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ABSTRACT The wider use of wearable devices for EEG data capturing provides a very useful way for the monitoring and self-management of human health. However, the large volumes of data with high dimensions cause computational complexity in EEG data processing and poses a great challenge to the use of wearable EEG devices in healthcare. This study proposes a new approach to extract the structural information of EEG data and tackle the curse of dimensionality of EEG data. A set of methods for dimensionality reduction (DR) like linear discriminant analysis (LDA) and their improved methods have been developed for EEG processing in the literature. However, existing LDA-related methods suffer from the singularity problem or expensive computational cost, and none of existing methods take into consideration the structure of the projection matrix, which is crucial for the extraction of structural information of EEG data. In this paper, a new method called regularized matrix discriminant analysis (R-MDA) is proposed for EEG feature representation and dimensionality reduction. In R-MDA, the EEG data is represented as data matrix, and projection vectors are reshaped to be a set of projection matrices stacking together. By reformulating LDA as a least-square formulation and imposing specified constraint on each projection matrix, the new R-MDA has been constructed to effectively reduce EEG dimensions and capturing the structural information of EEG data. Experimental results demonstrate that this new R-MDA outperforms the existing LDA-related methods, including achieving improved accuracy with significant dimensionality reduction of EEG data. This offers an effective way to enable wearable EEG devices be applicable in human-centered health monitoring.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE electroencephalogram (EEG) becomes one of the most commonly used noninvasive method for the Brain Computer Interface (BCI) due to its strong reliability performance and low cost [1]–[3]. Through multi-channel electrodes placed on the scalp, the weak bioelectricity signals generated by the human brain can be collected. After amplification and preprocessing, the signals are recorded digitally, which reflects neuronal activities of the brain. EEG signals play a vital role in a wide range of application areas, such as healthcare (epilepsy and sleepy disorder diagnosis) [2], [4], human emotions recognition [5], [6], Motor imagery [7], controlling functional neuroprostheses [3] and monitoring drivers’ vigilance [8]. However, the conventional EEG causes inconveniences to people who are undergoing EEG monitoring. It can only be used for disease diagnosis after the onset of the patient, rather than performing real-time disease prevention. These issues may restrict the use of EEG to some
In this paper, we propose a new regularized matrix discriminant analysis (R-MDA) method to tackle the issue of feature representation and DR in wearable EEG system which demonstrate the performance of the new R-MDA on both synthetic data and EEG alcoholism data. The experiments show that the new proposed R-MDA approach has achieved competitive performance on feature representation and DR for EEG data. The key contributions of our work are summarized as follows.

- A new R-MDA approach has been proposed, based on wearable EEG data analytics, for human-centered health monitoring system.
- The latent structures and correlations of EEG data samples in matrix form is captured in this new method by considering the projection vector as matrix.
- By imposing specified constraint on each projection matrix, the correlations among the rows and columns of the projection matrix can be acquired effectively.
- The constraint and the least-square formulation is integrated in a unified model to solve the problem of singularity and expensive computational cost.

II. RELATED WORK

DR methods include principal components analysis (PCA), linear discriminant analysis (LDA), canonical correlation analysis (CCA) [13] and so on. LDA is a commonly used classical DR method for dimensionality reduction and classification of EEG data [6], [9], [14], having achieved good results in EEG data processing. Classical LDA method, designed for data in vector form, formulates the optimization problem as an eigen-decomposition problem, which may lead to the undersampled or singularity problem in scatter matrices when there are limited available data samples [15], [16]. Even when the data satisfies the conditions for eigen-decomposition, it may suffer from expensive computational cost during the decomposition procedure [15], [17]. Additionally, as the voltage values of the adjacent channels and time points are highly correlated, there exist strong correlations among the rows and columns of the EEG matrix instead of feature covariance in the vector. The illustration of a typical EEG recordings is shown in Fig 2. Using traditional vector-based LDA methods to process EEG data, we have to reshape them into vectors, which may destroy the topological correlations and structures between different channels in extent [2].

In recent years, the EEG systems is transforming from machine-centered conventional EEG to the human-centered wearable EEG, and the effective use of EEG data captured from wearable devices provides an effective way for the monitoring and self-management of human health. Due to its small size and portability, wearable EEG can perform real-time operation without restricting subjects’ movements, thus facilitating health monitoring and self-management of health. The wearable EEG for health monitoring can be presented in a closed-loop system [1], [2], [9], [10], as shown in Fig. 1. In this system, human is considered to be a part of the whole closed-loop system. Through operations of EEG data acquisition, preprocessing and pattern recognition, the wearable EEG system automatically give appropriate feedback according to the predictive analytics result. For instance, when a seizure is likely to occur, wearable EEG epilepsy treatment closed-loop system can take preventative action via electrical neural stimulation or anti-epileptic drug release [2], [4].

In order to enhance the performance of wearable EEG monitoring systems, we need to develop the abilities in EEG data acquisition and EEG data processing. To achieve better performance, it is often to require increasing recording channels and adopting higher sampling frequencies for EEG data acquisition [2]. This of course produces larger volumes of EEG data with higher dimensions. It thus increases computational complexity and poses a great challenge to power consumption of wearable EEG devices. In the meanwhile, with voltage fluctuations at multiple channels over a period of time, it is commonly expected that the voltage values of the adjacent channels and time points are highly correlated for EEG [11], [12]. Therefore, it is imperative to reduce EEG data dimensions while preserving the latent information and discovering structural correlations of EEG data. One intuitive way of resolving this issue is to perform dimensionality reduction (DR) with structural correlations preserved.

In this paper, we propose a new regularized matrix discriminant analysis (R-MDA) method to tackle the issue of feature representation and DR in wearable EEG system. Extensive experiments have been performed in this study which demonstrate the performance of the new R-MDA on both synthetic data and EEG alcoholism data. The experiments show that the new proposed R-MDA approach has achieved competitive performance on feature representation and DR for EEG data. The key contributions of our work are summarized as follows.

- A new R-MDA approach has been proposed, based on wearable EEG data analytics, for human-centered health monitoring system.
- The latent structures and correlations of EEG data samples in matrix form is captured in this new method by considering the projection vector as matrix.
- By imposing specified constraint on each projection matrix, the correlations among the rows and columns of the projection matrix can be acquired effectively.
- The constraint and the least-square formulation is integrated in a unified model to solve the problem of singularity and expensive computational cost.
EEG data [15], [16]. To tackle this issue, several methods, especially matrix-based LDA and its improved models, have been proposed to perform dimensionality reduction for matrices directly.

Two-dimensional linear discriminant analysis (2DLDA) [15], [16] was proposed to work with data in matrix representation and can conquer the singularity problem. A Bayes optimal matrix-variate formulation of LDA based on a matrix-variate model (MVMLDA) [18] was proposed for the spatio-spectral EEG patterns. Regularized MVMLDA (RMVMLDA) [19] integrates vector-variate and matrix-variate approaches, and allows the estimated scatter matrices to adapt to the EEG data characteristics. Matrix-based LDA and row-column duality (D-MLDA) and penalized D-MLDA (D-MPDA) [20] were proposed for constructing and selecting of discriminant space-time-scale features of EEG. L2-norm 2DLDA (L2-2DLDA) and L1-norm 2DLDA (L1-2DLDA) [21] were proposed, which are more robust to outliers and noises than 2DLDA.

These LDA extension methods have been successfully used for feature representation and dimensionality reduction of EEG data, as they can consider the latent correctional information behind EEG data. However, there still exist several problems for these LDA extension methods. Firstly, most of the current methods do take into consideration that data samples themselves can be represented as matrices, but they ignore the possibility that the projection vector could be in matrix form, which means there may exist correlations in the columns or rows if we reshape projection vector into projection matrix. In certain circumstances, there do exist structural correlations among the columns and rows of the projection matrix, which should be taken into consideration in constructing the LDA models. Secondly, these matrix-based LDA methods consider the optimization problem as a two-dimensional eigen-decomposition problem. Although it can solve the singularity problem, it still suffers from expensive computational cost problem caused by eigen-decomposition. Hence, it is imperative to derive matrix discriminant analysis method to solve the above issues in a unified model.

In this paper, we propose a new regularized matrix discriminant analysis (R-MDA) method for extracting the EEG matrix data patterns, which not only can make use of the structural information of projection matrices, but also remove the singularity problem and the computational cost in eigen-decomposition. We firstly represent EEG data samples as data matrices, and in the meanwhile we represent the conventional project vectors as project matrices correspondingly. Taking into consideration the structural information of each projection matrix, we imposing specified constraint on each of them to capture the latent correctional information. We then formulate LDA as a least-square problem with a certain indicator matrix [17]. By considering the least-square formulation and imposing specified constraints together, we could form a unified model to solve the above issues.

III. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

In this Section, the mathematical notations are given for introducing our method and corresponding numerical solver. Lower case letters (e.g., \( x \)) bold lower case letters (e.g., \( \mathbf{x} \)) and bold upper case letters (e.g., \( \mathbf{X} \)) are used to represent the scalar values, vectors and matrices, respectively. For a matrix \( \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} \) of rank \( r \) where \( r \leq \min(p, q) \), \( \mathbf{X}_{ij} \) represents its \((i, j)-\)entry. \( \mathbf{X}^{*} \) denotes the transpose of a matrix. \( \| \mathbf{X} \|_{*} \) is the nuclear norm of a matrix \( \mathbf{X} \), where \( \| \mathbf{X} \|_{*} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i} \) (\( \sigma_{i} \) is the \( i \)-th singular value in matrix \( \mathbf{X} \)). For model training, the training dataset is presented with \( n \) samples \( \{(x_{i}, y_{i})\}_{i=1}^{n} \), where \( x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \) is the input vector and \( y_{i} \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\} \), \((k \geq 2)\) is the class label of the \( i \)-th sample. In multivariate linear regression (MLR), there is a \( k \)-tuple of separating functions \( f(x) = (f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x), \ldots, f_{k}(x)) \), for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \). \( \mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n} \) is denoted as the centered data matrix \( \mathbf{X} \), where \( \mathbf{x}_{i} = x_{i} - \bar{x} \) and \( \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \). \( \mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{Y}_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \) is denoted as the centered indicator matrix \( \mathbf{Y} \), where \( \mathbf{Y}_{ij} = y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{j} \) and \( \bar{Y}_{j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{ij} \). The weight vectors \( \{w_{j}\}_{j=1}^{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \) is denoted to construct the \( k \) linear models, \( f_{j}(x) = x^{T} w_{j} \), for \( j = 1, \cdots, k \).
IV. REGULARIZED MATRIX DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS

In this section, we analyze the issues mentioned in the introduction with more details and then derive the proposed R-MDA. An Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM) algorithm is introduced for model training.

A. R-MDA MODEL

A EEG data with \( n \) samples, where each sample can be represented as \( X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times d} \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). However, classical vector-based LDA methods [13] have to reshape each data matrix \( X_i \) to vector \( x_i \) by operation of vec(\( X_i \)) = \( x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \), where \( d = p \times q \). These data vectors together can form a data matrix \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n} \). With projection operation \( X^\top W \), the original data vectors \( x_i \) are projected onto a low-dimensional subspace, where \( W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k} \), \( (k < d) \) is projection matrix and the projected data vectors are represented as \( x_i \in \mathbb{R}^k \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). The way for classical methods to get projection vector \( w_j \) is to perform eigen-decomposition on certain matrices. For example, solving LDA can be equivalently formulated as follows

\[
\arg \min_w \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \| x_i^\top w_j \|^2 - \| y_j \|^2. \tag{1}
\]

where \( w \) is the projection vector, \( S_B \) is the scatter matrix for intra-class distance, and \( S_W \) is the scatter matrix for inter-class distance. The problem in Eq. (1) can be typically solved by eigen-decomposition on scatter matrices \( S_B \) and \( S_W \).

However, when data dimension is high, such as EEG alcoholism data with size of \( 256 \times 64 \), this approach would suffer from expensive computational cost on decomposing the matrix. Furthermore, when the number of the data samples is limited, the scatter matrix may be singular, which causes problem to eigen-decomposition. The over-fitting problem may also be inevitable in these cases.

In this study, we propose a unified model called regularized matrix discriminant analysis (R-MDA) to tackle the above issues. We represent data samples as their original matrix form \( X_i \), \( (i = 1, \ldots, n) \) to retain the structural correlations. Correspondingly, each column of the conventional projection matrix \( w_j \) is reshaped to a matrix \( W_j \). Thus, the classical projection matrix is reshaped to a set of projection matrices stacking together, shown in Fig. 3, where \( W_j \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, k \). As the structural correlations within matrix can be captured by taking low-rank assumption on the matrix data [11], [12]. We impose low-rank regularization on each \( W_j \) to capture the correctional information behind the re-shaped projection matrices. The illustration of our proposed R-MDA method is shown in Fig. 3 (b).

With the model configuration, we figure out that equivalently formulating the eigen-decomposition as a least-square problem [17] would be the best way. By specifically constructing an indicator matrix under a mild condition [17], we could derive the following objective function to replace the eigen-decomposition.

\[
L(W) = \frac{1}{2} \| \tilde{X}^\top W - \tilde{Y} \|^2_F = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| f_j(\tilde{x}_i) - \tilde{Y}_{ij} \|^2. \tag{2}
\]

where \( \tilde{Y} = (\tilde{Y}_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \) is a centered specific indicator matrix, which is defined as follows

\[
\tilde{Y} = (\tilde{Y}_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{n_j} - \sqrt{n_i} \quad &\text{if } y_i = j, \\ -\sqrt{n_j} \quad &\text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{3}
\]

where \( n \) is the total number of samples, \( n_j \) is the number of the \( j \)th class’s samples. With the above equations, the projection matrix \( W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k} \) can be computed by the following optimization problem

\[
W = \arg \min_{W} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| x_i^\top w_j - \tilde{Y}_{ij} \|^2 \right), \tag{4}
\]

where \( x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \) is the feature vector of the \( j \)th sample, \( w_j \) is the \( j \)th \( (j = 1, 2, \ldots, k) \) column of the projection matrix \( W \) (the \( j \)th projection vector), and \( \tilde{Y}_{ij} \) is a pre-specified value determined by Eq. (3). Equipped with Eq. (4), we could avoid computationally expensive eigen-decomposition and singularity of scatter matrix. More importantly, it provides an effective way to impose low-rank constraint on the projection matrix, which can help further capture the structures and correlations among the rows and columns. Specifically, these structures and correlations mean that there exist certain linear combinations among the rows and columns of the projection matrix. We reshape the feature vectors \( x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \) into feature matrices \( \tilde{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} \), where \( p \times q = d \), \( \tilde{x}_i = \text{vec}(\tilde{X}_i) \). In the meanwhile, each column of the conventional projection matrix \( (w_j \in \mathbb{R}^d) \) is reshaped into a matrix \( W_j \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} \), where \( p \times q = d \), \( w_j = \text{vec}(W_j) \). The item \( x_i^\top w_j \) in Eq. (4) can be represented as \( x_i^\top W_j = \text{vec}(X_i^\top) \text{vec}(W_j^\top) = tr(X_i^\top W_j) \). The nuclear norm [12], [23] has been shown to be the best convex approximation of the matrix rank over the unit ball of matrices, thus it is always employed to penalize the projection matrix, which is the known best approximation of low-rank constraint. We then impose a nuclear norm constraint on each \( W_j \) to capture the correlation of linear combinations. Therefore, the final objective function for our R-MDA can be formulated as follows

\[
L(W_{(1,2,\ldots,k)}) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| tr(X_i^\top W_j) - \tilde{Y}_{ij} \|^2 + \tau \sum_{j=1}^{k} \| W_j \|_*, \tag{5}
\]

where \( \tau \) is a hyper-parameter which is determined by cross-validation. In our R-MDA framework, we assume that each \( W_j \) \( (j = 1, 2, \ldots, k) \) is independent with each other. Thus, we can solve the \( j \)th sub-objective functions separately to obtain optimized parameters. For each \( W_j \), the sub-objective function can be formulated as follows

\[
L(W_j) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| tr(X_i^\top W_j) - \tilde{Y}_{ij} \|^2 + \tau \| W_j \|_* . \tag{6}
\]
The classical LDA approach

The Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM) [24] is adopted to solve the optimization problem. Firstly, we can equivalently write the Eq. (6) as follows

\[ \arg \min_{W_j, S_j} H(W_j) + G(S_j), \]
\[ \text{s.t. } W_j - S_j = 0, \]

where \( H(W_j) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| \text{tr}(X_i^T W_j) - Y_{ij} \|_2^2 \), and \( G(S_j) = \tau \| S_j \|_s \). We then apply augmented Lagrangian multiplier on Eq. (7), and the objective function is derived as follows

\[ L(W_j, S_j, \Lambda_j) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| \text{tr}(X_i^T W_j) - Y_{ij} \|_2^2 + \tau \| S_j \|_s \]
\[ + \text{tr}(\Lambda_j^T (S_j - W_j)) + \frac{\rho}{2} \| S_j - W_j \|_F^2, \]

where \( \Lambda_j \) is a Lagrangian multiplier matrix and \( \rho > 0 \) is a hyper-parameter. The optimization problem in Eq. (8) can be divided into two sub-problems in terms of \( W_j \) and the auxiliary variable \( S_j \).

1) OPTIMIZATION FOR AUXILIARY VARIABLE \( S_j \)

The first sub-problem for solving \( S_j \) can be written in the following equivalent way

\[ S_j = \arg \min_{S_j} \tau \| S_j \|_s + \text{tr}(\Lambda_j^T S_j) + \frac{\rho}{2} \| S_j - W_j \|_F^2, \]

with the help of the shrinkage thresholding (SVT) [25] operator, we can get analytical solution of \( S_j^{(t)} \) in the \( t \)-th iteration as follows

\[ S_j^{(t)} = \frac{1}{\rho} D_\tau(\rho W_j - \Lambda_j^{(t)}), \]

where \( \rho W_j - \Lambda_j = U \Sigma V^T \) is a Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) form. For any \( \tau > 0 \), \( D_\tau(\cdot) = U \Sigma_\tau(\Sigma) V^T \) is called the singular value thresholding (SVT) operator, where \( \Sigma_\tau(\Sigma)_{ii} = \max(\Sigma_{ii} - \tau, 0) \).

2) OPTIMIZATION FOR THE PROJECTION MATRIX \( W_j \)

The second sub-problem for solving \( W_j \), the expression can be equivalently written as follows

\[ W_j = \arg \min_{W_j} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| \text{tr}(X_i^T W_j) - Y_{ij} \|_2^2 \]
\[ - \text{tr}(\Lambda_j^T W_j) + \frac{\rho}{2} \| S_j - W_j \|_F^2. \]
The problem in Eq. (11) is a well-defined convex optimization problem, and here we resort to gradient descent to solve it. In each iteration, we can perform the following operation to update $W_j$

$$W_j := W_j - \alpha \left( \frac{\partial L_{W_j}}{\partial W_j} \right),$$  

where $\alpha > 0$ is a hyper-parameter, called learning rate. The partial derivation of $W_j$ is formulated as

$$\frac{\partial L_{W_j}}{\partial W_j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \text{tr}(\tilde{X}^T_i W_j) - \tilde{Y}_{ij} \right] \tilde{X}_i - \Lambda_j + \rho(W_j - S_j).$$  

(13)

The main steps for solving the optimization problem in Eq. (8) have been presented, which solves $W_j$ and $S_j$ iteratively. Additionally, the Lagrangian parameter $\Lambda_j$ is updated in a single gradient step as follows

$$\Lambda_j = \hat{\Lambda}_j^{(t)} - \rho(W_j^{(t)} - S_j^{(t)}).$$  

(14)

The whole flow is summarized in Algorithm 1, where ADMM is applied here.

**Algorithm 1 ADMM for Problem in Eq. (8)**

1: for $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, k$ do
2:     Initialize $S_j^{(-1)} = \tilde{S}_j^{(0)} \in R^{p \times q}$, $\Lambda_j^{(-1)} = \hat{\Lambda}_j \in R^{p \times q}$, $\rho > 0$.
3:     for $t = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ do
4:         $W_j^{(t)} = \arg \min_{W_j} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \text{tr}(\tilde{X}^T_i W_j) - \tilde{Y}_{ij} \right]_{2} - \text{tr}(\hat{A}_j^T W_j) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|S_j - W_j\|^2_F$
5:         $S_j^{(t)} = \arg \min_{S_j} \|S_j\|_F + \text{tr}(\hat{A}_j^T S_j) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|S_j - W_j\|^2_F$
6:         $\Lambda_j^{(t)} = \hat{\Lambda}_j^{(t)} - \rho(W_j^{(t)} - S_j^{(t)})$
7:     end for
8: end for

**V. EXPERIMENTS**

In order to verify the performance of our proposed method, we conduct comparative studies. The proposed R-MDA method is implemented by Matlab R2015b in a machine with Inter(R)Core(TM)i5-7500 3.40GHz CPU and 8GB RAM. We study the performance of our R-MDA with the comparison of three wide-used methods: 1) Least Square Linear Discriminant Analysis (LS-LDA) [17]; 2) Two-Dimensional Linear Discriminant Analysis (2DLDA) [15]; 3) Robust L1-norm two-dimensional linear discriminant analysis (L12DLDA) [21].

To evaluate and compare the performance, we apply these methods to both synthetic dataset and EEG alcoholism dataset. We firstly conduct experiments on synthetic low-rank data to verify our model is effective on low-rank data. We consider the illustrative examples by examining different kinds of geometric and natural shapes on the regression matrix. Secondly, we apply our R-MDA on the EEG alcoholism dataset, where each sample can be represented as a matrix with size $256 \times 64$.

A. SYNTHETIC DATA ANALYSIS

In order to verify that R-MDA is effective on low-rank EEG data, we firstly conduct experiments on synthetic low-rank data. We start with elaborating the illustrative examples by examining three different signal shapes (Square, Triangle and Butterfly), where each of them is represented as a $64 \times 64$ signal matrix. The illustration of three signal shapes (Square, Triangle and Butterfly) are shown in Fig. 4.

![Three signal shapes](image)

**FIGURE 4:** Three signal shapes, respective of Square, Triangle and Butterfly.

Based on the signal shapes shown in Fig. 4, we randomly generate 1000 samples for 10 rounds. We firstly randomly generate 10 matrices with the size of $64 \times 64$, where each matrix corresponds to one type of class. After duplicating 100 matrices for each type of class, we add random Gaussian noise (The probability distribution function is $P(x | \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$) on all 1000 matrices, after which we can get $P_i$ matrices, $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, 1000)$. Hence, the $ith$ sample can be generated by the following equation

$$X_i = S^TP_i,$$

(15)

where $S$ is the regression matrix illustrated by a signal shape, shown in Fig. 4. $(X_i, y_i)$ is a randomly generated illustrative sample, where $y_i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, 10\}$. In each round, half of the samples are used for training and the rest are for testing. We apply support vector machine (SVM), which is considered as one of the powerful linear classifiers, to classify the projected samples. We then compute the mean and standard deviation of accuracies in 10 rounds on regression matrix $S$ for each approach. In the experiments, all the hyper parameters are chosen via cross validation. The detailed comparison of our R-MDA with other methods are shown in Table. 1.

As shown in the Table. 1, it can be observed that the proposed R-MDA method outperforms LS-LDA, 2DLDA and L12DLDA remarkably. Though LS-LDA can tackle the undersampled problem, it treats each sample as a vector, resulting in the loss of structural information. 2DLDA and L12DLDA claim that they treat each sample as a matrix directly; however, they do not consider each projection vector itself could be a matrix, which leads to information loss during training. Although L12DLDA usually deemed as an effective way to deal with outliers and noises, it fails to capture the latent correctional information behind low-rank matrix. Particularly, R-MDA can keep the accuracy around 90% with only 10 dimensional features, while 2DLDA and...
TABLE 1: The accuracies on synthetic data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Projected Samples Dimension</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Projected Samples Dimension</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Square</td>
<td>0.632 ± 0.0977</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>0.980 ± 0.0452</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.620 ± 0.2253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangle</td>
<td>0.522 ± 0.1773</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>0.930 ± 0.0156</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.705 ± 0.1324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterfly</td>
<td>0.534 ± 0.2363</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>0.980 ± 0.0365</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.612 ± 0.2465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L12DLDA need $p \times k = 640$ ($p$ is the height of illustrative samples, where $p = 64$ and $k = 10$) dimensional features to achieve only around 80% and 70% accuracies, respectively. Compared with 2DLDA and L12DLDA, the proposed R-MDA can achieve higher accuracy with lower feature dimensions. Additionally, in R-MDA, each projection vector $w_j \in \mathbb{R}^{4096}$ is reshaped into a projection matrix $W_j \in \mathbb{R}^{64 \times 64}$. Thus the conventional projection matrix is represented as a set of projection matrices stacking together. The number of projection matrices is determined by the number of categories, namely $k = 10$. The visualized projection matrices stack $W_j$, $(j = 1, 2, \cdots, 10)$ in the first round experiment based on the Butterfly signal shape (an visualization example of projection matrices stack) are given in Fig. 5. We can observe from Fig. 5 that the colors among adjacent rows and columns are very close in each $W_j$, which means there do exist strong structural correlations among rows and columns of projection matrices. By imposing low-rank regularization on each projection matrix, R-MDA can obtain the correctional information.

**B. EEG DATA ANALYSIS**

We further evaluate R-MDA on EEG alcoholism data, and conduct comparison study. We directly adopt EEG alcoholism dataset $^1$, which arises from a large study to examine EEG correlates of genetic predisposition to alcoholism. It consists of two groups of subjects: alcoholic and control. For each subject, 64 channels of electrodes are placed to record voltage values at 256 time points (sampled at 256 Hz, 3.9-msec epoch) per second in three different matching conditions. All the data can be presented as matrices with the size of $256 \times 64$. The example plots of an alcoholic and control subject are visualized in Fig. 6. The plots indicate voltage, time, and channel and are averaged over 10 runs for the single stimulus condition. The version of the EEG alcoholism dataset we choosing is the Large Data Set. The training dataset contains 10 alcoholic and 10 control subjects, getting 10 runs per subject per condition. The testing dataset uses the same subjects, but with 10-out-of-samples running per subject per condition. So for both training and testing dataset, there exist 600 samples (300 alcoholic samples and 300 control samples), respectively.

We conduct experiments for 10 rounds to verify the stability and effectiveness of the proposed method. In order to show the advantages of our method in facing undersam-

---

$^1$http://kdd.ics.uci.edu/databases/eeg/eeg.html

FIGURE 5: Visualizations of the projection matrices stack $W_j$ in the first round experiment based on the Butterfly signal shape.
sampled problem, we randomly choose 300, 200, 150, 100, 50 samples from the training dataset for training and all data from the testing dataset for testing in each round. We then compute the mean and standard deviation of accuracies in 10 rounds on different training settings for each approach. In the experiments, all the hyper parameters are selected via cross validation. The experimental results are shown in Table. 2. And the line chart of accuracies on EEG alcoholism data for different approaches is shown in Fig. 7.

![Figure 6](image1.png)

**Figure 6**: The example plots of an alcoholic and control subject.

As shown in Table. 2, the R-MDA not only reduces the feature dimensions to an extreme low level, only 2 dimensional features, but also achieves the best classification accuracies on EEG alcoholism dataset for all different training settings. LS-LDA reduces data dimensions to 2 as well, but it reshapes EEG matrices into vectors. It destroys the correlations behind data, resulting in the loss of structural information. Otherwise, both 2DLDA and L12DLDA project EEG samples from 16384 (256 × 64) dimensional space to 512 (256 × 2) dimensional subspace. Compared with 2DLDA and L12DLDA, our R-MDA can achieve the highest accuracy with lowest dimensional features in different training settings. Also, with efficient capture of the correlations among the rows and columns of the projection matrix, our method can restrict the parameter searching space, which allows R-MDA to get superior performance among these methods even when there are only 50 data samples for training. From the Fig. 7, it can be observed that with a decrease in the number of training samples, the superiority of our proposed R-MDA method becomes more remarkable.

![Figure 7](image2.png)

**Figure 7**: The line chart of accuracies on EEG alcoholism data for different approaches.

**VI. CONCLUSION**

To deal with the contradiction between increasing volumes of EEG data and power consumption in human-centered wearable EEG systems, we propose a new regularized matrix discriminant analysis (R-MDA) method to tackle EEG feature representation and DR problem. It is showed that the proposed R-MDA provides an efficient and effective way to process datasets with EEG data. It can avoid the computational expensive eigen-decomposition and the undersampled problem. In addition, the R-MDA method is capable of capturing the correlations among the rows and columns of the projection matrices efficiently, which is crucial for extraction of structural information of EEG data. The experimental results of applying R-MDA, respectively, on synthetic dataset and EEG alcoholism dataset show that the new R-MDA has achieved competitive performance for processing EEG data with improved classification accuracy and significantly improved dimensionality reduction. In the future study, we will investigate the possible correlations among the projection matrices, and construct regularized tensor discriminant analysis model based on tensor minimization for EEG signal patterns.
TABLE 2: The accuracies on EEG alcoholism data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Projected Samples Dimensions</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Projected Samples Dimensions</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.7469 ± 0.0053</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7503 ± 0.0047</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.7294 ± 0.0043</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7245 ± 0.0118</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.6912 ± 0.0100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7109 ± 0.0149</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.6606 ± 0.0258</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6713 ± 0.1926</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.6260 ± 0.0294</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6154 ± 0.0138</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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