Longitudinal Match Performance Characteristics of UK and Non-UK Players in the English Premier League

Running Title: UK and Non-UK Match Performance Characteristics

Keywords: Evolution, football, foreign players, sprinting, passing

Word Count: 3197

Abstract

This study investigated the longitudinal match performance characteristics in the English Premier League (EPL), with special reference to player nationality (UK vs. non-UK). Match observations (*n*=14700) were collected using a multi-camera computerised tracking system across seven consecutive EPL seasons (2006-07 to 2012-13). Player nationality referred to their birthplace, with players born in England, Scotland, Wales or Northern Ireland classified as UK players and other nationalities considered non-UK. Non-UK players demonstrated the most pronounced increases in high-intensity running distance across the seven seasons compared to UK players ($p<0.001$, ES: 0.91 vs. 0.73). UK players covered more high-intensity running distance in 2006-07 ($p<0.001$, ES: 0.24 [CI 0.17-0.31]), however by 2012-13 both populations covered similar distances ($p>0.05$, ES: 0.08 [CI 0.01-0.15]). In contrast, non-UK players performed more passes in 2006-07 compared to UK players ($p<0.001$, ES: 0.23 [CI 0.16-0.3]), however by 2012-13, passing performance between UK and non-UK players was equal ($p>0.05$, ES: 0.05 [CI -0.01-0.13]). The data demonstrates that the longitudinal match performance characteristics in the EPL are similar between UK and non-UK populations.

Introduction

Soccer is a complex sport with players randomly transitioning between maximal, or near-maximal, multidirectional high-intensity efforts and longer periods of low-intensity activity (Bangsbo, Mohr, & Krustrup, 2006). Players typically cover 9–14 km in total during a match with high-intensity running accounting for ~10% of that distance (Di Mascio & Bradley, 2013; Mohr, Krustrup, & Bangsbo, 2003). The physical demands of match-play have been quantified in the English Premier League (Bradley et al., 2009; Di Salvo, Gregson, Atkinson, Tordoff, & Drust, 2009), Italian Serie A (Mohr et al., 2003; Vigne, Gaudino, Rogowski, Alloatti, & Hautier, 2010), Spanish La Liga (Castellano, Blanco-Villaseñor, & Alvarez, 2011), French Ligue 1 (Carling, 2010), German Bundesliga (Hoppe, Slomka, Baumgart, Weber, & Freiwald, 2015) in addition to the UEFA European Champions League (Bradley, Dellal, Mohr, Castellano, & Wilkie, 2014; Di Salvo et al., 2010). The research results suggest that the English Premier League (EPL) is one of the most physically intense Leagues in Europe (Bradley et al., 2009; Dellal et al., 2011; Di Salvo et al., 2009). Despite the physicality of modern match-play, players are still expected to be proficient in an array of technical skills, more particularly passing performance, and have exceptional tactical awareness in order to be successful, which is more closely related with game outcome (Barnes, Archer, Hogg, Bush, & Bradley, 2014; Bradley et al., 2013; Carmichael, Thomas, & Ward, 2001; Dellal et al., 2011; Lago & Martin, 2007; Rampinini, Impellizzeri, Castagna, Coutts, & Wisløff, 2009). More limited information is available on the technical variables in different leagues. Nevertheless the information available suggests passing performance is lower in the English Premier League compared to the main European Leagues. In the

English Premier League performing both fewer passes in total and fewer successful passes compared to players in the Italian Serie A (Rampinini et al., 2009). In addition, more long passes are observed in the English Premier League compared to the Italian and Spanish Leagues (Barnes et al., 2014; Dellal et al., 2011; Rampinini et al., 2009). It may be speculated, but unproven, that the greater technical performance observed by players in other leagues would be transferred when players transfer between leagues. Although technical match parameters are strongly influenced by playing styles, formations and location on the pitch (Bradley et al., 2011) and must be factored into the interpretation of data.

The EPL has undergone substantial change over the last decade with the distances covered at high-intensity and sprinting increasing by 30-50% and the number of passes rising by 40% (Barnes et al., 2014), with subsequent research identifying these evolutionary trends to be position and tier specific (Bradley et al., 2015; Bush, Barnes, Archer, Hogg, & Bradley, 2015). Despite a lack of supporting evidence, a commonly held belief within the game is that the increased migration of non-UK players into the EPL could account for these recent alterations in technical performances (Richardson, Littlewood, Nesti, & Benstead, 2012), although its unclear why such pronounced increases have been observed in physical performances. The increased proportion of non-UK players in the EPL is related to the Bosman ruling which abolished foreign player quotas for clubs, allowing teams to buy non-UK players without restriction (Binder & Findlay, 2012; Littlewood, Mullen, & Richardson, 2011; Richardson et al., 2012). Nevertheless, previous studies have not accounted for the influence of non-UK players on longitudinal match performance and thus the present study investigated

the longitudinal impact of UK and non-UK players on match performance characteristics in the EPL.

Methods

Match Analysis and Player Data

Match performance data were collected from seven consecutive EPL seasons (2006-07 to 2012-13) using a computerized multiple-camera tracking system (Prozone Sports Ltd®, Leeds, UK). Players' movements were captured during matches by cameras positioned at roof level and analysed using proprietary software to produce a dataset on each player's physical and technical performance. The validity and reliability of this tracking system has been quantified to verify the capture process and data accuracy (Di Salvo, Collins, McNeill, & Cardinale, 2006; Di Salvo et al., 2009). Ethical approval was obtained from the appropriate institutional ethics committee with Prozone Sports Ltd® supplying the data and granting permission to publish.

Data were derived from Prozone's Trend Software and consisted of 1036 individual players across 22846 player observations. Original data files were de-sensitized but included 33 different teams overall with 20 teams evaluated in each season. Individual match data were only included for outfield players that had completed the entire 90 min (Carling & Dupont, 2011). Matches were excluded if a player dismissal occurred. The total number of observations was substantially different across season (2006-07 to 2012-13 [range=2604-4794]), phase of season (Aug-Nov, Dec-Feb, Mar-May [range=6828-8214]), position (attackers, central defenders, central midfielders, full backs, wide midfielders [range=3405-5755]), location (Home and Away [range-11399-11447]) and team standard based on

final league ranking. The original data was subjected to a number of re-sampling processes in order to balance the number of observations in each of these categories thus minimising errors when applying statistical tests. Table 1 shows a detailed breakdown of the resampled data. The re-sampling was achieved using the stratified function in the R package "devtools" (R Development Core Team) using the procedures of Wickham & Chang (2013) with 14700 player observations included for further analysis.

Classification of Player Nationality

Classifying a players' nationality is a complex process, thus a systematic approach was taken to enable the longitudinal match performance trends of players with different nationalities to be explored. The national team a player was eligible to play for dictated the nationality selected for that individual. Players with an English, Scottish, Welsh or Northern Irish nationality were considered UK players, with all other nationalities considered non-UK, including players of Republic of Ireland nationalities due to historical political and social issues (McGovern, 2002). Table 2 shows a detailed breakdown of the UK and non-UK observations.

Match Performance Parameters

Activities were coded into the following: standing (0-0.6 $km \cdot h^{-1}$), walking (0.7-7.1 $km \cdot h^{-1}$), jogging (7.2-14.3 $km \cdot h^{-1}$), running (14.4-19.7 $km \cdot h^{-1}$), high-speed running (19.8-25.1 $km \cdot h^{-1}$) and sprinting (>25.1 $km \cdot h^{-1}$) (Bradley et al., 2009). High-intensity running consisted of the combined distance in high-speed running and sprinting ($\geq$19.8 $km \cdot h^{-1}$) and was separated into three subsets based on the

teams' possession status: with or without ball possession and when the ball was out of play (WP, WOP, BOP, respectively). An explosive sprint is where a player enters a sprint immediately after a low-to-moderate speed activity (<19.8 $km \cdot h^{-1}$) in the previous 0.5 s period, without entering a high-speed run. A leading sprint is where a player enters a sprint from a high-speed run in the previous 0.5 s period (Di Salvo et al., 2010). Match analysis included the coding of technical parameters according to playing position based on the criteria defined by Prozone and included the number of passes, received passes and successful passes (Barnes et al., 2014). Pass distance referred to the overall length of the pass and was split into short (≤10 m), medium (11-24 m) and long (≥25m). Technical performance was limited to passing variables due to the high variability of other technical parameters (Bush, Archer, Hogg, & Bradley, 2015), making differences between categories more difficult to identify .

Statistical Analysis

Factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests with sphericity assumed were used to compare UK and non-UK populations from each season. Dunnet's *post hoc* tests used to verify localised differences relative to 2006-07 for each subsequent season with significance set at $p<0.05$. Normality was assessed visually, since even minor deviations from normality can result in data being classified as not normally distributed with such a large dataset. The effect size (ES) was calculated to determine the meaningfulness of the difference, corrected for bias using Hedges formula and presented with 90% Confidence Intervals (CI). Calculations of absolute change per season for selected indicators were assessed based on the 90% CI of the coefficient of the slope (linear regression). The ES magnitudes

were classified as trivial (<0.2), small (>0.2-0.6), moderate (>0.6-1.2) and large (>1.2; Batterham & Hopkins, 2006). All analyses were conducted using statistical software (R Development Core Team) and data visualisation was carried out using the ggplot2 package accessed via the Deducer Interface for the R statistical programming language.

Results

Physical Parameters

UK players covered greater distances at high-intensities compared to non-UK players F (1,6) = 19.433, p<0.001. Post hoc results displayed these results to be in 2006-07 (929±310 vs. 858±286m, p<0.01, ES: 0.24 [CI 0.17-0.31]). However, non-UK players recorded greater increases over the seven seasons (p<0.001, ES: 0.91 [CI 0.83-0.97] vs. 0.73 [CI 0.65-0.80]), resulting in comparable high-intensity running distance being covered by 2012-13 (UK: 1167±344 vs. non-UK: 1139±331m, ES: 0.08 [CI 0.01-0.15]). These increases were equivalent to 31 (CI 27-34) and 40 (CI 37-43) $m \cdot match^{-1} \cdot season^{-1}$ for UK and non-UK players respectively (Figure 1A). In contrast, only trivial differences were observed in high-intensity running distance WP, F (1,6) = 4.057, p<0.05. Post hoc results identified these trivial differences to be present in both 2006-07 (UK: 391±240 vs. non-UK: 358±235m, p<0.05, ES: 0.14 [CI 0.07-0.21]), and 2012-13 (UK: 478±260 vs. non-UK: 478±261m, ES: 0.0 [CI -0.07-0.07]). Though the increase was equivalent to 9 (CI 7-12) and 19 (CI 17-21) $m \cdot match^{-1} \cdot season^{-1}$ for UK and non-UK players respectively. Differences were observed between UK and non-UK players for high-intensity running distance WOP, F (1,6) = 76.112, p<0.001. Post hoc tests showed these trivial differences were in 2006-07 (UK: 468±164 vs.

non-UK: 437±159m, p<0.001. ES: 0.19 [CI 0.12-0.26]) and 2012-13, although non-significant, (UK: 599±192 vs. non-UK: 581±202m, p>0.05, ES: 0.09 [CI 0.02-0.16]), being equivalent to 18 (CI 17-20) and 19 (CI 17-20) $m \cdot match^{-1} \cdot season^{-1}$ for UK and non-UK players respectively.

UK players covered significantly different sprint distances compared to non-UK players F (1,6) = 6.807, p<0.01. Post hoc tests highlighted these trivial differences in 2006-07 (243±117 vs. 222±110m, p<0.001, ES: 0.19 [CI 0.11-0.26]), and 2012-13 (UK: 355±147 vs. non-UK: 346±133m, ES: 0.06 [CI -0.01-0.14]). Sprint distance increased by 15 (CI 14-17) and 18 (CI 17-19) $m \cdot match^{-1} \cdot season^{-1}$ for UK and non-UK players respectively (Figure 1B). No differences were observed between UK and non-UK players, respectively, for both the number of sprints performed (2006-07: 32±15 vs. 30±14; 2012-13: 57±21 vs. 56±20, p>0.05, ES: <0.15 [CI -0.02-0.21]) and the average distance per sprint (2006-07: 6.9±1.3 vs. 6.9±1.4m; 2012-13: 5.9±0.9 vs. 5.9±0.8m, p>0.05, ES: 0.0 [CI -0.07-0.07]), with similar changes across the seasons. The number of sprints performed increased by 3.5 (CI 3.4-3.7) and 4.0 (CI 3.8-4.1) $match^{-1} \cdot season^{-1}$ in UK and non-UK players respectively, whereas the average distance covered per sprint decreased annually by 0.2 (CI 0.1-0.2) $m \cdot match^{-1} \cdot season^{-1}$ in both groups. In addition, the number of leading (2006-07: 21±10 vs. 20±9, p>0.05, ES: 0.11 [CI 0.03-0.18]; 2012-13: 31±13 vs. 30±12, p>0.05, ES: 0.08 [CI 0.01-0.15]) and explosive sprints (2006-07: 11±7 vs. 10±6, p>0.05, ES: 0.15 [CI 0.08-0.23]; 2012-13: 27±11 vs. 26±10, p>0.05, ES: 0.1 [CI 0.02-0.17]) did not differ between UK and non-UK in both seasons, these having increased annually per match by a similar magnitude for leading (1.2 [CI 1.1-1.4] and 1.5 [CI 1.4-1.6]) and explosive sprints (2.3 [CI 2.2-2.4] and 2.5 [CI 2.4-2.5]), respectively.

Technical Parameters

Technical data revealed trivial to small differences between UK and non-UK players. The number of passes performed highlighted differences between UK and non-UK players F (1,6) = 52.784, p<0.001. Post hoc test identified non-UK players performed three more passes per match in 2006-07 (27±14) compared to UK players (24±12, ES: 0.23 [CI 0.16-0.3]), however by 2012-13 this difference was trivial (non-UK 36±17 vs. UK: 35±17, p>0.05, ES: 0.05 [CI -0.01-0.13]). This was equivalent to an increase of 1.8 (CI 1.6-1.9) and 1.7 (CI 1.6-1.9) passes·season^{-1} made by UK and non-UK players respectively (Table 3). When broken down, the number of short passes increased from 6±4 in 2006-07 to 9±5 (ES: 0.61 [CI 0.53-0.68]) for UK players and from 7±5 to 10±6 (ES: 0.54 [CI 0.47-0.61]) for non-UK players, annual changes of 0.5 (CI 0.5-0.6) passes·match^{-1}.season^{-1}. Over the same time period there was an increase in the number of medium passes made F (1,6) = 65.302, p<0.001. Post hoc tests revealed this to be for both UK (12±8 to 19±11, ES: 0.73 [CI 0.65-0.80]) and non-UK players (14±9 to 20±12, ES: 0.56 [CI 0.49-0.63]), increasing annually to a similar degree (1.1 [CI 1.0-1.2] passes· match^{-1}.season^{-1}). Pass success rate recorded different results across the seasons between UK and non-UK players F (1,6) = 63.308, p<0.001. Non-UK players recorded a trivially different pass success rate in 2006-07 (UK: 75±13 vs. non-UK: 77±12%, ES: 0.16 [CI 0.09-0.23 and 2012-13 (UK: 83±10 vs. non-UK: 84±10%, ES: 0.10 [CI 0.03-0.17]). Pass success rate increased by 1.3 (CI 1.2-1.4) and 1.1% (CI 1.0-1.2) match^{-1}.season^{-1} for UK and non-UK players, respectively (Figure 2). The percentage of occurrences of UK players with a passing success rate of <70% decreased from 29% in 2006-07 to 9% in 2012-13, whereas it decreased from 24% to 10% for non-UK players over the same time

period. The number of passes received differed between UK and non-UK players f (1,6) = 60.639, p<0.001. These differences were identified in post hoc tests to be of trivial magnitudes for non-UK compared to UK players in both 2006-07 (20±13 vs. 18±11, ES: 0.17 [CI 0.09-0.24]) and 2012-13 (UK: 29±15 vs. non-UK: 30±15, ES: 0.07 [CI -0.01-0.14]), increasing by 1.8 (CI 1.7-1.9) passes$\cdot$ match^{-1}.season^{-1}.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the longitudinal match performance characteristics of UK and non-UK players in the EPL. Research to date on the involvement of UK and non-UK players in the EPL has focussed on migration patterns (Maguire & Pearton, 2000; Richardson et al., 2012), the legal aspects of player movement (Gardiner & Welch, 2011) and the impact of migration on national teams (Binder & Findlay, 2012; Maguire & Pearton, 2000). To our knowledge, although some research has analysed the differences between different leagues (Dellal et al., 2011; James, Mellalieu, & Hollely, 2002) no research has examined the longitudinal effect of player nationality on match performance.

The results from the present study suggest that UK players performed more physical workloads during matches compared to non-UK players in 2006-07, whilst the opposite was observed for technical variables, with non-UK players performing more passes compared to UK players. Interestingly non-UK players recorded a marginally higher number of passes received than UK players which could suggest they were more confident ball playing footballers compared to UK players. Nevertheless by the 2012-13 season, there was little difference between UK and non-UK players' physical and technical performances. Non-UK player's

demonstrated greater relative increases for physical parameters in this study compared to UK players (sprint distance: 55% vs. 47%; high-intensity distance: 33% vs. 27%; high-intensity distance WOP: 35% vs. 27%; leading sprints: 52% vs. 46%). Nevertheless, it is important to point out that the effect sizes for these UK and non-UK comparisons were of trivial to small magnitudes and thus these differences may not be practically meaningful. Although unlikely to have a major impact on findings, it is worthy of note that the resampling process had minor effect on the number of observations for UK and Non-UK players and the proportions of players in the EPL differs marginally from the resampled data (Table 2). It is possible that the UK players were more accustomed to working at higher intensities compared to non-UK players. Players' intermittent exercise test performances have been shown to correlate with physical match performance (Bradley, Bendiksen, et al., 2014; Krustrup et al., 2003). There are limited physical capacity differences in Middle Eastern, Asian and African players in comparison to UK players (Chaouachi et al., 2010; Kulkarni, Levin, Peñailillo, Singh, & Singh, 2013; Ueda et al., 2011). Although players are performing lower physical workloads during matches in different national leagues (Barros et al., 2007), this is probably due to the requirements of the respective leagues rather than the players' individual capacities. In support of this, researchers have observed changes in physical performance when performing at different playing levels without changes in the physiological profile of players (Andersson, Randers, Heiner-Møller, Krustrup, & Mohr, 2010; Bradley et al., 2013). This suggests that player work rates are dictated by the situational and tactical factors, independent of their physical capacities. Alternatively, and more probable, are

Comment [DA1]: Need to be careful that we don't talk down the paper too much here – maybe rephrase?

improvements in the recruitment process permitting clubs to employ non-UK players with greater capacity to work at higher intensities.

There is limited research assessing the technical performance from different world leagues, though it would suggest limited differences exist within European countries (James et al., 2002; Janković, Leontijević, Jelušić, & Pašić, 2011; Tenga, Ronglan, & Bahr, 2010). In the present study, UK players demonstrated greater percentage increases for passing variables compared to non-UK players (passes: 48% vs. 34%; passes received: 63% vs. 50%; short passes: 67% vs. 47%; medium passes: 56% vs. 38%). In support of this, the percentage of player occurrences with a passing success rate of <70%, identified as a minimum requirement in elite soccer (Dellal et al., 2011), was lower in UK players compared to non-UK players in 2006-07 (29% vs. 24%), whereas by 2012-13, no differences were present (UK: 9% vs. non-UK: 10%). Overall, these data could suggest that non-UK players were initially accustomed to more technically based playing styles before employment in the UK and may therefore have contributed to the development of possession based playing strategies in the EPL. Alternatively these changes in technical performance in both UK and non-UK players could be due to the influx of foreign managers employing this style of play within their coaching philosophy and recruiting players that can integrate into the playing style (Barnes et al., 2014; Bush, Barnes, et al., 2015).

The physical demands (both the total distance and distances at high-intensity and sprinting) in the EPL across the seven seasons analysed in this study are consistently higher than those measured in other leagues in both Europe and Worldwide (Barros et al., 2007; Dellal et al., 2011). As a result, non-UK players transitioning into the EPL may be required to perform greater physical workloads

during matches, whilst replicating the technical ability they were recruited for. The present study accounted for player nationality, and whilst the results displayed fewer obvious trends, a convergence over time was evident for both physical and technical performance between UK and non-UK players. It is possible that UK players have encouraged the evolution in non-UK players' physical performance whilst non-UK players' technical performance has aided UK players technical performance. Due to the number of non-UK players in the EPL, as well as the growing arguments associated with the reducing numbers of UK players and the wider effects on the UK national teams, the FA has proposed to increase the minimum number of 'home-grown' (affiliated to a UK based football association for 3 years before the age of 21) players in the EPL from 8 to 12 per squad (The FA, 2015), with a short term view of increasing the playing opportunities for UK players and a long term view of improving the success of the national teams. Nevertheless, it is important to note that these are currently proposals and have not been implemented.

The results of the present study are presented over a limited number of seasons. Thus, in order to gain a greater understanding of the influx of non-UK players and their effects on the EPL a more historic comparison would be required. Nevertheless, this would be challenging, as this would predate the introduction of semi-automated tracking systems. In addition, some of the physical and technical developments may be driven by altered tactics or playing styles, for example, playing formation can influence some physical and technical performance metrics during a match (Bradley et al., 2011). Due to the nature of the dataset and the fluidity of these factors, it was not factored into the analysis. Moreover, due to the nature of the desensitised data, it was impossible to

discriminate between non-UK players who had played in the EPL for consecutive seasons and those in their first season (repeated measures design needed); with this information it could be assessed whether non-UK players bring greater performance to the EPL or whether non-UK players adapt after playing a number of seasons in the EPL. It must also be noted that player nationality was classified by the players eligibility for a national side, however this does not acknowledge the fact a player can be eligible for a national side but can play their entire domestic career in a different country, nor does this acknowledge a player's true place of birth, as players can play for a national side dependent upon their relatives registered birth country.

In conclusion, small differences were observed in the physical and technical performance of a large sample of UK and non-UK players in 2006-07, namely UK players covering greater high intensity distances but with lower numbers of passes being made when compared to non-UK players. However, by the 2012-13 seasons, these small differences are no longer present. It can be speculated that the non-UK players have increased their physical performance to match their UK counterparts over the seasons in question, either through individual adaptation or altered player recruitment policies. The UK players' relative improvements in technical performance may also have been due to similar factors, but these changes may also be partly explained due to altered playing styles or tactics adopted by EPL teams over this period.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Paul Neilson and Will Jones from Prozone Sports for providing access to the data used in this study.

References

Andersson, H., Randers, M. B., Heiner-Møller, A., Krustrup, P., & Mohr, M. (2010). Elite Female Soccer Players Perform More High-Intensity Running When Playing in International Games Compared With Domestic League Games. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *24*(4), 912–919.

Bangsbo, J., Mohr, M., & Krustrup, P. (2006). Physical and metabolic demands of training and match-play in the elite football player. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *24*(7), 665–674.

Barnes, C., Archer, D., Hogg, B., Bush, M., & Bradley, P. S. (2014). The Evolution of Physical and Technical Performance Parameters in the English Premier League. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *35*(13), 1095–1100.

Barros, R., Misuta, M., Menezes, R., Figueroa, P., Moura, F., Cunha, S., … Leite, N. (2007). Analysis of the distances covered by first division Brazilian soccer players obtained with an automatic tracking method. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, *6*, 233–242.

Batterham, A. M., & Hopkins, W. G. (2006). Making meaningful inferences about magnitudes. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, *1*(1), 50–57.

Binder, J., & Findlay, M. (2012). The Effects of the Bosman Ruling on National and Club Teams in Europe. *Journal of Sports Economics*, *12*(2), 107–129.

Bradley, P. S., Archer, D. T., Hogg, B., Schuth, G., Bush, M., Carling, C., & Barnes, C. (2015). Tier-specific evolution of match performance characteristics in the English Premier League: it's getting tougher at the top. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *0414*(October), 1–8.

Bradley, P. S., Bendiksen, M., Dellal, A., Mohr, M., Wilkie, A., Datson, N., … Krustrup, P. (2014). The Application of the Yo-Yo Intermittent Endurance Level 2 Test to Elite Female Soccer Populations. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, *24*(1), 43–54.

Bradley, P. S., Carling, C., Archer, D., Roberts, J., Dodds, A., Di Mascio, M., … Krustrup, P. (2011). The effect of playing formation on high-intensity running and technical profiles in English FA Premier League soccer matches. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *29*(8), 821–830.

Bradley, P. S., Carling, C., Diaz, A. G., Hood, P., Barnes, C., Ade, J., … Mohr, M. (2013). Match performance and physical capacity of players in the top three competitive standards of English professional soccer. *Human Movement Science*, *32*(4), 808–821.

Bradley, P. S., Dellal, A., Mohr, M., Castellano, J., & Wilkie, A. (2014). Gender

differences in match performance characteristics of soccer players competing in the UEFA Champions League. *Human Movement Science*, *33*, 159–71.

Bradley, P. S., Sheldon, W., Wooster, B., Olsen, P., Boanas, P., & Krustrup, P. (2009). High-intensity running in English FA Premier League soccer matches. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *27*(2), 159–168.

Bush, M., Archer, D., Hogg, B., & Bradley, P. S. (2015). Factors Influencing Physical and Technical Variability in the English Premier League. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, *10*, 865–872.

Bush, M., Barnes, C., Archer, D., Hogg, B., & Bradley, P. S. (2015). Evolution of Match Performance Parameters for Various Playing Positions in the English Premier League. *Human Movement Science*, *39*, 1–11.

Carling, C. (2010). Analysis of physical activity profiles when running with the ball in a professional soccer team. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *28*(3), 319–326.

Carling, C., & Dupont, G. (2011). Are declines in physical performance associated with a reduction in skill-related performance during professional soccer match-play? *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *29*(1), 63–71.

Carmichael, F., Thomas, D., & Ward, R. (2001). Production and Efficiency in association football. *Journal of Sports Economics*, *2*(3), 228–243.

Castellano, J., Blanco-Villaseñor, A., & Alvarez, D. (2011). Contextual variables and time-motion analysis in soccer. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *32*(6), 415–421.

Chaouachi, A., Manzi, V., Wong, D. P., Chaalali, A., Laurencelle, L., Chamari, K., & Castagna, C. (2010). Intermittent endurance and repeated sprint ability in soccer players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *24*(10), 2663–2669.

Dellal, A., Chamari, K., Wong, D. P., Ahmaidi, S., Keller, D., Barros, R., … Carling, C. (2011). Comparison of physical and technical performance in European soccer match-play: FA Premier League and La Liga. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *11*(1), 51–59.

Di Mascio, M., & Bradley, P. S. (2013). Evaluation of the most intense high-intensity running periods in English FA Premier League soccer matches. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *27*(4), 909–915.

Di Salvo, V., Baron, R., González-Haro, C., Gormasz, C., Pigozzi, F., & Bachl, N. (2010). Sprinting analysis of elite soccer players during European Champions League and UEFA Cup matches. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *28*(14), 1489–1494.

Di Salvo, V., Collins, A., McNeill, B., & Cardinale, M. (2006). Validation of Prozone: A new video-based performance analysis system. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, *6*, 108–119.

Di Salvo, V., Gregson, W., Atkinson, G., Tordoff, P., & Drust, B. (2009). Analysis of High Intensity Activity in Premier League Soccer. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *30*(3), 205–212.

Gardiner, S., & Welch, R. (2011). Nationality and protectionism in football: why are FIFA's '6+5 rule' and UEFA's 'home-grown player rule' on the agenda? *Soccer & Society*, *12*(6), 774–787.

Hoppe, M. W., Slomka, M., Baumgart, C., Weber, H., & Freiwald, J. (2015). Match Running Performance and Success Across a Season in German Bundesliga Soccer Teams. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *36*(7), 563–6.

James, N., Mellalieu, S. D., & Hollely, C. (2002). Analysis of strategies in soccer as a function of European and domestic competition. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, *2*(1), 85–103.

Janković, A., Leontijević, B., Jelušić, V., & Pašić, M. (2011). Analysis of passes of Serbian football (soccer) team in qualifying for the World Cup 2010. *Anthropological Aspects of Sports, Physical Education and Recreation*, *2*(1), 235–244.

Krustrup, P., Mohr, M., Amstrup, T., Rysgaard, T., Johansen, J., Steensberg, A., … Bangsbo, J. (2003). The yo-yo intermittent recovery test: physiological response, reliability and validity. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *35*(4), 697–705.

Kulkarni, K., Levin, G., Peñailillo, L., Singh, A., & Singh, S. J. (2013). Physical and Physiological Characteristics of Elite Indian National Football Players. *Journal of Athletic Enhancement*, *2*(6).

Lago, C., & Martin, R. (2007). Determinants of possession of the ball in soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *25*(9), 969–974.

Littlewood, M., Mullen, C., & Richardson, D. (2011). Football labour migration: an examination of the player recruitment strategies of the 'big five' European football leagues 2004–5 to 2008–9. *Soccer & Society*, *12*(6), 788–805.

Maguire, J., & Pearton, R. (2000). The impact of elite labour migration on the identification, selection and development of European soccer players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *18*(9), 759–769.

McGovern, P. (2002). Globalization or Internationalization? Foreign Footballers in the English League, 1946-95. *Sociology*, *36*(1), 23–42.

Mohr, M., Krustrup, P., & Bangsbo, J. (2003). Match performance of high-standard soccer players with special reference to development of fatigue. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *21*(7), 519–528.

Rampinini, E., Impellizzeri, F., Castagna, C., Coutts, A., & Wisløff, U. (2009). Technical performance during soccer matches of the Italian Serie A league: Effect of fatigue and competitive level. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, *12*(1), 227–233.

Richardson, D., Littlewood, M., Nesti, M., & Benstead, L. (2012). An examination of the migratory transition of elite young European soccer players to the English Premier League An examination of the migratory transition of elite young European soccer players to the English Premier League. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *30*(15), 37–41.

Tenga, A., Ronglan, L. T., & Bahr, R. (2010). Measuring the effectiveness of offensive match-play in professional soccer. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *10*(4), 269–277.

The FA. (2015). FA Chairman's update on England Commission. Retrieved 10 May 2015, from http://www.thefa.com/news/thefa/2015/mar/greg-dyke-england-commission-homegrown-players-work-permits-march-2015

Ueda, S., Yamanaka, A., Yoshikawa, T., Katsura, Y., Usui, T., Orita, K., & Fujimoto, S. (2011). Differences in Physiological Characterization between Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test Level 1 and Level 2 in Japanese College Soccer Players. *International Journal of Sport and Health Science*, *9*, 33–38.

Vigne, G., Gaudino, C., Rogowski, I., Alloatti, G., & Hautier, C. (2010). Activity profile in elite Italian soccer team. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *31*(5), 304–310.

Wickham, H., & Chang, W. (2013). Tools to make developing R code easier.

Table Legend

Table 1: Detailed breakdown of the sample data following the re-sampling process

Table 2: The number of UK and non-UK observations per season following resampling.

Table 3: Changes in the number of passes and passing distance between UK and non-UK players.

Table 1:

Season	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-2011	2011-12	2012-13	Total
Month								
Aug-Nov	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	**4900 (33)**
Dec-Feb	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	**4900 (33)**
Mar-May	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	700 (33)	**4900 (33)**
Location								
Home	1083 (52)	1078 (51)	1050 (50)	1069 (51)	1051 (50)	1049 (50)	1019 (49)	**7399 (50)**
Away	1017 (48)	1022 (49)	1050 (50)	1031 (49)	1049 (50)	1051 (50)	1081 (51)	**7301 (50)**
Position								
AT	315 (15)	310 (15)	309 (15)	308 (15)	306 (15)	306 (15)	298 (14)	**2152 (15)**
CB	534 (25)	527 (25)	523 (25)	539 (26)	554 (26)	546 (26)	569 (27)	**3792 (26)**
CM	459 (22)	463 (22)	465 (22)	464 (22)	454 (22)	452 (22)	443 (21)	**3200 (22)**
FB	475 (23)	489 (23)	493 (23)	487 (23)	491 (23)	487 (23)	498 (24)	**3420 (23)**
WM	317 (15)	311 (15)	310 (15)	302 (14)	295 (14)	309 (15)	292 (14)	**2136 (15)**
Standard								
A (1^{st}-4^{th})	319 (15)	245 (12)	339 (16)	360 (17)	424 (20)	446 (21)	386 (18)	**2519 (17)**
B (5^{th}-8^{th})	509 (24)	436 (21)	407 (19)	385 (18)	459 (22)	347 (17)	422 (20)	**2965 (20)**
C (9^{th}-14^{th})	486 (23)	719 (34)	656 (31)	713 (34)	587 (28)	636 (30)	651 (31)	**4448 (30)**
D (15^{th}-20^{th})	786 (37)	700 (33)	698 (33)	642 (31)	630 (30)	671 (32)	641 (31)	**4768 (32)**
Nationality								
UK	968 (46)	979 (47)	972 (46)	1006 (48)	975 (46)	1049 (50)	931 (44)	**6880 (47)**
Non-UK	1132 (54)	1121 (53)	1128 (54)	1094 (52)	1125 (54)	1051 (50)	1169 (56)	**7820 (53)**
Overall	**2100**	**2100**	**2100**	**2100**	**2100**	**2100**	**2100**	**14700**

Table 2:

	Season	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-2011	2011-12	2012-13	Total
CB	UK	218	222	233	307	274	301	237	**1792**
	Non-UK	316	305	290	232	280	245	332	**2000**
CM	**UK**	211	241	250	231	241	253	195	**1622**
	Non-UK	248	222	215	233	213	199	248	**1578**
FB	**UK**	244	239	236	208	233	239	**250**	**1649**
	Non-UK	231	250	257	279	258	248	248	**1771**
WM	**UK**	166	146	135	121	126	155	**141**	**990**
	Non-UK	151	165	175	181	169	154	151	**1146**
ATT	**UK**	129	131	118	139	101	101	108	**827**
	Non-UK	186	179	191	169	205	205	190	**1325**
Overall		**2100**	**2100**	**2100**	**2100**	**2100**	**2100**	**2100**	**14700**

Table 3:

	Short Pass		Medium Pass		Long Pass		Total Pass		Pass Completion (%)	
	UK	**Non-UK**	**UK**	**Non-UK**	**UK**	**Non-UK**	**UK**	**Non-UK**	**UK**	**Non-UK**
2006-07	5.7±3.9	6.6±4.5	12.3±7.9	14.3±9.3	5.9±4.0	5.6±4.0	23.8±12.4	26.5±14.2	75.3±13.4	77.2±12.1
2007-08	6.7±4.4	7.3±5.0	13.8±8.3	14.7±9.2	6.1±4.1	5.4±3.8	26.6±13.1	27.4±14.2	77.0±12.3	78.9±11.9
2008-09	7.2±4.8	8.5±5.7	15.9±9.7	17.5±10.8	6.6±4.5	5.9±4.5	29.7±15.0	31.8±16.8	79.8±11.4	81.4±10.5
2009-10	7.0±4.8	8.1±5.4	14.7±9.3	16.3±9.4	6.2±4.5	5.7±4.0	27.9±14.7	30.0±14.4	77.1±12.5	79.2±11.2
2010-11	7.6±4.8	8.8±5.4	17.3±10.2	18.0±9.9	6.8±4.4	5.7±4.0	31.7±15.7	32.5±14.6	80.4±11.0	81.8±9.9
2011-12	8.8±5.9	10.3±6.8	18.8±12.0	20.6±11.6	6.3±4.5	6.2±4.7	33.9±18.2	37.1±18.1	83.6±9.9	84.5±9.3
2012-13	9.1±5.7	9.6±6.2	19.3±11.1	20.2±11.5	6.2±4.6	6.2±4.4	34.7±16.8	36.9±17.3	83.0±10.0	83.5±10.2

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: (A) high-intensity running and (B) sprinting distances covered by UK and Non-UK players across the seven seasons of the EPL. Data represents means and standard deviations.

Figure 2: Two-dimensional kernel density plots representing the number of passes and the pass success rate of UK and Non-UK players across seven seasons. The plot displays an increasing number of passes for both UK and non-UK (plot width), while UK players show a greater change in pass completion rate over the seven seasons (plot length).

Figure 1A:


2006-07
2007-08
2008-09
2009-10
2010-11
2011-12
2012-13
High Intensity Distance (m)
1500
1000
500
0
Non-UK
UK

Figure 1B:


2006-07
2007-08
2008-09
2009-10
2010-11
2011-12
2012-13
Sprint Distance (m)
0
100
200
300
400
500
Non-UK
UK

Figure 2:


2006-07
2007-08
2008-09
2009-10
2010-11
2011-12
2012-13
Non-UK
UK
Successful Passes (%)
100
80
60
40
0
25
50
75
Passes