**Note:** This is the authors' revised manuscript that may not correspond to the final printed version which can be found at: De la Vega, R., Alberti, S., Ruíz-Barquín, R., Soós, I., & Szabo, A. (2017). Induced beliefs about a fictive energy drink influences 200-m sprint performance. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 1–6. doi:10.1080/17461391.2017.1339735

4	
I	Induced Beners About a Fictive Energy Drink Influences 200 m Sprint Performance
2 3	Ricardo de la Vega <sup>1</sup> , Sara Alberti <sup>2</sup> , Roberto Ruíz-Barquín <sup>3</sup> , István Soós <sup>4</sup> , and Attila Szabo <sup>5,*</sup>
4	
5	<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Educación Física. Deporte y Motricidad Humana Universidad
6	Autonoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain, email: ricardo.delavega@uam.es
7	<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Educación Física, Deporte y Motricidad Humana Universidad
8	Autonoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain, email: sara.alberti@estudiante.uam.es
9	<sup>3</sup> Departamento de Psicología Evolutiva y de la Educación (Interfacultativo), Universidad
10	Autonoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain, email: roberto.ruiz@uam.es
11	<sup>4</sup> Team of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences and Well-Being,
12	University of Sunderland, Sunderland, United Kingdom., email: istvan.soos@sunderland.ac.uk
13	<sup>3</sup> Institute of Health Promotion and Sport Sciences and Institute of Psychology, Eötvös
14	Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary, email: szabg.attila@ppk.elte.hu
15	
16	Author Note
10	The authors have no conflict of interest to declars. No funding was obtained for this research
10	Affiliation where the research was conducted Universided Autonome de Madrid Spain
20	*Correspondence concerning this manuscript should be addressed to Prof. Attila Szabo. Institute
20	of Health Promotion and Sport Sciences, Eötvös Joránd University, H-1117 Budapest, Bogdánfy
22	u. 10. Hungary. E-mail: szabo.attila@ppk.elte.hu
23	
24	Abstract
25	
26	Placebo and nocebo effects occur in response to subjective expectations and their subsequent
27	neural actions. Research shows that information shapes expectations that, consequently, influence
28	people's behaviour. In this study, we examined the effects of a fictive and inert green-colour
29	energy drink provided for three groups (n=20/group) with different information. The first group
30 24	was led to expect that the drink augments running performance (positive information), the second
31 32	information), while the third group was told that earlier research could not demonstrate that the
33	drink improves performance (neutral/control). At baseline, the three groups did not differ in their
34	200 m sprint performance $(n > .05)$ . One-week later, 20-min immediately after ingesting the
35	drink, all participants ran again 200 m. The positive information group increased its performance
36	by 2.41 s, that was statistically significant ( $p < .001$ ) and also perceived its sprint-time shorter ( $p$
37	< .05) than the other two groups. A better performance (0.97 s) that approached, but did not reach
38	statistical significance, was also noted in the partial-positive information group-, and a lesser
39	charge (0.72), that was statistically not significant, was noted in the neutral information control
40	group. These results reveal that drinking an inert liquid, primed with positive information,
41	changes both the actual and the self-perceived time on 200 m sprint. The current findings also
4∠ ∖ ∕\2	suggest that the level of certainty of the information might be linked to the magnitude of change
43 11	in performance. <b>Ney worus</b> : Atmete, Conditioning; Exercise; Expectation; Placebo
44	$\checkmark$

## 45 Induced beliefs about a fictive energy drink influences 200 m sprint performance

46 The "Expectancy Theory", proposed in the context of social learning (Bandura, 1977) is a 47 hybrid of learning and subjective mental-neural processes (White, Bates, & Johnson, 1990). The 48 theory explains behaviour through individuals' expectancies of the rewarding effects of their 49 action toward a desired outcome. Whether the expectancies are valid/rational is unimportant; to 50 have an effect on a behaviour, they simply need to exist (Jones, Corbin, & Fromme, 2001). 51 Research shows that expectancies raise brain glucose metabolism by up to 50%, mainly in the 52 thalamus region (Volkow et al., 2003). Further, the strength of the expectancies may mediate the 53 outcome, since different brain regions appear to be activated by the certain and less certain, or 54 uncertain, expectancies (Ploghaus, Becerra, Borras, & Borsook, 2003).

Given that the beliefs-linked expectancies modify the neural processes in the brain, they also play part in the placebo response (Atlas, & Wager, 2012; Stewart-Williams & Podd, 2004), which is a pleasant and desired outcome induced by subjective beliefs that one has received a beneficial treatment (Clark, Hopkins, Hawley, & Burke, 2000). Recebo effects may surface in sports (Szabo, 2013) by enhancing physical and/or mental performance. Beedie and Foad (2009) reported -7.8% to 50.7% placebo-effects in 12 intervention studies, while a meta-analysis of 14 studies yielded a mean effect size of .31 (Bérdi, Köteles, Szabo, & Bardos, 2011).

Qualitative research, based on interviews with cyclists, suggests the placebo-effects occur in four categories: (a) beliefs, (b) pain sensation, (c) expectancy, and (d) arousal, and stem from beliefs based on information given to the athletes prior to, or during performance (Beedie, Stuart, Damian, & Foad, 2006). Therefore, information shapes the beliefs and, thereby, the actions of individuals. Beliefs trigger neurological activities (Meissner et al., 2011) similar to psychoactive agents (Price, Finniss, & Benedetti, 2008). Positive beliefs induce facilitating *placebo* effects, negative beliefs yield debilitating *nocebo* effects (Benedetti, Lanotte, Lopiano, & Colloca, 2007).

69 The effects of information-induced beliefs were sparsely studied in sports and exercise. In 70 a longitudinal study by Desharnais, Tobin, Cote, Levesque, and Godin (1993) it was found that an 71 exercise program delivered with positively biased information for one group, resulted in 72 psychological benefits in contrast to a non biased control group. In a later work, in a 10-min 73 exercise study, the mood of the participants has improved in function of the biased recall of pre-74 exercise mood (Anderson & Brice, 2011). Recently, Szabo and Kocsis (2016) demonstrated the 75 net effect of information bias on the subjectively perceived well-being after 3-min deep-breathing where the information biased group reported more positive changes than the non biased group. 76 77 Earlier, Crum and Langer (2007) showed that mere information bias, without any intervention, 78 could favourably alter the blood pressure, body fat, body mass index, waist-to-hip ratio, and body 79 mass in women, however, apart from blood pressure, the replication of the results has failed in 80 another work (Stanforth, Steinhardt, Mackert, Stanforth, & Gloria, 2011).

81 Wullimann (2010) examined the effects of the type of information and manipulated the expectance interview in positive-, neutral-, and negative information biased 82 83 groups. The author found that the positive information resulted in increased levels of physical 84 activity and psychological well-being. Similarly, Horcajo and De La Vega (2014) revealed that 85 attitudes toward doping legislation changed in parallel with the type of information. In an acute intervention study (Duncan, Lyons, & Hankey, 2009), subjects who were led to believe that they 86 drunk catterne exhibited better performance in contrast to the controls. Based on the handful of 87 88 studies in the area, it is evident that information-manipulated beliefs have an effect on behaviour. 89 In the present work we tested the hypothesis that the manipulation of information and its

90 level of certainty has a measurable effect on 200 m sprint-run performance. Specifically, we

91 hypothesized that the positive information associated with the fictive energy drink will result in
92 shorter 200 m sprint time and similar, but less prominent, results may surface in the partial
93 positive information group too, but not in the neutral information group.

## Method

# 96 Participants

94 95

97 Sixty participants were recruited for this study from two local sports facilities and were 98 included only if they were active runners over the age of 18 capable of performing two 200 m 99 sprints a week apart. The required sample size (n=57), calculated by using the G\*Power (v, 3)100 software (Faul, Erdfelder, Lang, & Buchner, 2007), was based on power  $(T-\beta) = .95$ , a medium 101 effects size (Cohen's d = 0.5; Cohen, 1988),  $\alpha = .05$ , with two dependent measures and three 102 groups. Participants mean age was  $26.93 (\pm SD = 7.51)$  years, that ranged from 19 to 56 years. 103 There was a relatively balanced ratio between men (47%) and women (53%) All participants 104 provided written informed consent to taking part in the study. The research was approved by the 105 institution's ethics board and it was carried out in accord with the Declaration of Helsinki (Harriss 106 & Atkinson, 2009) as well as human participant research guidelines of the British Psychological 107 Society and World Medical Association (The British Psychological Society, 2010; World 108 Medical Association, 2008).

# 109 Design

A two-way mixed experimental design was used in which participants were randomly assigned to one of three drink-information groups (between-subjects factor: positive-, partial positive-, and neutral information) and repeated a 200 m sprint one week apart (trial, withinsubjects factor: baseline and intervention).

## 114 **Procedure**

All testing took place at the same time of the day, during the normal working hours. Upon reporting for the testing, participants first signed the consent form and when ready, after a warm up, they sprinted 200 meters on the indoor running track while the time of the run was recorded with an Ultrak 360 digital stopwatch by one of the experimenters. Subsequently, the person was given an appointment one week later at the same time of the day. The first test (at baseline) was the identical for all participants.

Before the second test, participants were randomly allocated to three groups. The only 121 122 difference between these groups was the information provided to them before drinking 200 ml of 123 a fictive green energy drink, which took place 20 minutes preceding their second 200 m sprint 124 test. The information given to the three groups were a) positive: the drink improves performance, 125 b) partial positive: the drink may or may not improve performance, and c) neutral: the drink does 126 not affect performance. The drink consisted of plain drinking water tainted green with a 127 commercially available food colorant, obtained through the mixing of the blue and yellow 128 colorants (Colorantes Alimentarios; Vahiné, 2012). The manufacturer's indicative composition is 129 water, dye (rellow: E102, blue: E133), citric acid, and a preservative (E202). Four to five drops 130 were sufficient to colour 1-liter preparation, that yielded five doses of the fictive energy drink.

After ingesting the fictive energy drink, participants gently warmed-up for 15 minutes and then sprinted 200 meters again. Their time was recorded by the same experimenter who was blind to the group assignments. After the second run, participants were provided with their run-time at baseline the first run one week earlier), following which they were asked to estimate their current run time (perceived time). Ensuing, they were debriefed, but to avoid spread of information and bias in the data, the deception associated with the intervention, and the aim of the work, was only disclosed after all the 60 participants completed the study.

#### 138 **Data Analyses**

All calculations were performed with the SPSS (v. 22) software. To test the effects of the 139 140 information-induced beliefs on sprint performance, the data were analysed with a 3 (groups: 141 positive information, partial positive information, and neutral information) by 2 (time: baseline 142 and intervention) mixed model repeated measures analysis of variance (RM-ANOVA) using 143 gender as covariate. The differences between baseline sprint times in the three groups and the 144 difference between the actual and perceived sprint times after ingesting the drink were analysed 145 with univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA).

146

## **Results**

At baseline, the three groups did not differ in their 200 m sprint performance (p > .05). 147 148 The RM-ANOVA yielded a statistically significant group by time interaction (Wilk's Lambda = .968,  $F_{2,56} = 6.48$ , p = .003, effect size: partial ETA squared  $(\eta_p^2) = .187$ , power/ $(1-\beta) = .889$ ). 149 The covariate (gender) had no effect on the results. The interaction was followed up with three 150 151 paired t-tests, comparing the baseline with the intervention sprint times within each group. We 152 used the conservative Bonferroni correction ( $\alpha$ /number of tests) for these multiple t-tests, which 153 has reduced the acceptable level of error probability to  $\alpha = 0.017$ . Based on this adjustment, as 154 shown in Table 1, the positive information group improved its sprint performance, but an evident 155 and statistically considerable trend has also emerged in the partial positive information group, 156 while statistically no significant change was seen in the peutral information group.

157

### Insert Table 1 about here

Finally, the ANOVA testing the difference (A scores between the actual- and perceived 158 159 sprint times during the second run (after the drink intervention) yielded a statistically significant group main effect ( $F_{2,57} = 5.43$ , p = .007,  $\eta_p^2 = .160$ ). The post-hoc Bonferroni comparisons 160 showed that the positive information group ( $\Delta \neq 21.66, 4.07\%$ ) differed statistically significantly 161 (p = .006) from the neutral information group ( $\Delta = -0.32, 0.79\%$ ), but only showed a non-162 163 significant trend (p = .087) in contrast to the partial positive information group ( $\Delta = -0.73$ , 164 1.88%). The latter and the neutral information group did not differ from each other (p > .05). 165

## Discussion

166 The current findings show that the nature of the information affects outcome behaviour as 167 demonstrated in the 200 m sprint run. Strengthening Duncan et al.'s (2009) and Wullimann's (2010) works, these findings may be important and merit consideration from both research and 168 169 applied perspectives, because they shed new light on the relationship between the form, or the 170 level, of information and exercise behaviour. The key mediating factor between the two is most 171 likely the information based beliefs, that in past research was shown to alter brain functions 172 (Benedetti, Mayberg, Wager, Stohler, & Zubieta, 2005), to yield a modified response. These are 173 placebo responses manifested primarily by "responders" who fully trust the information to alter 174 their expectation through which the behavioural modification occurs (Benedetti & Frisaldi, 175 2014). However, there are "non-responders" too, who handle the information with scepticism 176 and, therefore, the neural connection to an outcome behaviour remains inactivated due to lack, or 177 weak expectation (Benedetti & Frisaldi, 2014). Therefore, in addition to information, the trust or 178 strength of believen the provided information should be also assessed. Earlier research has 179 demonstrated that the level of subjective certainty, yielding the belief-linked expectancy, may be 180 a principal mediator in the outcome (Ploghaus et al., 2003). Subjective certainty can be 181 manipulated with the level of information (positive, or partial positive) as also demonstrated in 182 the current work. Future studies should replicate the current study with both placebo responders 183 and non-responders, or at least gauge the strength of belief, in the presented information, to 184 obtain a more specific picture about the impact of information-priming on one's behaviour.

185 The results of the current work agree with the limited past research that disclosed a link 186 between information and psychological or physiological behavioural responses (Crum & Langer, 187 2007; Desharnais et al., 1993; Duncan et al., 2009; Horcajo & De La Vega, 2014; Szabo & 188 Kocsis, 2016; Wullimann, 2010). The current results also expend the knowledge from past 189 research. For example, by using a short exercise intervention, this study expands the findings of 190 Wullimann (2010), showing that not only the perceived (subjective) performance, but also the 191 actual (objective) performance increases significantly when the information is aimed at inducing 192 a positive expectancy. The current results also show that when only partial positive information is 193 delivered to the participants, the behaviour appears to change in accord with subjectively-194 generated beliefs based on the partial information that may not be independent of past experience 195 with, or possible learned information about the intervention. The role of the latter two should be 196 untangled in future studies.

197 The marginal improvement in the partial positive information group may be due to self-198 generated associations between the thought to be a green energy drink and expected performance. 199 Without knowing the actual ingredients, hypothetical green drinks are believed to be the most 200 potent in enhancing strength and endurance as compared to other hypothetical performance enhancers, ranging from white powder to red pill (Szabo, Berdi, Köteles, & Bárdos, 2013). In 201 spite of this plausible explanation, the change in performance in the partial positive information 202 203 group only bears tentative interpretations, because the actual beliefs associated with the drink 204 were not assessed. Although in contrast to the baseline the subjectively perceived sprint time 205 showed a decrease, the difference was statistically not significant in contrast to the neutral 206 information group. However, given the perceptual characteristics of the unlabelled green drinks 207 (Szabo et al., 2013), it is possible that even the neutral information group has generated some sort of positive beliefs in context of the drink, since their performance, both objective and subjective, 208 has also increased slightly (1.76% and 0.79%, respectively). However, these changes were not 209 210 statistically significant and cannot be compared to the more robust changes induced by the 211 positive or partially positive information; they also may simply reflect a non-significant practice 212 effect. 213

# 214 Limitations of the study

The obvious limitation of the current work is the lack of a random sample, the recruitment 215 216 of which is difficult, if not impossible, when researchers are aiming for a skill-dependent sample. 217 The other two limitations are linked to the understanding of the observed placebo effects. The 218 first is that the subjective belief about the drink was not determined, instead only the perception 219 of performance change, in contrast to the previous run, was the sole subjective measure. Second, 220 not independent from the first, is that the past experience with energy drinks was not assessed in 221 the current work, which could be another potential mediator of the observed placebo responses. 222 Further, one may critique the use of a handheld stopwatch for measurement accuracy, but past 223 research has shown that the average error in recording 200 m sprint times is about 0.05 s, while 224 the handheid measurements also correlate strongly with electronic measurement (r > .96; Hetzler, 225 Stickley, Lundoust, & Kimura, 2008). Finally, even though the participants were experienced 226 runners, their sprint time data could have been contaminated by practice effects. In spite of the 227 logical assumption that such effects would surface equally in the three groups, and that they 228 would be no more than that observed in the neutral information group (i.e., 0.72 s), their actual 229 impact is unknown. Future studies, employing a similar design, should conduct familiarization 230 trials before the actual intervention. 231

# Conclusions

233 The current research reveals that a 200 m sprint-run performance can be altered via mere 234 information supplied to the participants. The level of certainty of the information, linked to the 235 intervention, affected the level of change in performance. Clear positive information had a greater 236 effect than only partial positive information, while neutral information did not have an effect on 237 performance. The observed changes may be closely related to information-generated subjective 238 beliefs of varying strength, that could trigger various levels of expectancies, affecting the 239 neurophysiological system in performance behaviour. In this context, the current study also raises 240 questions for future studies concerning the role of personal beliefs, their strength, and their link to 241 expectancy-mediated action(s) for the better understanding of the mechanism(s) through which 242 external information influences one's objective performance. 243

# Acknowledgments

245 The author wish to thank the meticulous work of two anonymous reviewers, as well as their 246 constructive feedback and valuable suggestions, that were extremely helpful in improving the 247 current report.

### 249 References

250

248

244

232

- Anderson, R. J., & Brice, S. (2011). The mood-enhancing benefits of exercise: Memory biases 251 252 augment the effect. Psychology of Sport & Exercise, 12(2), 79-82. doi: 253
  - 10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.08.003
- Atlas, L. Y., & Wager, T. D. (2012). How expectations shape pain. Neuroscience Letters, 520(2), 254 255 140-148. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2012.03.039
- Bandura, A. (1977). Social Learning Theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall. 256
- 257 Beedie, C. J., & Foad, A. J. (2009). The placebo effect in sports performance; a brief review. 258 Sports Medicine, 39(4), 313-329. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200939040-00004.
- 259 Beedie, C. J., Stuart, E. M., Coleman, D. A., & Foad, A. J. (2006). Placebo effects of caffeine on 260 cycling performance. Medicine and Science in Sports & Exercise, 38(12), 2159-2164. doi: 261 10.1249/01.mss.0000233805.56315.a9.
- Benedetti, F., & Frisaldi, E. (2014). Creating placebo responders and nonresponders in the 262 263 laboratory: boons and banes. Pain Management, 4(3), 165-167. doi: 10.2217/pmt.14.11.
- 264 Benedetti, F., Lanotte, M., Lopiano, L., & Colloca, L. (2007). When words are painful: 265 unraveling the mechanisms of the nocebo effect. *Neuroscience*, 147(2), 260-271. doi: 266 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007.02.020.
- 267 Benedetti, F., Mayberg, H. S., Wager, T. D., Stohler, C. S., & Zubieta, J. K. (2005).
- 268 Neurobiological mechanisms of the placebo effect. The Journal of Neuroscience, 25(45), 269 10390-10402. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3458-05.2005.
- 270 Bérdi, M. Køteles, F. Szabo, A., & Bárdos, Gy. (2011). Placebo effects in sport and exercise. 271 European Journal of Mental Health, 6, 196-212. doi: 10.5708/EJMH.6.2011.2.5.
- 272 Clark, V. R., Hopkins, W. G., Hawley, J. A., & Burke, L. M. (2000). Placebo effect of 273 carbohydrate feeding during a 40-km cycling time trial. Medicine and Science in Sports & 274
- Exercise 32, 1642-1647. doi: 10.1097/00005768-200009000-00019

### 275 Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: 276 Erlbaum.

- Crum, A. J., & Langer, E. J. (2007). Mind-set matters: Exercise and the placebo effect. 277
- 278 Psychological Science, 18, 165-171. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01867.x

- Desharnais, R., Jobin, J., Cote, C., Levesque, L., & Godin, G. (1993). Aerobic exercise and the
  placebo effect: A controlled study. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 55(2), 149-154. doi:
  10.1097/00006842-199303000-00003
- Duncan, M. J., Lyons, M., & Hankey, J. (2009). Placebo effects of caffeine on short-term
   resistance exercise to failure. International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance
   4(2), 244-253. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.4.2.244
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G\*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, *39*, 175-191. doi:10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149
- Harriss, D. J., & Atkinson, G. (2009). International Journal of Sports Medicine–ethical standards
  in sport and exercise science research. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 30(10),
  701-702. doi: 10.1055/s-0029-1237378. Epub 2009 Oct 6.
- Hetzler, R. K., Stickley, C. D., Lundquist, K. M., & Kimura, I. F. (2008). Reliability and
  accuracy of handheld stopwatches compared with electronic timing in measuring sprint
  performance. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 22(6), 1969-1976.
- Horcajo, J., & De La Vega, R. (2014). Changing doping-related attitudes in soccer players: How
  can we get stable and persistent changes?. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 14(8), 839846. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2014.905988
- Jones, B. T., Corbin, W., & Fromme, K. (2001). A review of expectancy theory and alcohol
   consumption. *Addiction*, 96(1), 57-72. doi: 10.1046/j.1360-0443.2001.961575.x
- Meissner, K., Bingel, U., Colloca, L., Wager, T. D., Watson, A., & Flaten, M. A. (2011). The placebo effect: Advances from different methodological approaches. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *31* (45), 16117-16124. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4099-11.2011.
- Morris, S. B., & DeShon, R. P. (2002). Combining effect size estimates in meta-analysis with
   repeated measures and independent-groups designs. *Psychological Methods*, 7(1), 105-125.
   doi: 10.1037/1082-989X.7.1.105
- Ploghaus, A., Becerra, L., Borras, C. & Borsook, D. (2003). Neural circuitry underlying pain
  modulation: expectation, hypnosis, placebo. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 7(5), 197-200.
  doi: 10.1016/s1364-6613(03)00061-5
- Price D. D., Finniss, D. G., & Benedetti, F. (2008). A comprehensive review of the placebo
  effect: recent advances and current thought. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *59*, 565-590.
  doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.59.113006.095941
- Stanforth, D., Steinhardt, M., Mackert, M., Stanforth, P.R., & Gloria, C.T. (2011). An
  investigation of exercise and the placebo effect. *American Journal of Health Behaviour*, 313 35(3), 257-268. doi: 10.5993/ajhb.35.3.1
- Stewart-Williams, S., & Podd, J. (2004). The placebo effect: Dissolving the expectancy versus
  conditioning debate. *Psychological Bulletin*, *130*(2), 324-340. doi: 10.1037/00332909.130.2 324
- Szabo, A. (2013). Acute psychological benefits of exercise: Reconsideration of the placebo
  effect. *Lournal of Mental Health*, 22(5), 449-455. doi: 10.3109/09638237.2012.734657
- Szabo, A., Bérdi, M., Köteles, F., & Bárdos, G. (2013). Perceptual characteristics of nutritional
  supplements determine the expected effectiveness in boosting strength, endurance, and
  concentration performances. International *Journal of Sport Nutrition & Exercise*Metabolism, 23(6), 624-628. doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.23.6.624
- 323 Szabo, A., & Kocsis, Á. (2016). Psychological effects of deep-breathing: the impact of
  324 expectancy-priming. Psychology, *Health & Medicine*, 1-6. [Epub ahead of print]. doi:
  325 10.1080/13548506.2016.1191656

- 326 The British Psychological Society (2010). Code of Human Research Ethics. Retrieved from 327 http://www.bps.org.uk/sites/default/files/documents/code of human research ethics.pdf 328 Vahiné (2012). Colorantes alimentarios. Retrieved from: http://www.vahine.es/productos/togue-329 personal/colorantes-alimentarios# Volkow, N. D., Wang, G. J., Ma, Y., Fowler, J. S., Zhu, W., Maynard, L., ... & Swanson J. M. 330 331 (2003). Expectation enhances the regional brain metabolic and the reinforcing effects of 332 stimulants in cocaine abusers. The Journal of Neuroscience, 23(36), 11461-11468. White, H. R., Bates, M. E., & Jonhnson, V. (1990). Social reinforcement and alcohol 333 334 consumption. In W. M. Cox (Ed.) Why people drink: parameters of alcohol as a reinforcer. 335 New York: Gardner Press. 336 World Medical Association (2008). World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. Retrieved from; 337 338 http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/17c.pdf 339 Wulliman, S. (2010). Placebo Expectancies as a Mechanism in the Psychological and 340 *Physiological Benefits of Physical Exercise.* (Unpublished master's thesis. Appalachian 341 State University, Boone, NC). Retrieved October 24, 2015 from.
- 342 <u>http://libres.uncg.edu/ir/asu/f/Wullimann,%20Simon\_2010/Thesis.pdf</u>

//

Note: This is the authors' revised manuscript that may not correspond to the final printed version which can be found at: De la Vega, R., Alberti, S., Ruíz-Barquín, R., Soós, I., & Szabo, A. (2017). Induced beliefs about a fictive energy drink influences 200-m sprint performance. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 1–6. doi:10.1080/17461391.2017.1339735

**343** Table 1

344 Means and standard deviations (in brackets) of the 200 m sprint-times in three groups. The statistical (paired t-test) analysis of the

345 within-group differences between baseline and intervention run times are presented along with the differences in seconds (s), t values,

346 confidence intervals of the difference (95% CI of  $\Delta$ ), alpha ( $\alpha$ ) error probability levels (p), and Cohen's d (1988) effect sizes

347

Baseline (Time 1)	Intervention (Time 2)	Difference (seconds)	t (19)	95% CI of Δ	$\int_{p}^{p}$	Effect size ( <i>d</i> )**
			<		ノ	
40.72 (9.09)	38.31 (8.48)	2.41 s	9.27	1,87-2.95	<.001	1.939
43.75 (11.23)	42.78 (11.14)	0.97 s	2.58	0.18-1.77	=.018*	0.613
37.59 (6.69)	36.87 (6.70)	0.72 s	1.72	-0.16-1.59	=.103	0.378
	Baseline (Time 1) 40.72 (9.09) 43.75 (11.23) 37.59 (6.69)	Baseline (Time 1)Intervention (Time 2)40.72 (9.09)38.31 (8.48)43.75 (11.23)42.78 (11.14)37.59 (6.69)36.87 (6.70)	Baseline (Time 1)Intervention (Time 2)Difference (seconds)40.72 (9.09)38.31 (8.48)2.41 s43.75 (11.23)42.78 (11.14)0.97 s37.59 (6.69)36.87 (6.70)0.72 s	Baseline (Time 1)Intervention (Time 2)Difference (seconds)t (19) t (19) (seconds)40.72 (9.09)38.31 (8.48)2.41 s9.2743.75 (11.23)42.78 (11.14)0.97 s2.5837.59 (6.69)36.87 (6.70)0.72 s1.12	Baseline (Time 1)Intervention (Time 2)Difference (seconds)t (19)95% CI of Δ40.72 (9.09)38.31 (8.48)2.41 s9.271.87-2.9543.75 (11.23)42.78 (11.14)0.97 s2.580.18-1.7737.59 (6.69)36.87 (6.70)0.72 s1.72-0.16-1.59	Baseline (Time 1)Intervention (Time 2)Difference (seconds) $t$ (19)95% CI of $\Delta$ p40.72 (9.09)38.31 (8.48)2.41 s9.271.87-2.95<.001

348 NOTE:\*Since the Bonferroni corrected alpha ( $\alpha$ ) was 0.017, the p  $\neq$  018 can only be considered a strong trend; \*\*Corrected for the

349 dependence between the means, using Morris and DeShon's (2002) equation No. 8.  $\vee$