainable resources. The case of Evritania prefecture. Procedia
610	Social and Behavioral Studies, 148, 378-382.
611	Allison, P.D. (2001). Missing data. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
612	APE-MPE (2020). The first case of Coronavirus in Greece – positive sample in
613	Thessaloniki. Published 26 February, Available from:
614	https://www.amna.gr/home/article/434170/To-proto-krousma-koronaiou-stin-
615	Ellada (Accessed 23/5/2020) [in Greek]
616	Cirstea, S.D. (2014). Travel & tourism competitiveness: A study of world's top
617	competitive countries. Procedia Economics and Finance, 15, 1273-1280.
618	Cole, S. (2009). A logistic tourism model: Resort cycles, globalization, and chaos.
619	Annals of Tourism Research, 36(4), 689-714.

- 620 Coskun, E., and Ozceylan, D. (2011). Complexity in Emergency Management and
- 621 Disaster Response Information Systems (EMDRIS). Paper presented at the 8th

622 *International ISCRAM Conference*, 8-11 May, Lisbon, Portugal.

- 623 Cucinotta, D., & Vanelli, M. (2020). WHO Declares COVID-19 a Pandemic. Acta
- 624 *Biomed*, 91(1), 157-160.
- 625 Doyle, L. (2020). Coronavirus named: What does COVID-19 stand for? Coronavirus
- 626 name meaning. *Express*, Published 15th May, Available from:
- 627 https://www.express.co.uk/life-style/health/1241302/Coronavirus-named-COVID-
- 628 <u>19-meaning-WHO-coronavirus-latest-update</u> (Accessed 23/5/2020)
- 629 Du, J., Huang, T., & Sheng, Z. (2009). Analysis of decision-making in economic
- 630 chaos control. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, *10*(4), 2493-2501.
- 631 Dul, J. (2020). Conducting Necessary Condition Analysis. Thousand Oaks: Sage
- 632 Publications.
- 633 Enikonomia.gr (2020). Coronavirus: The income of one out of three citizens of G7
- 634 *has been affected*. Published 17th April, Available from:
- 635 http://www.enikonomia.gr/international/233836,koronoios-pligma-sto-eisodima-
- 636 <u>ypesti-1-stous-3-polites-tis-g7.html</u> (Accessed 25/5/2020) [in Greek]
- 637 Epstein, B., Shapiro, A.F., & Gómez, A.G. (2017). Financial disruptions and the
- 638 cyclical upgrading of labor. *Review of Economic Dynamics*, 26, 204-224.
- 639 ERiM (2020). *What is NCA*? Erasmus University Rotterdam. Available from:
- 640 https://www.erim.eur.nl/necessary-condition-analysis/about-nca/what-is-nca/
- 641 (Accessed 17/5/2020)
- 642 Financial Press (2020). Gallop: Seven out of ten Greeks perceive negative income
- 643 *impacts*. Published 1st April, Available from:

- 644 https://www.fpress.gr/diafora/story/63580/dimoskopisi-epta-stoys-deka-vlepoyn-
- 645 <u>epiptoseis-sto-eisodima</u> (Accessed 24/5/2020) [in Greek]
- 646 Fitzgerald, L.A., & Van-Eijnatten, F.M. (2002). Reflections: chaos in organizational
- 647 change. Journal of Organizational Change Management, 15(4), 402-411.
- 648 García-Pozo, A., Sanchez-Ollero, J.L., Ons-Cappa, M. (2016). ECO-innovation and
- 649 economic crisis: a comparative analysis of environmental good practices and
- 650 labour productivity in the Spanish hotel industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*,
- 651 *138*, 131-138.
- 652 Gurtner, Y. (2016). Returning to paradise: Investigating issues of tourism crisis and
- disaster recovery on the island of Bali. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism
- 654 *Management*, 28, 11-19.
- Hajibaba, H., Gretzel, U., Leisch, F., & Dolnicar, S. (2015). Crisis-resistant tourists. *Annals of Tourism Research*, *53*, 46-60.
- Hock, D.W. (1996). The chaordic organization: Out of control and into order. *World*
- 658 *Business Academy Perspectives*, 9(1), 5-18.
- Holshue, M.L. et al. (2020). First Case of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in the United
- 660 States. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 382, 929-936.
- 661 ICAO (2020). Effects of novel Coronavirus (COVID 19) on civil aviation: Economic
- *impact analysis*. International Civil Aviation Organisation. Montreal: ICAO.
- 663 IMF (2020). Policy responses to COVID-19. International Monetary Fund, Available
- from: <u>https://www.imf.org/en/Topics/imf-and-covid19/Policy-Responses-to-</u>
- 665 <u>COVID-19#G</u> (Accessed 23/5/2020)
- John Hopkins (2020). COVID-19 Dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and
- 667 Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU). Available from:
- 668 <u>https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html</u> (Accessed 1/6/2020)

- Johnston, E. (2020). Outbreak turns Kyoto's overtourism into 'undertourism'. The
- 670 *Japan Times*, Published 14th March, Available from:
- 671 https://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2020/03/14/national/outbreak-turns-kyotos-
- 672 <u>overtourism-undertourism/#.Xspo9mhKhPY</u> (Accessed 27/5/2020)
- 673 Kauffman, S., Peterson, C., Samuelsson, B., & Troein, C. (2003). Random Boolean
- 674 net-work models and the yeast transcriptional network. In: *Proceedings of the*
- 675 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 100(25), 14796–
- 676 14799.
- 677 Khazai, B., Mahdavian, F., & Platt, S. (2018). Tourism Recovery Scorecard (TOURS)
- 678 Benchmarking and monitoring progress on disaster recovery in tourism
- destinations. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 27, 75-84.
- 680 Kopsini X. (2020). The support measures for employees in European countries and
- the tip of the Greek government. *Efsyn*, Published 26th March, Available from:
- 682 <u>https://www.candiadoc.gr/2020/03/26/ta-metra-stirixis-poy-</u>
- 683 <u>pairnoyn/#.XsjqpWhKhPY</u> (Accessed 23/5/2020) [in Greek]
- Lahmiri, S. (2017). On fractality and chaos in Moroccan family business stock returns
 and volatility. *Physica A*, 473, 29-39.
- Lanese, N. (2020). When will a COVID-19 vaccine be ready? *Live Science*, Published
- 687 16th April, Available from: <u>https://www.livescience.com/coronavirus-covid-19-</u>
- 688 <u>vaccine-timeline.html</u> (Accessed 27/5/2020)
- 689 Lawrence, W.L., Feng, Y.L., & Huang, Y.C. (2003). Diagnosis of Freeway traffic
- 690 incidents with chaos theory. Journal of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation
- 691 *Studies*, *5*, 2025-2038.
- Levy, D. (1994). Chaos theory and strategy: Theory, application and managerial
- 693 implications. *Strategic Management Journal*, *15*(Summer), 167-178.

- Longest, K., & Vaisey, S. (2008). Fuzzy: A Program for performing Qualitative
- 695 Comparative Analyses (QCA) in STATA. *The STATA Journal*, 8(1), 79-104.
- 696 Ma, J. (2020). Coronavirus: China's first confirmed Covid-19 case traced back to
- 697 November 17. *South China Morning Post*, Published 13 March, Available from:
- 698 https://www.scmp.com/news/china/society/article/3074991/coronavirus-chinas-
- 699 <u>first-confirmed-covid-19-case-traced-back</u> (Accessed 20/5/2020)
- 700 Madureira, C. (2020). COVID-19: List of airlines that didn't survive. Sam Chui
- 701 *Aviation & Travel*, published 12th May, Available from:
- 702 https://samchui.com/2020/05/12/covid-19-list-of-airlines-that-didnt-
- 703 <u>survive/#.XslAfGhKhPY</u> (Accessed 22/5/2020)
- Mahmoudabadi, A. (2015). Developing a chaotic pattern of dynamic risk definition
- for solving hazardous material routing-locating problem. *Journal of Loss*
- 706 *Prevention in the Process Industries*, 37, 1-10.
- 707 Mar-Molinero, C., Menéndez-Plans, C., & Orgaz-Guerrero, N. (2017). Has the 2008
- financial crisis changed the factors determining the systematic risk of shares in the
- 709 "European Hospitality Industry"? (2003-2013). Journal of Hospitality & Tourism
- 710 *Management*, 31, 59-69.
- 711 Menshouse (2020). The reason that Greece has less cases than the country with the
- 712 best health system worldwide. Available from:
- 713 <u>https://menshouse.gr/epikerotita/123645/o-logos-poy-i-ellada-echei-ligotera-</u>
- 714 <u>kroysmata-apo-ti-chora-me-to-kalytero-systima-ygeias-ston-kosmo</u> (Accessed
- 715 23/5/2020) [in Greek]
- 716 Morakabati, Y., Page, S., & Fletcher, J. (2017). Emergency Management and Tourism
- 717 Stakeholder Responses to Crises: A Global Survey. *Journal of Travel Research*.
- *56*(3), 299-316.

- 719 Mycek, P., Contreras, A., Le Maître, O., Sargsyan, K., Rizzi, F., Morris, K., Safta, C.,
- 720 Debusschere, B., & Knio, O. (2017). A resilient domain decomposition polynomial
- chaos solver for uncertain elliptic PDEs. *Computer Physics Communications*, 216,
- 722 18-34.
- Naidoo, P., Ramseook-Munhurrun, P., & Seetaram, A.K. (2011). Marketing the hotel
- sector in economic crisis. Evidence from Mauricius. *Global Journal of Business*
- 725 *Research*, 5(2), 1-12.
- 726 Norman, G., & Streiner, D. (2008). *Biostatistics: The bare essentials*. 3rd Eds.,
- 727 Hamilton: Decker.
- 728 Nunnally, J.C. (1978). *Psychometric theory*. 2nd Eds., New York: McGraw-Hill.
- 729 Okumus, F., & Karamustafa, K. (2005). Impact of an economic crisis: Evidence from
- Turkey. Annals of Tourism Research, 32(4), 942-961.
- Olmedo, E. (2011). Is there chaos in the Spanish labour market? *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 44(12), 1045-1053.
- 733 Olthetena, E., Sougiannis, T., Travlos, N., & Zarkos, S. (2013). Greece in the
- Eurozone: Lessons from a decade of experience. *The Quarterly Review of*
- *Economics and Finance, 53*, 317-335.
- 736 Olya, H.G., & Altinay, L. (2016). Asymmetric modeling of intention to purchase
- tourism weather insurance and loyalty. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(8), 2791-
- 738 2800.
- 739 Ordanini, A., Parasuraman, A., & Rubera, G. (2014). When the recipe is more
- important than the ingredients: A Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) of
- service innovation configurations. *Journal of Service Research*, *17*(2), 134-149.

- 742 Papatheodorou, A. & Pappas, N. (2017). Economic recession job vulnerability and
- tourism decision-making: A Qualitative Comparative Analysis. *Journal of Travel*

744 *Research*, *56*(5), *663-677*.

- 745 Pappas, N. & Papatheodorou, A. (2017). Tourism and the refugee crisis in Greece:
- 746 Perceptions and decision-making of accommodation providers. *Tourism*
- 747 *Management*, 63, 31-41.
- 748 Pappas, N. (2015). Achieving competitiveness in Greek accommodation
- establishments during recession. International Journal of Tourism Research, 17(4),
- 750 375-387.
- 751 Pappas, N. (2018). Hotel decision-making during multiple crises: A chaordic
- perspective. *Tourism Management*, 68, 450-464.
- Pappas, N. (2019). UK outbound travel and Brexit complexity. *Tourism Management*,
 754 79, 12-22.
- 755 Paraskevas, A. (2006). Crisis management or crisis response system? A complexity
- science approach to organizational crises. *Management Decision*, 44(7), 892-907.
- 757 Pearce II, J.A., & Michael, S.C. (2006). Strategies to prevent economic recessions
- from causing business failure. *Business Horizons*, 49(3), 201-209.
- 759 Pigadas, A. (2020). A health system with porcelain legs. *Efsyn*, Published 11th April,
- 760 Avaliable from: <u>https://www.efsyn.gr/nisides/238921_ena-systima-ygeias-me-</u>
- 761 <u>pilina-podia</u> (Accessed 23/5/2020) [in Greek]
- 762 Reddy, M.V., Boyd, S.W., & Nica, M. (2020). Towards a post-conflict tourism
- recovery framework. *Annals of Tourism Research*, Article in press.
- 764 Reuters (2020). Coronavirus tourism strike threatens the Greek achievements of the
- 765 *last 10 years*. Published 11th May, Available from:
- 766 <u>https://www.capital.gr/oikonomia/3452406/reuters-to-pligma-tou-koronoiou-ston-</u>

- 767 tourismo-apeilei-kopous-kai-epiteugmata-10-eton-gia-tin-ellada (Accessed
- 768 23/5/2020) [in Greek]
- Roberts, M. (2020). Coronavirus: France's first known case 'was in December'. BBC,
- 770 Published 5th May, Available from: <u>https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-</u>
- 771 52526554 (Accessed 21/5/2020)
- Sarris, G. (2020). What needs to be done for avoiding the collapse of the health
- system due to Coronavirus. *Newsbeast*, Published 21st March, Available from:
- 774 https://www.newsbeast.gr/weekend/arthro/6119015/ti-prepei-na-ginei-oste-na-min-
- 775 <u>katarreysei-to-systima-ygeias-stin-ellada-logo-koronoioy</u> (Accessed 23/5/2020) [in
- 776 Greek]
- Schuldberg, D. (2011). Chaos theory and creativity. *Encyclopedia of Creativity*, 183-
- 778 191.
- 779 Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2013). *Research methods for business: A skill building*
- 780 *approach*. Chennai: Wiley and Sons.
- 781 Skarmeas, D., Leonidou, C.N., & Saridakis, C. (2014). Examining the role of CSR
- skepticism using fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 67, 1796-1805.
- Smith, A. & Humphries, C. (2004). Complexity theory as a practical management
- tool: A critical evaluation. *Organization Management Journal*, *1*(2), 91-106.
- 786 Spinney, L. (2020). Coronavirus vaccine: when will we have one? *The Guardian*,
- 787 Published 19th April, Available from:
- 788 https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/apr/19/coronavirus-vaccine-when-will-
- 789 <u>we-have-one</u> (Accessed 27/5/2020)
- 790 Tarlow, P.E. (2020). Working in the Travel Industry during Coronavirus: Turning
- 791 *Overtourism to Undertourism.* Published 16th March, Available from:

- 792 <u>https://www.eturbonews.com/567224/working-in-the-travel-industry-during-</u>
- 793 <u>coronavirus-turning-overtourism-to-undertourism/</u> (Accessed 27/5/2020)
- 794 Trading Economics (2020). Greece average monthly salary. Available from:
- 795 <u>https://tradingeconomics.com/greece/wages</u> (Accessed 23/5/2020)
- 796 UNU (2020). Estimates of the impact of COVID-19 on global poverty. United Nations
- 797 University, Available from: <u>https://www.wider.unu.edu/publication/estimates-</u>
- 798 <u>impact-covid-19-global-poverty</u> (Accessed 25/5/2020).
- 799 UNWTO (2020a). COVID19: *Putting people first*. United Nations World Tourism
- 800 Organisation, Available from: <u>https://www.unwto.org/tourism-covid-19</u> (Accessed
 801 24/5/2020)
- 802 UNWTO (2020b). Impact assessment of the COVID-19 outbreak on international
- 803 tourism. Available from: <u>https://www.unwto.org/impact-assessment-of-the-covid-</u>
- 804 <u>19-outbreak-on-international-tourism</u> (Accessed 24/5/2020)
- 805 Van-Eijnatten, F.M., Putnik, G.T., & Sluga, A. (2007). Chaordic systems thinking for
- 806 novelty in contemporary manufacturing. *CIRP Annals Manufacturing*
- 807 *Technology*, *56*(1), 447-450.
- 808 Voltes-Dorta, A., Rodríguez-Deniz, H., & Suau-Sanchez, P. (2017). Passenger
- recovery after an airport closure at tourist destinations: A case study of Palma de
- 810 Mallorca airport. *Tourism Management*, 59, 449-466.
- 811 Waz, P., & Waz, D.B. (2009). Asymmetry coefficients as indicators of chaos. *Acta*
- 812 *Physica Polonica A, 116*(6), 987-991.
- 813 WHO (2020). *Rolling updates on coronavirus disease (COVID-19)*. World Health
- 814 Organisation. Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-
- 815 <u>coronavirus-2019/events-as-they-happen</u> (Accessed 20/5/2020)

816	Woodside, A.G. (2013). Moving beyond multiple regression analysis to algorithms:
817	Calling for adoption of a paradigm shift from symmetric to asymmetric thinking in
818	data analysis and crafting theory. Journal of Business Research, 66(4), 463-472.
819	Woodside, A.G. (2014). Embrace•perform•model: Complexity theory, contrarian case
820	analysis, and multiple realities. Journal of Business Research, 67, 2495-2503.
821	Woodside, A.G., & Zhang, M. 2013. Cultural diversity and marketing transactions:
822	Are market integration, large community size, and world religions necessary for
823	fairness in ephemeral exchanges? Psychology and Marketing, 30(3), 263-276.
824	Worldometer (2020). Age, sex, existing conditions of COVID-19 cases and deaths.
825	Available from: https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/coronavirus-age-sex-
826	demographics/ (Accessed 24/5/2020)
827	WTTC (2020). Economic impact reports. World Travel and Tourism Council.
828	Available from: https://wttc.org/Research/Economic-Impact (Accessed 22/5/2020)
829	Wu, P.L., Yeh, S.S., Huan, T.C., & Woodside, A.G. (2014). Applying complexity
830	theory to deepen service dominant logic: Configural analysis of customer
831	experience-and-outcome assessments of professional services for personal
832	transformations. Journal of Business Research, 67(8), 1647-1670.
833	Yépez, C.A. (2017). Financial intermediation, consumption dynamics, and business
834	cycles. Economic Modelling, 60, 231-243.
835	Zahra, A., & Ryan, C. (2007). From chaos to cohesion—Complexity in tourism
836	structures: An analysis of New Zealand's regional tourism organizations. Tourism
837	Management, 28(3), 854-862.
838	Zhai, X., Zhong, D., & Luo, Q. (2019). Turn it around in crisis communication: An

ABM approach. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 79, Article in press.

Table 1: Correlation matrix

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Psychol. Impact	1						
2	Economic Impact	.018	1					
3	Recession	093	.044	1				
4	Travel Risks	.030	054	.027	1			
5	Destination Risks	029	142	118	.100	_1		
6	Hospitality Risks	.019	024	.000	079	.070	1	
7	Holiday Intention	.084	.059	060	.066	.059	.013	1
	30	517	3					

Table 2: Profile of the respondents

	Ν	%	—
ge			
18-35	126	32.7	
36-50	186	48.3	
>50	73	19.0	
ncome			
≤1000 €	186	48.3	
>1000 €	199	51.7	
Total	385	100	
		0	

Table 3: Descriptive statistics

	Statements	Means	SD		Age		Inc	ome
				18-35	36-50	>50	≤1000	>1000
	COVID-19 Psychological Impact		\$					
PCI1	COVID-19 has impacted my everyday life.	4.23	.797	4.17	4.40	3.88	4.39	4.08
PCI2	COVID-19 has changed my hygiene standards.	4.43	.751	4.29	4.45	4.64	4.62	4.26
PCI3	COVID-19 has made me fearful.	4.33	.792	3.96	4.47	4.59	4.46	4.20
PCI4	COVID-19 has increased my anxiety level.	4.12	.859	3.76	4.24	4.44	4.18	4.07
PCI5	COVID-19 has made me reconsider my way of life.	3.94	1.120	3.62	4.11	4.07	3.97	3.91
	COVID-19 Economic Impact							
CEI1	COVID-19 has changed my consumption patterns.	3.59	.937	3.42	3.68	3.66	3.62	3.56
CEI2	COVID-19 has increased my job vulnerability.	3.61	1.226	3.67	3.98	2.55	3.67	3.55
CEI3	COVID-19 has substantially affected my income.	3.61	1.299	3.44	4.16	2.51	3.68	3.55
CEI4	COVID-19 will substantially affect my income during	3.77	1.284	3.66	4.28	2.67	3.84	3.71
	2020.							

CEI5	COVID-19 will substantially affect my income in the	3.83	1.189	3.60	4.25	3.15	3.96	3.71
	future.							
	Recession and COVID-19							
RC1	COVID-19 will deepen the current recession.	4.42	.612	4.57	4.39	4.22	4.52	4.33
RC2	COVID-19 has affected me more than the economic crisis.	2.38	.824	2.38	2.46	2.19	2.23	2.53
RC3	COVID-19 has changed my consumption patterns more	2.66	.968	2.76	2.62	2.60	2.58	2.75
	than the economic crisis has.							
RC4	COVID-19 has affected my job more than the economic	2.53	1.041	2.64	2.52	2.36	2.39	2.65
	crisis has.							
RC5	Combined with the current recession, COVID-19 will be	2.65	1.001	2.68	2.76	2.33	2.64	2.67
	devastating for my way of life.							
RC6	Combined with the current recession, COVID-19 will have	4.21	.793	4.37	4.18	3.99	4.25	4.17
	devastating effects on the national economy.							
	Travel Risks							
TR1	I am afraid to travel due to COVID-19.	3.68	.833	3.46	3.71	3.96	3.65	3.70

Journal Pre-proof	

TR2	I believe that mass transport is not safe due to COVID-19.	3.89	.915	3.72	3.88	4.21	3.89	3.89
TR3	I am reluctant to travel by air due to COVID-19.	3.99	.921	3.89	3.95	4.29	4.02	3.97
TR4	I am reluctant to travel by boat due to COVID-19.	3.93	.933	3.77	3.85	4.41	3.93	3.93
TR5	I am reluctant to travel by land-based means of mass	3.98	.873	3.83	3.91	4.42	3.95	4.02
	transport (i.e. train; bus) due to COVID-19.							
	Destination Risks	~	5,					
DR1	Considering COVID-19, I believe that Greece is a safe	3.40	.797	3.23	3.44	3.59	3.37	3.42
	destination.							
DR2	Considering COVID-19, I believe that going for a holiday	3.57	.896	3.41	3.55	3.92	3.54	3.61
	somewhere in Greece is safer than travelling abroad.							
DR3	COVID-19 will markedly affect my destination selection	3.67	8.28	3.56	3.62	4.00	3.65	3.69
	for holidays during 2020.							
DR4	COVID-19 will markedly affect my destination selection	3.20	.912	3.05	3.24	3.36	3.16	3.24
	for holidays in future years.							
DR5	COVID-19 will negatively affect the quality of destination	3.59	.917	3.47	3.61	3.77	3.58	3.61

products and services.

	Hospitality Risks							
HR1	I would be reluctant to sit and eat in a restaurant due to	3.61	.865	3.56	3.57	3.82	3.57	3.65
	COVID-19.							
HR2	I would be reluctant to sit in a café/bar due to COVID-19.	3.49	.966	3.43	3.44	3.74	3.44	3.54
HR3	Due to COVID-19, during my holidays I would prefer to	3.85	.944	3.80	3.82	3.99	3.81	3.88
	prepare my own food (meals; drinks etc.)							
HR4	I would be afraid to stay in accommodation I had paid for	4.02	.963	3.95	4.01	4.16	4.01	4.03
	due to COVID-19.							
HR5	Due to COVID-19, during my holidays I would prefer to	3.65	1.012	3.59	3.61	3.88	3.62	3.68
	stay in a house that I own.							
HR6	Due to COVID-19, during my holidays I would prefer to	3.47	1.028	3.45	3.46	3.52	3.42	3.51
	stay in a house that my friends/relatives own.							
	Holiday Intention							
HI1	COVID-19 will affect my decision whether to go for	3.25	.913	2.79	3.42	3.60	3.25	3.25

holidays in 2020.

HI2	COVID-19 will affect my decision whether to go for	3.06	.978	2.67	3.22	3.36	3.06	3.07
	holidays in future years.							
HI3	Due to COVID-19 I would prefer to go for holidays	3.53	1.070	3.23	3.65	3.74	3.55	3.51
	somewhere in Greece rather than abroad.							
HI4	COVID-19 has had a greater impact upon my holiday	3.30	.897	2.99	3.47	3.38	3.36	3.24
	intention than the recession.							
HI5	I intend to go for holidays during 2020.	3.70	1.039	3.38	3.86	3.86	3.65	3.76
		0						

	Loadings	Cronbach's A
COVID-19 Psychol. Impact		.850
PCI1	.831	
PCI2	.823	
PCI3	.904	
PCI4	.801	
PCI5	.654	
COVID-19 Economic Impact		.902
CEI1	.538	
CEI2	.886	
CEI3	.944	
CEI4	.933	
CEI5	.852	
Recession and COVID-19		.863
RC1	LC	
RC2	.866	
RC3	.909	
RC4	.864	
RC5	.727	
RC6	LC	
Travel Risks		.947
TR1	.815	
TR2	.933	
TR3	.950	

Table 4: Rotated matrix loadings and Cronbach's A

TR4	.937	
TR5	.888	
Destination Risks		.913
DR1	.934	
DR2	.908	
DR3	.832	
DR4	.806	
DR5	.807	
Hospitality Risks		.918
HR1	.903	
HR2	.908	
HR3	.845	
HR4	.770	
HR5	.876	
HR6	.754	
Holiday Intention		.913
HII D	.935	
HI2	.836	
HI3	.879	
HI4	.850	
HI5	.805	

LC: Eliminated due to low commonality (<.4)

Table 5: Complex solutions for COVID-19

Complex Solution	Raw	Unique	Consistency
	Coverage	Coverage	
Model: f_hi=f(f_a,f_i,f_pci,f_cei,f_rc,f_tr,f_c	lr,f_hr)		
f_a,~f_i,~f_pci,~f_cei,~f_rc,f_tr,f_dr,f_hr	.42863	.12278	.84921
f_a,f_i,f_pci,f_cei,~f_rc,~f_tr,~f_dr,~f_hr	.41382	.11730	.82084
~f_a,f_i,f_pci,f_cei,f_rc,~f_tr,~f_dr,~f_hr	.46924	.13012	.80827
Solution Coverage: .43556	Solution Co	onsistency: .8	82375

f_a: Age	f_i: income	f_tr: Travel Risks		
f_pci: COVID-19	f_cei: COVID-19	f_rc: Recession and		
Psychological Impact	Economic Impact	COVID-19		
f_dr: Destination Risks	f_hr: Hospitality Risks	f_hi: Holiday Intention		

Table 6: Size effect

		ce_fdh	cr_fdh	
1	Psychological Impact – Intention	.083	.042	
2	Economic Impact – Intention	.005	.002	
3	Recession – Intention	.000	.000	
4	Travel Risks – Intention	.020	.010	
5	Destination Risks – Intention	.090	.060	
6	Hospitality Risks – Intention	.012	.006	





Author photo



Biographical note

Nikolaos Pappas is Associate Professor in Tourism, Hospitality and Events, and the Director of the Centre for Research in Tourism Excellence (CERTE) at the University of Sunderland, UK. He holds a doctorate (PhD) in tourism development and a postdoctorate (PDoc) in risk and crisis management. He has worked more than 20 years in the tourism and hospitality industry, and since 2001 he is an academic in Greek and UK universities. He has numerous publications in esteemed scientific journals and conferences, and acts as a reviewer in several journals. His research interests include crisis management communications, and tourism and hospitality management.

JournalPre

Impact Statement

This study examines the impact of COVID19 upon the holiday intention of the residents of Athens, people living in a country that has successfully managed to minimize the impact of the pandemic, but has been battling with an economic crisis for more than a decade. The theoretical contribution of the study is a better understanding of the formulation of holiday intention during a COVID19 nationwide lockdown. Methodologically, its contribution is twofold. First, it examines the complexity of holiday intentions by using fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis, a method that has only recently been employed in the travel and tourism domain. Second, it progresses to a complementary analysis of the size effects of the examined conditions by using Necessary Condition Analysis, a new method (to the best of the author's knowledge) in tourism. The article also identifies and discusses several managerial implications related to the research results.