Wooff, David and Bell, D (2010) How to support trainee teachers to demonstrate that they can provide outstanding evidence in pursuit of Qualified Teacher Status (QTS). In: First TEAN Conference; The Importance of Education, 21st May 2010, Glasgow Caledonian University. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: http://sure.sunderland.ac.uk/id/eprint/9918/

Usage guidelines

Please refer to the usage guidelines at http://sure.sunderland.ac.uk/policies.html or alternatively contact sure@sunderland.ac.uk.
How to support trainee teachers to demonstrate that they can provide outstanding evidence in pursuit of Qualified Teacher Status (QTS).

David Wooff (Wooffd@edgehill.ac.uk) and Dawne Bell (Belld@edgehill.ac.uk)  
Department of Creative and Applied Technologies, Faculty of Education, Edge Hill University, Ormskirk, Lancashire L39 4QP

Introduction

The research presented here will illustrate how senior lecturers working within Design and Technology Initial Teacher Education (ITE) at Edge Hill University provide support enabling trainees to produce high quality evidence necessary to demonstrate their competence against the standards relating to achieving Qualified Teacher Status. This research was initially triggered by the number of successful trainees (100% pass rate) progressing through the academic assessment board for the Flexible Postgraduate Certificate in Education (PGCE) at their first attempt.

Methodology

Initially working from prior experience, two senior lecturers from Edge Hill University produced an exemplar list of materials that could be used to help trainees show breadth and depth in their evidence towards achieving QTS. The initial list was used on the flexible PGCE course at Edge Hill over the course of the academic year 2006/07 and expanded to the Undergraduate. Over the subsequent years the list has been revised and reproduced to incorporate a variety of sources of material.

The methodology adopted in this work is that of a piece of on going action research, originating from work initially analysed as a case study. It is evident that the documentation produced from this work is organic in nature and it will continue to evolve over time as more trainees complete their studies and provide yet further suitable evidence in pursuit of the standards for QTS.

“Secondary NQTs rated the overall quality of assessment and feedback they received, and support and guidance they received to achieve the standards for QTS, higher than in the previous survey”.

Results of the newly qualified teacher survey 2009  

Potential Areas of Future Study

Edge Hill University is exploring the use of electronic portfolios for future students to submit evidence of their having achieved QTS. Taking feedback from previous cohorts into account, work will be undertaken to see if this documentation can be adapted to support this initiative. Work will also be undertaken to ensure that the documentation remains current and relevant, all future folders submitted by trainees as evidence towards achieving QTS will be scrutinised to ensure that any suitable sources of evidence utilised by future trainees are included in the documentation discussed here.

Results and Discussion

A cohort of students who successfully completed their training at Edge Hill University were contacted during their first year of full time teaching and asked a number of questions relating specifically to the documentation produced and discussed here. 100% of those who responded to the request for data stated that they had used the documentation provided to help them gather a range of high quality evidence suitable to demonstrate that they had met the standards for the award of QTS. Respondents in the research sample also provided feedback about the suitability of the documentation, this is summarised thus:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Cohort Size</th>
<th>Highly Suitable</th>
<th>More Detail Required</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006/07</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/08</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>97.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Responses were grouped into overarching categories to aid analysis. Convincingly, a significant majority of respondents said that the material produced was highly suitable to aid them in collating and presenting their evidence in pursuit of QTS. Other noteworthy comments included; could this be adapted for online submissions and could this be produced differently showing a sorted list of items and which standards they could be used against, so it is organised by item rather than QTS standard.