



**University of
Sunderland**

Graham, Yitka, Fox, Ann, Scott, Jeanette, Johnson, Melanie and Hayes, Catherine (2020) How a pandemic affects the mental health of the nursing workforce. *Nursing Times*, 116 (8). pp. 20-22. ISSN 0954-7762

Downloaded from: <http://sure.sunderland.ac.uk/id/eprint/12276/>

Usage guidelines

Please refer to the usage guidelines at <http://sure.sunderland.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact sure@sunderland.ac.uk.

In this article...

- Global research on the stress of nursing during an infectious disease outbreak
- Importance of supporting the mental health of frontline nurses during a pandemic
- How the emotional labour of nursing is challenged by caring for patients with Covid-19

How a pandemic affects the mental health of the nursing workforce



Nursing Times
Journal Club

Key points

The shortage of registered nursing staff in the UK had reached a critical level prior to the emergence of Covid-19

The environmental, psychological and emotional impact of Covid-19 care affects nurses' personal health and wellbeing

Compassion fatigue and burnout are key predictors of suboptimal mental health in nursing staff

Key lessons from international nursing experience and healthcare research have the potential to inform the UK response

Managing the emotional labour of nursing during the coronavirus pandemic is a challenge in relation to supporting optimal mental health

Authors Yitka Graham is head of the Helen McArdle Nursing and Care Research Institute and associate professor of health services research, University of Sunderland; Ann Fox is executive director of nursing, quality and safety, Sunderland Clinical Commissioning Group and visiting professor, University of Sunderland; Jeanette Scott is executive director of nursing, quality and safety, South Tyneside Clinical Commissioning Group and visiting professor, University of Sunderland; Melanie Johnson is executive director of nursing and allied health professionals, South Tyneside and Sunderland NHS Foundation Trust and visiting professor, University of Sunderland; Catherine Hayes is professor of health professions pedagogy, University of Sunderland.

Abstract Within the current coronavirus pandemic and against a backdrop of a pre-existing shortage of nurses in the UK, it is crucial to consider the issue of mental health and how to support nurses. This article uses the concept of emotional labour as a vehicle for a discussion of how best to understand the unique challenges faced by nursing staff in all care settings. Care is the central pivot around which nursing revolves and, as such, is dependent on an emotive response to support patients during critical stages of Covid-19 infection.

Citation Graham Y et al (2020) How a pandemic affects the mental health of the nursing workforce. *Nursing Times* [online]; 116: 8, 20-22.

The coronavirus pandemic has challenged UK healthcare services at a time when there is already a shortage in nursing workforce numbers, as a result of demands on the NHS and unfilled vacancies (Appleby, 2019). With a vacancy rate of more than one in 10 across the UK (Buchan et al, 2019), there is an exponentially increasing burden and pressure on the existing workforce. As a result, acknowledging the need to support the mental health and wellbeing of nurses in all care settings has never been more important.

The nursing profession has embedded within it an ethos of compassionate care, with the workforce engaged on an everyday basis in intense and sustained emotional and psychological interactions with patients and their families and carers, often under exceptionally challenging conditions (Kinman and Leggetter, 2016).

For many nurses, underlying politics and the history of traditional organisational hierarchies still have the potential to negatively affect elements of their work today (Feeley et al, 2019) – for example, perceptions and views of the status of nurses among other health professionals and profession-based silos, which can lead to exclusion and lack of opportunity for interprofessional collaboration (Braithwaite et al, 2016; Weller et al, 2014).

These issues may, unsurprisingly, make nurses vulnerable to stress and can lead to feelings of compassion fatigue with the duties they perform (Mason et al, 2014). Compassion fatigue is best defined, in these circumstances, as a state of physical and mental exhaustion caused by a depleted ability to cope with one's everyday environment (Cocker and Joss, 2016), which may lead to reduced levels of resilience and

burnout, resulting in an overall poor quality of life, both personally and professionally.

Compassion fatigue, burnout and compassion satisfaction were identified as key factors influencing nurses' health-related quality of life in a research study of 1,521 Spanish nurses. The study's authors recommended that healthcare organisations should actively implement programmes to support nurses' emotional wellbeing and offer protection against negative variables, such as fatigue and burnout (Ruiz-Fernández et al, 2020). In a study of emergency care nurses, support of nurses by management staff was found to be conducive to high levels of compassion satisfaction, while lower levels of support resulted in burnout and compassion fatigue (Hunsaker et al, 2015).

Implications of Covid-19

Covid-19 is a newly identified disease, and evidence is still emerging on its pathophysiological impact and epidemiology, and the demographical implications of the pandemic. The Chinese government has studied the mental health and wellbeing of the frontline healthcare workforce in Wuhan, identifying factors leading to long-term suboptimal mental health status, including stress, anxiety, depressive symptoms, insomnia, denial, anger and fear. These factors are associated with, and correspond to, the high risk of potential infection with the virus and inadequate protection against contamination, overwork, physical and mental exhaustion, discrimination, isolation, complex patient care, and a lack of contact with families. The impact of these mental health issues will not only affect healthcare workers in the present fight against Covid-19 but may also affect their long-term health status (Kang et al, 2020a). Strategies to ameliorate the effects on staff include redeploying staff from other regions and establishing shift systems that allow workers time to rest and to take turns in high-pressure roles.

The first published study examining the mental health of nursing and medical staff based in Wuhan in the wake of the pandemic found that, of the 994 staff studied, 37% had experienced sub-threshold mental health incidents, 34% had mild disturbances, 22% had moderate issues and 6% had severe disturbances. Young women experienced a higher burden of mental health issues compared with older females and males in the study cohort (Kang et al, 2020b). In terms of support, 36% had accessed psychological materials (for example books), 50% accessed media (for example text messages on self-help coping methods), and 17% had sought

counselling or psychotherapy. There was little access to formal mental health service provision, but this was nevertheless perceived as an important resource. Further recommendations emphasise the importance of supporting the mental health and wellbeing of all frontline healthcare workers during the pandemic (Kang et al, 2020a).

While acknowledging differences in healthcare systems, the validity of the findings to the UK workforce in terms of universal similarities in human experience make these aspects of global research invaluable. The World Health Organization has recognised the impact of Covid-19 on levels of mental health and wellbeing and issued specific advice on the provision of proactive support to health professionals (WHO, 2020).

Studies on the wellbeing of nurses and other healthcare workers during other pandemics have been examined. A study in Hong Kong identified four salient themes based on the instabilities and vulnerabilities facing emergency nurses in the management of emergent infectious diseases. The themes were resource constraints, threats of cross infection, ubiquitous changes and lingering uncertainties that may affect nurses' abilities to perform outbreak-response duties (Lam et al, 2019) (Table 1).

Within the literature on severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), a Taiwanese study of 1,257 healthcare workers found differences in mental health across two phases of the outbreak. In the initial phase (rapid spread of virus), 81% experienced anxiety and excessive worry, 68% experienced depression and associated negative impact on family relationships, 62% experienced somatic symptoms and 50% experienced sleep problems, underpinned by perceived threats to life and vulnerability amidst a high level of uncertainty. In the repair phase (infection brought under

control), 83% experienced depression and poor family relationships, 78% experienced somatic symptoms, 77% experienced anxiety and excessive worrying, and 54% experienced sleep problems. In the repair phase, there were higher rates of depression compared with higher levels of anxiety experienced in the initial phase. The reduction in anxiety was thought to be the result of the virus being under control and recognition that the disease was preventable. The high levels of depression, likely the result of the mental health impact of SARS, were proposed to be a contributing factor for 120 nurses (8% of participants) tendering their resignations during this phase (Chong et al, 2004).

An investigation into emotions, stressors and coping strategies of healthcare staff during the MERS-CoV outbreak in Saudi Arabia found that ethical obligations to their professions were rooted in an obligation to continue to work despite fears of safety. It also found that maintaining a positive attitude, improvement in health status of infected colleagues and reduced transmission of disease among staff owing to protective measures led to reduced fear and sustained them throughout the epidemic (Delgado et al, 2017).

A 2006 study investigated the influence of nurses' perceptions of the possibility of SARS infection in relation to their dedication to nursing and their employer, and the consequences for intention-to-leave in the period following the SARS outbreak (Chang et al, 2006). Findings showed that nurses who believed themselves to be at risk of infection when caring for patients with SARS had a positive effect on the relationship between commitment to nursing, their employer and their intention to leave. This commitment to remain in the nursing profession in times of crisis highlights the need

Table 1. Barriers to nurses' work in infectious disease outbreaks

Barrier	Examples
Resource constraints	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Increased workload ● Insufficient facilities, for example isolation rooms ● Shortage of staff exacerbated by staff taking sick leave
Threats of infection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Higher risk than staff in other departments ● Fear of transmitting the infection to family members ● Lack of confidence in personal protective equipment
Ubiquitous challenges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Constant change in disease management ● Frequent procedural changes led to difficulties in adoption and execution
Lingering uncertainties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Uncertainty about patients' infectious status ● Ambiguous and confusing information

Source: Lam et al (2019)

to support nurses by ensuring that personal protective equipment is available and used, and to inform and educate on infectious diseases such as SARS, as an integral part of training for staff (Chang et al, 2005). These are key points of learning from previous pandemics, which can be transferred to the current management of Covid-19 infection in UK healthcare practice.

Emotional labour and the nursing profession

The term emotional labour was coined by Hochschild, who defined it as “having to induce or suppress feeling in order to sustain the outward countenance that produces the proper state of mind in others” (Hochschild, 2012). It refers to having to display or deliberately obscure emotions at work, owing to perceived expectations that society may have of that profession. Within nursing, there is an onus on staff to both optimally deliver compassionate care and manage their own emotions to be able to alleviate suffering in patients and their families (Strazdins, 2000). Occupations that require emotional labour have three common characteristics: intensive contact with the public, the need to evoke an emotional state in others and a set of explicit or implicit rules concerning the types of emotions shown that are deemed to be suitable or unsuitable when in contact with others (Kinman and Leggetter, 2016). Studies have shown emotional labour to be fundamental to the nursing profession, with dissonance, as a result of ‘surface acting’ in day-to-day practice, a potential contributing factor to stress and burnout (Delgado et al, 2017), and that nurses early in their career are at higher risk compared with those at the mid or later career stage (Cho et al, 2006).

Improving awareness

Studies have shown contributing factors to increasing personal resilience in the workplace include support networks, personal attributes and the ability to organise work for personal resilience (McDonald et al, 2016). Recommendations from a literature review into resilience in nursing suggested education on how to build resilience should be built into nursing curricula and incorporated into mentorship schemes outside the individual nursing environments within which staff work (Jackson et al, 2007).

Conclusion

The challenge of working in the current pandemic is likely to have an enduring impact on the mental health and wellbeing of healthcare staff across the globe. While the validity of research findings from other countries differs in terms of context and culture, the universality of human experience is generalisable and should be widely shared across nursing practice.

Ensuring that the welfare of nursing staff across all settings remains at the forefront of workforce planning has never been more important during the current pandemic. There is already a national recruitment and retention crisis across the nursing sector, which has the potential to be exacerbated in the light of negative outcomes relating to loss of life and reported lack of PPE availability.

With no vaccine currently available, there is an ongoing reliance on social distancing to protect healthcare staff from contracting Covid-19 and keep the NHS functioning. This has implications for nurses’ mental health and wellbeing. The valiant efforts made by nurses during Covid-19 echo the compassionate nature of the profession, with some paying the ultimate price. Those who are at the central heart of caring and saving lives as an integral part of multidisciplinary teams across the world need and deserve the assurance that, as a priceless part of our society that is often undervalued, they will be afforded all the protection they need, mentally, physically and emotionally. **NT**

- The NHS mental health helpline is 0300 171 3000 (text 85258) and is open between 7am and 11pm every day.

References

Appleby J (2019) Nursing workforce crisis in numbers. *BMJ*; 367: l6664.
Braithwaite J et al (2016) The basis of clinical tribalism, hierarchy and stereotyping: a laboratory-controlled teamwork experiment. *BMJ Open*; 6: e012467.

Buchan J et al (2019) *A Critical Moment: NHS Staffing Trends, Retention and Attrition*. Health Foundation.
Chang C et al (2006) Nurses’ perceptions of severe acute respiratory syndrome: relationship between commitment and intention to leave nursing. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*; 54: 2, 171-179.
Chang EM et al (2005) Role stress in nurses: review of related factors and strategies for moving forward. *Nursing & Health Sciences*; 7: 1, 57-65.
Cho J et al (2006) Workplace empowerment, work engagement and organizational commitment of new graduate nurses. *Nursing Leadership*; 19: 3, 43-60.
Chong MY et al (2004) Psychological impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome on health workers in a tertiary hospital. *British Journal of Psychiatry*; 185: 127-133.
Cocker F, Joss N (2016) Compassion fatigue among healthcare, emergency and community service workers: a systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*; 13: 6, 618.
Delgado C et al (2017) Nurses’ resilience and the emotional labour of nursing work: an integrative review of empirical literature. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*; 70: 71-88.
Feeley N et al (2019) NICU Nurses’ stress and work environment in an open ward compared to a combined pod and single-family room design. *Advances in Neonatal Care*; 19: 5, 416-424.
Hochschild AR (2012) *The Managed Heart: Commercialisation of Human Feeling*. Oakland: University of California Press.
Hunsaker S et al (2015) Factors that influence the development of compassion fatigue, burnout, and compassion satisfaction in emergency department nurses. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*; 47: 186-194.
Jackson D et al (2007) Personal resilience as a strategy for surviving and thriving in the face of workplace adversity: a literature review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*; 60: 1, 1-9.
Kang L et al (2020a) Impact on mental health and perceptions of psychological care among medical and nursing staff in Wuhan during the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak: a cross-sectional study. *Brain, Behaviour and Immunity*; 87: 11-17.
Kang L et al (2020b) The mental health of medical workers in Wuhan, China dealing with the 2019 novel coronavirus. *Lancet Psychiatry*; 7: 3, e14.
Kinman G, Leggetter S (2016) Emotional labour and wellbeing: what protects nurses? *Healthcare*; 4: 4, 89.
Lam SKK et al (2019) A qualitative descriptive study of the contextual factors influencing the practice of emergency nurses in managing emerging infectious diseases. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*; 14: 1, 1626179.
Mason VM et al (2014) Compassion fatigue, moral distress, and work engagement in surgical intensive care unit trauma nurses: a pilot study. *Dimensions in Critical Care Nursing*; 33: 4, 215-225.
McDonald G et al (2016) Surviving workplace adversity: a qualitative study of nurses and midwives and their strategies to increase personal resilience. *Journal of Nursing Management*; 24: 1, 123-131.
Ruiz-Fernández MD et al (2020) Occupational factors associated with health-related quality of life in nursing professionals: a multi-centre study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*; 17: 3, 982.
Strazdins LM (2000) Integrating emotions: multiple role measurement of emotional work. *Australian Journal of Psychology*; 52: 1, 41-50.
Weller J et al (2014) Teams, tribes and patient safety: overcoming barriers to effective teamwork in healthcare. *Postgraduate Medicine*; 90: 149-154.
World Health Organization (2020) *Mental Health and Psychosocial Considerations During the COVID-19 Outbreak*. WHO.



Nursing Times
Journal Club
online

To use this article for a journal club discussion with colleagues, go to nursingtimes.net/NTJCNurseWellbeing and download the discussion handout. Your journal club activity counts as participatory CPD hours or can be used as the basis for reflective accounts in your revalidation activities.

For more Nursing Times Journal Club articles and tips on how to set up and run your own group, go to: nursingtimes.net/NTJournalClub