

Duffy, Kate (2023) Cultivating a Moral Imagination for Future Teacher Educators: The Dilemma of Teacher Assessment. In: Philosophy of Education of Australasia, 6th - 9th December 2023, Auckland, New Zealand. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: http://sure.sunderland.ac.uk/id/eprint/17176/

Usage gu	idelines					
Please	refer	to	the	usage	guidelines	at
http://sure.sunderland.ac.uk/policies.html				or	alternatively	contact
sure@sun	derland.ac.u	k.				



Philosophy of Education Society of Australasia

# Lifechanging

#### Cultivating a Moral Imagination for Future Educators

The dilemma of Teacher-Assessed Grades during Covid

Kate Duffy PESA, 7<sup>th</sup> December 2023

#### The Context of Teacher Education in England



- Carter Review of Initial teacher Training (ITE) in 2014
- Introduction of the Core Content Framework (CCF) & Early Career Framework (ECF) in 2019
- ITT Market review & reaccreditation reduced providers from 68 to 179





#### **A Profession in Crisis**



- Significant under recruitment for a number of years and high attrition (40,000)
- Higher salaries and conditions in the private sector
- Increase of high-stakes accountability measures resulting in higher workload and limiting autonomy (Fullard, 2023)





#### **Premise for the study**



Philosophy of Education Society of Australasia

- Teaching is becoming increasingly standardised within systems of high-stakes accountability. At the same time, the moral work of teachers is becoming increasingly more complex.
- If ITE creates space for pre-service educators to critically understand and negotiate the complex moral dilemmas that they will face, could this help to reduce demoralisation?



#### The Context of the Study



- 66 students on a 3 year Education Studies degree. Students then progress to a 4th year to complete their practical teacher training.
- In 3rd Year, we introduced the module, Ethics in Education. The aim of the module is to illuminate the complexity of decision making and ethical reasoning from a range of perspectives and actors.
- Part of their assessment for the module is a critical analysis of a range of dilemmas in education





#### **Moral Imagination**



Philosophy of Education Society of Australasia

- Moral imagination provides an enriched way of thinking about our moral lives because it suggests that we do not just follow rules or take action in perfect harmony with our values; rather, our moral behaviour stems from a dynamic interplay of perception, reasoning, and feeling (Joseph, 2003; 2016)
- The ability to imagine is to work through these complex laws, codes of ethics and stakeholder positions including their own (Chapman et al, 2013)



#### **Moral Imagination**



- Promoting the nurturing of student teachers' moral imagination to prepare them for the relational and moral complexities of the future work (Joseph, 2016; Osguthorpe & Sanger 2013; Estola et al 2022)
- A teacher with well-developed moral reasoning and imagination cultivates a learning environment that nurtures student development and well-being and that fosters critical thinking, discussion, and **a sense of agency** in order to support and promote democratic practices (Cook-Sather, Baker-Doyle, 2017).

lifechanging

University of Sunderland





Philosophy of Education Society of Australasia

University of

Sunderland

- 66 Written assessments of 1000 words
  - Explain the nature of the dilemma
  - State their decision,
  - Explain their reasons for the dilemma and the consequences that this will have
- Aim is to slow the process of ethical deliberation



#### **Process of analysis**



- Content analysis using deductive coding applying Shapira-Lischinsky's (2010) model to establish the nature of the dilemma
- Content analysis using inductive coding for their reasoning and stated consequences (Saldana, 2016)
- Categorising the reasons into Estola's (2022) actors - teacher, student, 'other'





#### Summary data



Philosophy of Education Society of Australasia

• 66 scripts in response to,

## Should we adopt teacher-assessed grades for high stakes assessment?

 3<sup>rd</sup> of the students didn't clearly state YES or NO (25 Yes, 15 No)

Regardless of their decision, it was based upon a utilitarian view of the greater good and an ethic of justice



# Reasons that TAGs was fair for students



- Students were the primary beneficiaries of this utilitarian decision
- Loss of learning:
  - unable to access the full curriculum,
  - significant inequality of experience from homeschooling;
- Students should be allowed to progress to the next stage of their study





#### However.. Concerns



- Concerned about the increasing workload and pressure for teachers
- Concerned that teachers will show bias and therefore their grades may not be reliable
- Some wrote of not trusting teachers to make unbiased judgments
- They wrote about the need to dilute the student teacher relationship (ethic of care) for the sake of reliable accountability (when its needed most?)





Philosophy of Education Society of Australasia

- Willing to accept grade inflation and grade discrepancies
- Accountability will be difficult
- Willing to accept the vulnerability of the moral agent for the good of the 'other' (Garrison, 1997)
- Relegate the 'felt dimensions' of the student teacher relationship to the margins challenging the role of care (Finefter-Rosenbluh, 2022)

### Conclusions



Philosophy of Education Society of Australasia

- This topical dilemma enabled them to see the complexity of decision making
- Revealed the tension between teacher autonomy and the institutional & professional norms (Colnerud 1997)
- Identified the nature of the dilemma -Tension between rewards for effort and standards (Shapira-Lischinsky, 2010)



#### **Implications for ITT**



- The use of dilemmas can reveal pre-service educator's moral thinking to both themselves and teacher educators
- Using pedagogical practices which foster a moral imagination highlight context rather than fixed ethical rules (Joseph, 2003; Chapman, Forster, Buchanan, 2013; Cook-Sather, Baker-Doyle 2017)
- Overly prescriptive CCF does not leave space for slow deliberation of ethical dilemmas
- There are different dialogues to be had around the decisions taken
- The university assessment and grading criteria does not lend itself to 'judging' dilemmas
  Lifechanging
  University of Sunderland

#### References

.



- Cook-Sather, Baker-Doyle (2017). Developing teacher's capacity or moral reasoning and imagination in teacher education. In Sage handbook of Research in Teacher Education. London. Sage
- Chapman et al (2013) The Moral Imagination in Pre-service Teachers' Ethical Reasoning Australian Journal of Teacher Education Vol 38, 5, May 2013
- Estola, et al (2022). Moral imagination in student teachers' written stories on an ethical dilemma.
- Fullard 2023 Labour Market Expectations and Occupational Choice: Evidence from Teaching. University of Warwick, University of Essex
- Finefter-Rosenbluh, I (2022) between student voice-based assessment and teacher student relationship: teachers' responses to techniques of power in schools . British journal of sociology of education. 2022, vol 43, NO 6, 842-859
- Joseph, P (2003) Teaching about the Moral Classroom: infusing the moral imagination into teacher education. Aisa pacific Journal of teacher Education. Vol. 31, No. 1, 2003
- Joseph, P (2016) Ethical reflections on becoming teachers. Journal of Moral Education. VOL. 45, NO. 1, 31–45
- Sanger, M. N., and R. D. Osguthorpe (Eds.) (2013). The Moral Work of Teaching and Teacher Education. Columbia University, New York: Teachers College.
- Shapira-Lishchinsky, O. (2011). "Teachers' Critical Incidents: Ethical Dilemmas in Teaching Practice." Teaching and Teacher Education 27 (3): 648– 656.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2010.11.003
  Lifechanging

